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Abstract

The plenoptic function is a ray-based model for light that includes the color spectrum as well as spatial, temporal, and directional variation. Although digital light sensors have greatly evolved in the last years, one fundamental limitation remains: all standard CCD and CMOS sensors integrate over the dimensions of the plenoptic function as they convert photons into electrons; in the process, all visual information is irreversibly lost, except for a two-dimensional, spatially-varying subset — the common photograph. In this state of the art report, we review approaches that optically encode the dimensions of the plenoptic function transcending those captured by traditional photography and reconstruct the recorded information computationally.

1. Introduction

Evolution has resulted in the natural development of a variety of highly specialized visual systems among animals. The mantis shrimp retina, for instance, contains 16 different types of photoreceptors [MO99]. The extraordinary anatomy of their eyes not only allows the mantis shrimp to see 12 different color channels, ranging from ultra-violet to infra-red, and distinguish between shades of linear and circular polarization, but it also allows the shrimp to perceive depth using trinocular vision with each eye. Other creatures of the sea, such as cephalopods [MSH09], are also known to use their ability to perceive polarization for communication and unveiling transparency of their prey. Although the compound eyes found in flying insects have a lower spatial resolution compared to mammalian single-lens eyes, their temporal resolving power is far superior to the human visual system.

Traditionally, cameras have been designed to capture what a single human eye can perceive: a two-dimensional trichromatic image. Inspired by the natural diversity of perceptual systems and fueled by advances of digital camera technology, computational processing, and optical fabrication, image processing has begun to transcend limitations of film-based analog photography. Applications for the computerized acquisition of images with a high spatial, temporal, spectral, and directional resolution are manifold; medical imaging, remote sensing, shape reconstruction, surveillance, and automated fabrication are only a few examples.

The plenoptic function [AB91] provides a ray-based model of light encompassing most properties that are of interest for image acquisition. As illustrated in Figure 1, these include the color spectrum as well as spatial, temporal, and directional light variation. In addition to these more traditional plenoptic dimensions [AB91], we also consider dynamic range a desirable property, as common sensors have a limited dynamic range.

1.1. Computational Photography and Plenoptic Imaging

What makes plenoptic imaging different than general computational photography? Plenoptic imaging considers a subset of computational photography approaches; specifically, those that aim at acquiring the dimensions of the plenoptic function with combined optical light modulation and computational reconstruction. Computational photography has grown tremendously in the last years with dozens of published papers per year in a variety of graphics, vision, and optics venues. The dramatic rise in publications in this interdisciplinary field, spanning optics, sensor technology, image processing, and illumination, has made it difficult to encompass all research in a single survey.

We provide a structured review of the subset of research...
that has recently been shown to be closely-related in terms of optical encoding and especially in terms of reconstruction algorithms [IWH10]. Additionally, our report serves as a resource for interested parties by providing a categorization of recent research and is intended to aid in the identification of unexplored areas in the field.

1.2. Overview and Definition of Scope

In this report, we review the state of the art in joint optical light modulation and computational reconstruction approaches for acquiring the dimensions of the plenoptic function. Specifically, we discuss the acquisition of high dynamic range imagery (Section 2), the color spectrum (Section 3), light fields and directional variation (Section 4), spatial super-resolution and focal surfaces (Section 5), as well as high-speed events (Section 6). We also outline the acquisition of light properties that are not directly included in the plenoptic function, but related, such as polarization, phase imaging, and time-of-flight (Section 7) and point the reader to more comprehensive literature on these topics. Conclusions and possible future avenues of research are discussed in Section 8.

Due to the fact that modern, digital acquisition approaches are often closely related to their analog predecessors, we outline these whenever applicable. For each of the plenoptic dimensions we also discuss practical applications of the acquired data. As there is an abundance of work in this field, we focus on imaging techniques that are designed for standard planar sensors. We will only highlight examples of modified sensor hardware for direct capture of plenoptic image information. We do not cover pure image processing techniques, such as tone-reproduction, dynamic range compression and tone-mapping [RWD*10], or the reconstruction of geometry [IKL*10], BSDFs and reflectance fields.

2. High Dynamic Range Imaging

High dynamic range (HDR) image acquisition has been a very active area of research for more than a decade. With the introduction of the HDR display prototype [SHS*04] and its successor models becoming consumer products today, the demand for high-contrast photographic material is ever increasing. Other applications for high dynamic range imagery include digital photography, physically-based rendering and lighting [Deb02], image editing, digital cinema, perceptual difference metrics based on absolute luminance [MDMS05], virtual reality, and computer games. For a comprehensive overview of HDR imaging, including applications, radiometry, perception, data formats, tone reproduction, and display, the reader is referred to the textbook by Reinhard et al. [RWD*10]. In this section, we provide a detailed and up-to-date list of approaches for the acquisition of high dynamic range imagery.

2.1. Single-Shot Acquisition

According to DxOMark (www.dxomark.com), the latest high-end digital SLR cameras are equipped with CMOS sensors that have a measured dynamic range of up to 13.5 f-stops, which translates to a contrast of 11,000:1. This is comparable to that of color negative films [RWD*10]. In the future, we can expect digital sensors to perform equally well as negative film in terms of dynamic range, but this is not the case for most sensors today.

Specialized sensors, that allow high dynamic range content to be captured, have been commercially available for a few years. These include professional movie cameras, such as Grass Valley’s Viper [Val10] or Panavision’s Genesis [Pan10]. The SpheroCam HDR [Sph10] is able to capture full spherical 360-degree images with 26 f-stops and 50 megapixels in a single scan. A technology that allows per-pixel exposure control on the sensor, thereby enabling
adaptive high dynamic range capture, was introduced by Pixim [Pix10]. This level of control is achieved by including an analog-to-digital converter for each pixel on the sensor.

Capturing image gradients rather than actual pixel intensities was shown to increase the dynamic range of recorded content [TAR05]. In order to reconstruct intensity values, a computationally expensive Poisson solver needs to be applied to the measured data. While a Gradient Camera is an interesting theoretical concept, to the knowledge of the authors this camera has never actually been built.

The maximum intensity that can be resolved with standard ND filter arrays is limited by the lowest transmission of the employed ND filters. Large, completely saturated regions in the sensor image are usually filled with data interpolated from neighboring unsaturated regions [NM00]. An analysis of sensor saturation in multiplexed imaging along with a Fourier-based reconstruction technique that boosts the dynamic range of captured images beyond the previous limits was recently proposed [WIH10]. Figure 2 shows an example image that is captured with an ND filter array on the left and a Fourier-based reconstruction of multiplexed data on the right.

Figure 2: Sensor image captured with an array of ND filters [NM00] (left). Exposure brackets and magnifications for Fourier-based HDR reconstruction from multiplexed sensor images [WIH10] (right).

An alternative to mounting a fixed set of ND filters in front of the sensor is an aligned spatial light modulator, such as a digital micromirror device (DMD). This concept was explored as Programmable Imaging [NBB04, NBB06] and allows for adaptive control over the exposure of each pixel. Unfortunately, it is rather difficult to align a DMD with a sensor on a pixel-precise basis, partly due to the required additional relay optics; for procedures to precisely calibrate such a system please consult [RFMM06]. Although a transmissive spatial light modulator can, alternatively, be mounted near the aperture plane of the camera, as proposed by Nayar and Branzoi [NB03], this Adaptive Dynamic Range Imaging approach only allows lower spatial frequencies in the image to be modulated. The most practical approach to adaptive exposures is a per-pixel control of the readout in software, as implemented by the Pixim camera [Pix10]. This has also been simulated for the specific case of CMOS sensors with rolling shutters [GHMN10], but only on a per-scanline basis. The next version of the Frankencamera [ATP10] is planned to provide non-destructive sensor readout for small image regions of interest [Lev10], which would be close to the desired per-pixel exposure control.

2.2. Multi-Sensor and Multi-Exposure Techniques

The most straightforward way of acquiring high dynamic range images is to sequentially capture multiple photographs with different exposure times and merge them into a single, high-contrast image [MP95, DM97, MN99, RBS99]. Some of these approaches simultaneously compute the non-linear camera response function from the image sequence [DM97, MN99, RBS99]. Extensions to these techniques also allow HDR video [KUWS03]. Here, successive frames in the video are captured with varying exposure times and aligned using optical flow algorithms. Today, all of these methods are well established and discussed in the textbook by Reinhard et al. [RWD*10].

In addition to capturing multiple exposures, a static filter with varying transmissivity, termed Generalized Mosaicing [SN03a], can be mounted in front of the camera but also requires multiple photographs to be captured. Alternately, the optical path of an imaging device can be divided using prisms [AA04] (Split Aperture Imaging) or beamsplitters [MMP07] (Optical Splitting Trees), so that multiple sensors capture the same scene with different exposure times. While these approaches allow dynamic content to be recorded, the additional optical elements and sensor hardware make them more expensive and increase the form factor of the device.

2.3. Analysis and Tradeoffs

Given a camera with known response function and dynamic range, Grossberg and Nayar [GN03] analyze the best possible set of actual exposure values for a low dynamic range (LDR) image sequence used to compute an HDR photograph. By also considering variable ISO settings, Hasinoff et al. [HDF10] provide the optimal choice of parameters for HDR acquisition with minimal noise.

3. Spectral Imaging

Imaging of the electromagnetic spectrum comes in a number of flavors. For photographs or movies, the goal is typically to capture the colors perceived by the human visual system. Since the human visual system is based on three types of color sensing cells (the cones), three color bands are sufficient to form a natural color impression. This discovery is usually credited to Maxwell [Max60].

In this report we are mainly concerned with methods for capturing the physical properties of light in contrast to their perceptual counterparts that are dealt with in the areas of Applied Perception and Color Sciences. For readers interested
in issues of color perception, we refer to standard literature: Wyszecki and Stiles [WS82] provide raw data for many perceptual experiments. Fairchild’s book [Fai05] is a higher-level treatise focusing on models for perceptual effects as, for instance, adaptation issues. Hunt’s books [Hun91, Hun04] deal with measurement and reproduction of color for human observers (e.g., in digital imaging, film, printing, and television). Reinhard et al. [RKAJ08] discuss color imaging from a computer graphics perspective.

In this section we discuss spectral imaging from a radiometric, i.e. physical, perspective. To simplify the discussion we first introduce some terminology as used in this subfield of plenoptic imaging.

3.1. Glossary of Terms

**Spectral Radiance** is the physical quantity emitted by light sources or reflected by objects. The symbol is $L_\lambda$ and its unit is $[W/m^2 \cdot sr \cdot nm]$. Spectral radiance is constant along a ray. It is the quantity returned by the plenoptic function.

**Spectral Filters** selectively attenuate parts of the electromagnetic spectrum. There are two principles of operation, absorptive spectral filters remove parts of the spectrum by converting photons into kinetic energy of the atoms constituting the material. Interference-based filters, also referred to as dichroic filters, consist of a transparent substrate which is coated with thin layers that selectively reflect light, reinforcing and attenuating different wavelengths in different ways. The number and thicknesses of the layers determine the spectral reflection profile. Absorptive filters have a better angular constancy, but heating may be an issue for narrow-band filters. Interference-based filters have the advantage that the spectral filter curve can be designed within certain limits by choosing the parameters of the coatings. However, the angular variation of these filters is significant. In general, filters are available both for transmission and reflection modes of operation.

**Narrow-Band Filters** have a small support in the wavelength domain.

**Broad-Band Filters** have a large support in the wavelength domain. They are also known as panchromatic filters.

The **Spectral Response Curve** of a sensor is a function that describes its quantum efficiency with respect to photons of different wavelengths. A higher value means a better response of the sensor to photons of a particular wavelength, i.e. more electrons are freed due to the photo-electric effect.

**Color** is the perceptual interpretation of a given electromagnetic spectrum.

The **Gamut** of an imaging or color reproduction system is the range of correctly reproducible colors.

**Multi-Spectral Images** typically consist of a low number of spectral bands. They often include a near infrared (NIR) band. The bands typically do not form a full spectrum, there can be missing regions [Vag07].

**Hyper-Spectral Images** contain thirty to several hundred spectral bands which are approximations to the full spectrum [Vag07]. The different spectral bands do not necessarily have the same spatial resolution. In this report, we will use the term multi-spectral to refer both to multi-spectral and hyper-spectral image acquisition methods.

A **Multi-Spectral Data Cube** is a stack of images taken at different wavelength bands.

3.2. Color Imaging

In a limited sense, the most common application of multi-spectral imaging is the acquisition of color images for human observers. In principle, three spectral bands mimicking the human tri-stimulus system, are sufficient to capture color images. This principle was first demonstrated by Maxwell performing color photography by time-sequential acquisition of three images using different band-pass filters, see Figure 3. Display was achieved by super-imposed spectrally filtered black-and-white projections using the same filters as used for capture. This acquisition principle was in use for quite some time until practical film-based color photography was invented. One of the earliest collections of color photographs was assembled by the Russian photographer Sergej Mikhailovich Prokudin-Gorskij [PG12]. Time-sequential imaging through different filters is still one of the main modes of capturing multi-spectral images (see Sec. 3.3).

In the digital age, color films have been replaced by electronic CMOS or CCD sensors. The two technologies to cap-
ture an instantaneous color image are optical splitting trees employing dichroic beam-splitter prisms [Opt11], as used in three-CCD cameras, and spatial multiplexing [NN05, IWH10], trading spatial resolution for color information. The spatially varying spectral filters in multiplexing applications are also known as color filter arrays (CFAs). A different principle, based on volumetric, or layered measurements is employed by the Foveon sensor [Fov10], which captures trichromatic images at full spatial resolution.

The most popular spatial multiplexing pattern is the well known Bayer pattern [Bay76]. It is used in most single-sensor digital color cameras. The associated problem of reconstructing a full-resolution color image is generally referred to as demosaicing. An overview of demosaicing techniques is given in [RSBS02, GGA*05, LGZ08]. Li et al. [LGZ08] present a classification scheme of demosaicing techniques depending on the prior model being used (explicitly or implicitly) and an evaluation of different classes of algorithms. An interesting result is that the common constant hue assumption seems to be less valid for modern imagery with a wider gamut and higher dynamic range than the classical Kodak photo CD test set [Eas], which was scanned from film and has predominantly been used for evaluating demosaicing algorithms. Mostly, demosaicing is evaluated through simulation. However, in a realistic setting, including camera noise, Hirakawa and Wolfe’s work [HW08] have shown that demosaicing on noisy images performs poorly and that subsequent denoising is affected by demosaicing artifacts. They propose a joint denoising and demosaicing framework that can be used with different demosaicing and denoising algorithms.

In recent years, a large number of alternative CFAs have been explored by camera manufacturers, some of which are already being used in consumer products. Examples and many references to alternative CFA designs can be found in Hirakawa and Wolfe’s work [HW08]. Traditionally, imaging through CFAs and reconstruction of the signal have been seen as sub-sampling and up-sampling operations, respectively. Recent research in the analysis of these multiplexing patterns has, however, produced a new view of multiplexing as a projection onto a linear subspace of basis functions (the spectral responses of the filters in this case), i.e. of multiplexing as a coding operation [LV09, IWH10]. Correspondingly, in this view, the reconstruction process is seen as a recovery of the subspace, or a decoding of the signal. This view originated in Fourier Analysis of color filter arrays [ASH05], stimulated by the desire to apply digital signal processing methodology to the color multiplexing problem. Being a linear framework, it allows for the optimization of the subspace onto which color is projected [HW07, HW08, LV09]. Practical realizations are alternative CFA designs that suffer from less aliasing than their ad-hoc, heuristically-designed counterparts. While in [HW07, HW08, LV09] a fixed number of primary color response functions are assumed which can be linearly mixed to optimize the CFA, [PR06, PR10] optimize the spectral response functions themselves in order to improve CFA design.

Generalizing color filter arrays, Narasimhan and Nayar [NN05] proposed the Assorted Pixels framework, where individual pixels can be modulated by arbitrary plenoptic filters, yielding an image mosaic that has to be interpolated to obtain the full-resolution multi-channel image. Ihrke et al. [IWH10] have shown how this (and other) approaches that are tiling the image in a super-pixel fashion can be interpreted as belonging to one group of imaging systems that share common analysis and reconstruction approaches.

In a different application, Wetzstein et al. [WIH10] explore CFA designs that lead to dynamic range constraints in Fourier space. They show how an optimized CFA pattern in conjunction with optimization algorithms allow trichromatic high dynamic range images to be captured.

### 3.3. Multi-Spectral Imaging

As for the other plenoptic dimensions, the three basic approaches of Figure 1, single-shot capture, sequential image acquisition, and multiple device setups are valid alternatives for multi-spectral imaging and have been investigated intensively.

#### 3.3.1. Spectrometers

Traditionally, spectroscopy has been carried out for single rays entering an instrument referred to as spectrometer. It was invented by Joseph von Fraunhofer in 1814 and used to discover the missing lines in the solar spectrum bearing his name. Typically the ray is split into its constituent wavelengths which are displaced spatially. This is achieved by placing either dispersive or diffractive elements into the light path, where the latter come both in transmissive and reflective variants. If dispersion is used to split the ray, typically a prism is employed. The separation of wavelengths is caused by the wavelength-dependent refractive index of the prism material. The function mapping wavelength to refractive index is typically decreasing with increasing wavelength, but usually in a non-linear fashion. Under certain conditions, it can even have an inverted slope (anomalous dispersion) [Hec02]. Diffractive elements are usually gratings, where maxima of the diffraction pattern are spatially shifted according to the grating equation [Hec02]. After the light path is split by some means, the light is brought onto a photo-detector which can, for instance, be a CCD. Here, relative radiance of the individual wavelengths is measured. Spectrometers have to be calibrated in two ways: first, the mapping of wavelengths to pixels has to be determined. This is usually done using light sources with few and very narrow emission lines of known wavelength, the pixel positions of other wavelengths are then interpolated [GWHD09]. The second step establishes the relative irradiance measured for every wavelength. This is done by measuring a surface...
of known flat reflectance, for example Spectralon, which is illuminated with a known broad-band spectrum. The relative inhomogeneities imposed by the device are then divided out [GWHD09]. Spectrometers that are designed to image more than one ray are referred to as imaging spectrometers.

### 3.3.2. Scanning Imaging Spectrometers

Traditional devices are usually based on some form of scanning. Either a full two-dimensional image is acquired with changed band pass filters, effectively performing a spectral scan, or a pushbroom scan is performed where the two-dimensional CCD images a spatial dimension on one axis of the image and the spectral dimension on the other. The full multi-spectral data cube is then obtained by scanning the remaining spatial dimension.

**Spectral Scanning** can be performed in a variety of ways. Most of them involve either a filter wheel (e.g., [WH04]) or electronically tunable filters (ETFs). The former method usually employs narrow-band filters such that spectral bands are imaged directly. The disadvantage is a low light throughput. Toyooka and Hayasaka [TH97] present a system based on broad-band filters with computational inversion. Whether or not this is advantageous depends on the camera noise [IWH10]. Electronically tunable filters are programmable devices that can exhibit varying filter curves depending on control voltages applied to the device. Several incarnations exist; the most well known include Liquid Crystal Tunable Filters (LCTFs) [ci09], which are based on a cascade of Lyot-filter stages [Lyo44], acousto-optical tunable filters, where an acoustically excited crystal serves as a variable diffraction grating, and interferometer-based systems, where the spectrum is projected into the Fourier basis. In the latter, the spectral scan is performed in a multiplexing manner: by varying the position of the mirror in one arm of an interferometer, for instance a Michelson-type device, different phase shifts are induced for every wavelength. The resulting spectral modulation is in the form of a sinusoid. Thus, effectively, the measurements are performed in the Fourier basis, similar to the Dappled Photography technique [VRA07] for light fields (see Sec. 4). The spectrogram is obtained by taking an inverse Fourier transform. A good overview of these technologies is given in [Gat00].

A more flexible way of programmable wavelength modulation is presented by Mohan et al. [MRT08]. They modulate the spectrum of a whole image by first diffracting the light and placing an attenuating mask into the “rainbow plane” of the imaging system. However, the authors do not recover multiplexed spectra but only demonstrate modulated spectrum imaging. Usually, the scanning and the imaging process have to be synchronized, i.e. the camera should only take an image when the filter in front of the camera is set to a known value. Schechner and Nayar [SN04] introduce a technique to computationally synchronize video streams taken with a periodically moving spectral filter.

All previously discussed techniques attempt to recover scene spectra passively. An alternative technique using active spectral illumination in a time-sequential manner is presented by Park et al. [PLGN07]. The scene, which can include ambient lighting, is imaged under different additional spectral lighting. The acquired images allow for reasonably accurate per-pixel spectra to be recovered.

**Spatial Scanning** has been widely employed in satellite-based remote sensing. Two technologies are commonly used: pushbroom and whiskbroom scanning. Whereas push-broom scanning uses a two-dimensional sensor and can thus recover one spectral and one spatial dimension per position of the satellite, whiskbroom systems employ a one-dimensional sensor. Imaging the spectrum of a single point which is then scanned to obtain a full scan-line with a rotating mirror. The main idea is that a static scene can be imaged multiple times using different spectral bands and thus a full multi-spectral data cube can be assembled. A good overview of space-borne remote sensing, and more generally, multi-spectral imaging techniques is given in [Vag07].

In computer vision, a similar concept, called Generalized Mosaicing, has been introduced by Schechner and Nayar [SN01]. Here, a spatially varying filter is mounted in front of the main lens, filtering each column of the acquired image differently. By moving the camera and registering the images, a full multi-spectral data cube can be recovered [SN02].

### 3.3.3. Single-Shot Imaging Spectrometers

To enable the spectral acquisition of fast-moving objects, it is necessary to have single-shot methods available. Indeed, this appears to be the focus of research in recent years. We can differentiate between three major modes of operation. The first is a trade of spatial for spectral resolution. Optical devices are implemented that provide empty space on the sensor which can, with a subsequent dispersion step through which a scene ray is split into its wavelength constituents, be filled with spectral information. The second option are multi-device setups which operate mostly like their spectral scanning counterparts, replacing sequential imaging by additional hardware. The third class of devices employs computational imaging, i.e. computational inversion of an image formation process where spectral information is recorded in a super-imposed manner.

**Spatial Multiplexing** of the spectrum, in general, uses a dispersive or diffraction element in conjunction with some optics redirecting rays from the scene onto parts of the sensor surrounded by void regions. The void regions are then filled with spectral information. All these techniques take advantage of the high resolution of current digital cameras. Examples using custom manufactured redirecting mirrors include [HFHG05, GKT09, GFHH10]. These techniques achieve imaging of up to 25 spectral bands in real-time and
keep the optical axis of the different slices of the multi-
spectral data cube constant. Bodkin et al. [BSN’09] and Du
et al. [DTCL09] propose a similar concept by using an ar-
ray of pinholes that limits the rays that can reach the sensor
from the scene. The pinholes are arranged such that a prism
following in the optical path disperses the spectrum and fills
the pixels with spectral information. A different approach is
taken by Fletcher-Holmes et al. [FHH05]. They are inter-
ested in only providing a small "foveal region" in the center of
the image with multi-spectral information. For this, the
center of the image is probed with fiber optic cables which
are fed into a standard spectrometer. Mathews et al. [Mat08]
and Horstmeyer et al. [HEAL09] describe light field cam-
eras with spectrally filtered sub-images. An issue with this
design is the problem of motion parallax induced by the dif-
ferent view points when registering the images (see Sec. 4).
In general, this registration problem is difficult and requires
knowledge of scene geometry and reflectance which cannot
easily be estimated.

Multi-Device Setups are similar in spirit to spectral scan-
ning spectrometers, replacing the scanning process by ad-
ditional hardware. A straightforward solution recording five
spectral bands is presented by Lau et al. [LY05]. They use a
standard multi-video array where different spectral filters
are mounted on each camera. The motion-parallax problem
mentioned previously is even worse in this case. McGuire et
al. [MMP’07] discuss optical splitting trees where the indi-
vidual sensors are aligned such that they share a single opti-
cal axis. The design of beam-splitter/filter trees is non-trivial
and the authors propose an automatic solution based on op-
timization.

Computational Spectral Imaging aims at trading com-
tputational complexity for simplified optical designs. Computed
Tomography Image Spectrometry (CTIS) was developed by
Okamoto and Yamaguchi [OY91]. They observed that by
placing a diffraction grating in the optical path, several spec-
tral copies overlay on the image sensor. Every pixel is mea-
suring a line integral along the spectral axis. Knowing the
imaging geometry enables a tomographic reconstruction of
the spectra. A drawback to this technique is that not all data
can be measured and thus an ill-conditioned problem, simi-
lar to limited angle tomography, is encountered. The tech-
nique was extended to single shot imaging by Descour et al.
[DD95,DC’97].

A relatively novel technique is referred to as Coded
Aperture Snapshot Spectral Imaging (CASSI) [GB’07,
WPSB08, WPSB09]. In a series of papers the authors show how
to construct different devices to exploit the compressive
sensing paradigm [CRT06] which promises to enable higher
resolution computational reconstructions with less samples
than predicted by the Shannon-Nyquist sampling theorem.

The results presented for both CTIS and CASSI have only
been demonstrated for relatively low-resolution, low-quality
spectral images. Therefore, these approaches are not yet suit-
able for high-quality photographic applications.

3.4. Applications
There is a huge amount of applications for multi-spectral
imaging and we are just beginning to explore the possibi-
lities in computer graphics and vision. Traditional users of
multi-spectral imaging technology are in the fields of astron-
omy and remote sensing where, for instance, the map-
ing of vegetation, minerals, water surfaces, and hazardous
waste monitoring are of interest. In addition, multi-spectral
imaging is used for material discrimination [DTCL09],
opthalmology [LFHHM02], the study of combustion dynam-
ics [HP01], cellular dynamics [KYN’00], surveillance
[HBB’00], for deciphering ancient scrolls [Man05],
flower photography [Rot08], medicine, agriculture, manu-
facturing, forensics, and microscopy. It should not be for-
gotten that the military is an interested party [Vag07].

4. Light Field Acquisition
While the 5D plenoptic function parameterizes all possible
images of a general scene, being a slice of constant time and
wavelength of the full plenoptic function, it remains prob-
lematic to capture its directional variation over a wide field
of view. Consider the inside of a ceramic vase; a camera must
be inserted inside to capture the intensity and color of light
rays traveling entirely within the concavity. However, if the
viewer is restricted to move through an isotropic, transpar-
ent medium (e.g., air or water) outside of the convex hull of
a given object, then the plenoptic function can be mea-
sured by translating a digital camera throughout the allowed
viewer region. Levoy and Hanrahan [LH96] and Gortler et
al. [GGSC96] realized that, when the viewer is restricted to
move outside the convex hull, the 5D plenoptic function pos-
sesses one dimension of redundancy: the radiance of a given
ray does not change in free space. Thus, in a region free of
occluders, the 5D plenoptic function can be expressed as a
4D light field.

The concept of a light field predates its introduction in
computer graphics. The term itself dates to the work of Ger-
shun [Ger36], who derived closed-form expressions for il-
illumination patterns projected by area light sources. Ash-
down [Ash93] continued this line of research. Moon and
Spencer [MS81] introduced the equivalent concept of a
photic field and applied it to topics spanning lighting design,
photography, and solar heating. The concept of a light field
is similar to epipolar volumes in computer vision [BBM87].
As demonstrated by Hal1 [Hal94], both epipolar volumes and
holographic stereograms can be captured by uniform camera
translations. The concept of capturing a 4D light field, for ex-
ample by translating a single camera [LH96,GGS09] or by
using an array of cameras [WSLH02], is predated by inte-
gral photography [Lip08], parallax panoramagrams [Ive03],
and holography [Gab48].
This section catalogues existing devices and methods for light field capture, as well as applications enabled by such data sets. Note that a sensor pixel in a conventional camera averages the radiance of light rays impinging over the full hemisphere of incidence angles, producing a 2D projection of the 4D light field. In contrast, light field cameras prevent such averaging by introducing spatio-angular selectivity. Such cameras can be classified into those that primarily rely on multiple sensors or a single sensor augmented by temporal, spatial, or frequency-domain multiplexing.

4.1. Multiple Sensors

As described by Levoy and Hanrahan [LH96], a light field can be measured by capturing a set of photographs taken by an array of cameras distributed on a planar surface. Each camera measures the radiance of light rays incident on a single point, defined in the plane of the cameras, for a set of angles determined by the field of view of each camera. Thus, each camera records a 2D slice of the 4D light field. Concatenating these slices yields an estimate of the light field. Wilburn et al. [WSLH02, WJV*05] achieve dynamic light field capture using an array of up to 125 digital video cameras (see Figure 4, left). Yang et al. [YEBM02] propose a similar system using 64 cameras. Nomura et al. [NZN07] create scene collages using up to 20 cameras attached to a flexible plastic sheet, combining the benefits of both multiple sensors and temporal multiplexing. Custom hardware allows accurate calibration and synchronization of the camera arrays. Such designs have several unique properties. Foremost, as demonstrated by Vaish et al. [VSZ*06], the captured light field can be considered as if it were captured using a single camera with a main lens aperture extending over the region occupied by the cameras. Such large-format cameras can not be practically constructed using refractive optics. Vaish et al. exploit this configuration by applying methods of synthetic aperture imaging to obtain sharp images of objects obscured by thick foliage.

4.2. Temporal Multiplexing

Camera arrays have several significant limitations; foremost, a sparse array of cameras may not provide sufficient light field resolution for certain applications. In addition, the cost and engineering complexity of such systems prohibit their use for many consumer applications. As an alternative, methods using a single image sensor have been developed. For example, Levoy and Hanrahan [LH96] propose a direct solution; using a mechanical gantry, a single camera is translated over a spherical or planar surface, constantly reoriented to point towards the object of interest. Alternatively, the object can be mechanically rotated on a computer-controlled turntable. Ihrke et al. [ISG’08] substitute mechanical translation of a camera with rotation of a planar mirror, effectively creating a time-multiplexed series of virtual cameras. Thus, by distributing the measurements over time, single-sensor light field capture is achieved. Taguchi et al. [TARV10] show how capturing multiple images of rotationally-symmetric mirrors from different camera positions allow wide field of view light fields to be captured. Gortler et al. [GGSC96] propose a similar solution; the camera is manually translated and computer vision algorithms are used to estimate the light field from such uncontrolled translations. These approaches trace their origins to the method introduced by Chen and Williams [CW93], which is implemented by QuickTime VR.

The preceding systems capture the light field impinging on surfaces enveloping large regions (e.g., a sphere encompassing the convex hull of a sculpture). In contrast, hand-held light field photography considers capturing the light field passing through the main lens aperture of a conventional camera. Adelson and Wang [AW92], Okano et al. [OAHY99], and Ng et al. [NLB’05] extend integral photography to spatially multiplex a 4D light field onto a 2D image sensor, as discussed in the following subsection. However, temporal multiplexing can also achieve this goal.

Liang et al. [LLW*08] propose programmable aperture photography to achieve time-multiplexed light field capture. While Ives [Ive03] uses static parallax barriers placed close...
to the image sensor, Liang et al. use dynamic aperture masks (see Figure 4, middle). For example, consider capturing a sequence of conventional photographs. Between each exposure a pinhole aperture is translated in raster scan order. Each photograph records a pencil of rays passing through a pinhole located at a fixed position in the aperture plane for a range of sensor pixels. Similar to multiple sensor acquisition schemes, each image is a 2D slice of the 4D light field and the sequence can be concatenated to estimate the radiance for an arbitrary light ray passing through the aperture plane. To reduce the necessary exposure time, Liang et al. further apply Hadamard aperture patterns, originally proposed by Schechner and Nayar [SNB07], that are 50% transparent.

The preceding methods all consider conventional cameras with refractive lens elements. Zhang and Chen [ZC05] propose a lensless light field camera. In their design, a bare sensor is mechanically translated perpendicular to the scene. The values measured by each sensor pixel are recorded for each translation. By the Fourier projection-slice theorem [Ng05], the 2D Fourier transform of a given image is equivalent to a 2D slice of the 4D Fourier transform of the light field; the angle of this slice is dependent on the sensor translation. Thus, tomographic reconstruction yields an estimate of the light field using a bare sensor, mechanical translation, and computational reconstruction methods.

4.3. Spatial and Frequency Multiplexing

Time-sequential acquisition reduces the cost and complexity of multiple sensor systems, however it has one significant limitation: dynamic scenes cannot be readily captured. Thus, either a high-speed camera is necessary or alternative means of multiplexing the 4D light field into a 2D image are required. Ives [Ive03] and Lippmann [Lip08] provide two early examples of spatial multiplexing with the introduction of parallax barriers and integral photography, respectively. Such spatial multiplexing allows light field capture of dynamic scenes, but requires a trade-off between the spatial and angular sampling rates. Okano et al. [OAHY99] and Ng et al. [NLB’05] describe modern, digital implementations of integral photography, however numerous other spatial multiplexing schemes have emerged.

Rather than affixing an array of microlenses directly to an image sensor, Georgiev et al. [GZN’06] add an external lens attachment with an array of lenses and prisms (see Figure 4, right). Ueda et al. [UKTN08, ULK’08] consider similar external lens arrays; however, in these works, an array of variable focus lenses, implemented using liquid lenses controlled by electrowetting, allow the spatial and angular resolution to be optimized depending on the observed scene.

Rather than using absorbing masks or refractive lens arrays, Unger et al. [UWH’03], Lamman et al. [LWTC06], and Taguchi et al. [TAV’10] demonstrate that a single photograph of an array of mirrored spheres produces a spatially-multiplexed estimate of the incident light field. Yang et al. [YLIM00] demonstrate a large-format, lenslet-based architecture by combining an array of lenses and a flatbed scanner. Related compound imaging systems, producing a spatially-multiplexed light field using arrays of lenses and a single sensor, were proposed by Ogata et al. [OIS94], Tanida et al. [TKY’01, TSK’03], and Hiura et al. [HMR09].

Spatial multiplexing produces an interlaced array of elemental images within the image formed on the sensor. Veeraraghavan et al. [VRA’07] introduce frequency multiplexing as an alternative method for achieving single-sensor light field capture. The optical heterodyning method proposed by Veeraraghavan et al. encodes the 4D Fourier transform of the light field into different spatio-angular bands of the Fourier transform of the 2D sensor image. Similar to scalar multiplexing, the sensor spectrum contains a uniform array of 2D spectral slices of the 4D light field spectrum. Such frequency-domain multiplexing is achieved by placing non-refractive, light-attenuating masks slightly in front of a conventional sensor (see Figure 4, bottom).

As described by Veeraraghavan et al., masks allowing frequency-domain multiplexing (i.e., heterodyne detection) must have a Fourier transform consisting of an array of impulses (i.e., a 2D Dirac comb). In [VRA’07], a Sum-of-Sinusoids (SoS) pattern, consisting of a weighted harmonic series of equal-phase sinusoids, is proposed. As shown in Figure 5, such codes transmit significantly more light than traditional pinhole arrays [Ive03]; however, as shown by Lanman et al. [LRAT08], these patterns are equivalent to a truncated Fourier series approximation of a pinhole array for high angular sampling rates. In [LRAT08], Lanman et al. propose tiled-broadband patterns, corresponding to periodic masks with individual tiles exhibiting a broadband Fourier transform. This family includes pinhole arrays, SoS patterns, and the tiled-MURA patterns proposed in that work (see Figure 5). Such patterns produce masks with 50% transmission, enabling shorter exposures than existing methods.

In subsequent work, Veeraraghavan et al. [VRA’08] propose adaptive mask patterns, consisting of aharmonic sinusoids, optimized for the spectral bandwidth of the observed scene. Georgiev et al. [GIBL08] analyze such heterodyne cameras and further propose masks placed external to the camera body. Rather than using a global, frequency-domain decoding scheme, Ihrke et al. [IWH10] demonstrate how spatial-domain decoding methods can be extended to frequency-multiplexed light fields.

4.4. Capture Applications

Given the wide variety of light field capture devices, a similarly diverse set of applications is enabled by such high-dimensional representations of light transport. While Kanolt [Kan18] considers the related concept of a parallax panoramagram to achieve 3D display, light fields have also proven useful for applications spanning computer graphics, digital photography, and 3D reconstruction.
In the field of computer graphics, light fields were introduced to facilitate image-based rendering [LH96, GGSC96]. In contrast to the conventional computer graphics pipeline, novel 2D images are synthesized by resampling the 4D light field. With sufficient light field resolution, views are synthesized without knowledge of the underlying scene geometry. Subsequent to these works, researchers continued to enhance the fidelity of image-based rendering. For example, a significant limitation of early methods is that illumination cannot be adjusted in synthesized images. This is in stark contrast to the conventional computer graphics pipeline, wherein arbitrary light sources can be supported using ray tracing together with a model of material reflectance properties. Debevec et al. [DHT+00] address this limitation by capturing an 8D reflectance field. In their system, the 4D light field reflected by an object is measured as a function of the 4D light field incident on the object. Thus, an 8D reflectance field maps variations in the input radiance to variations in the output radiance, allowing image-based rendering to support variation of both viewpoint and illumination.

Light fields parameterize every possible photograph that can be taken outside the convex hull of an object; as a result, they have found widespread application in 3D television, also known as free-viewpoint video. Carranza et al. [CTMS03] describe a system with an array of cameras surrounding one or more actors. Similar systems have been developed by Matusik et al. [MBR’00] and Stark et al. [SH08]. Image-based rendering allows arbitrary adjustment of the viewpoint in real-time. Vlasic et al. [VPB’09] further demonstrate 3D reconstruction of human actors from multiple-camera sequences captured under varying illumination conditions.

Light fields, given their similarity to conventional parallax panoramagrams [Ive28], have also found application in the design and analysis of 3D displays. Okano et al. [OAHY99] adapt integral photography to create a 3D television system supporting both multi-view capture and display. Similarly, Matusik and Pfister [MP04] achieve light field capture using an array of 16 cameras and implement light field display using an array of 16 projectors and lenticular screens. Zwicker et al. [ZMDP06] develop antialiasing filters for autostereoscopic 3D display using a signal processing analysis. Hirsch et al. [HLHR09] develop a BiDirectional (BiDi) screen, supporting both conventional 2D image display and real-time 4D light field capture, facilitating mixed multi-touch and gesture-based interaction; the device uses a lensless light field capture method, consisting of a tiled-MURA pattern [LRAT08] displayed on an LCD panel and a large-format sensor. Recently, Lanman et al. [LHKR10] use an algebraic analysis of light fields to characterize the rank constraints of all dual-layer, attenuation-based light field displays; through this analysis they propose a generalization of conventional parallax barriers, using content-adaptive, time-multiplexed mask pairs to synthesize high-rank light fields with increased brightness and spatial resolution.

Post-processing of captured light fields can resolve longstanding problems in conventional photography. Ng [Ng05] describes efficient algorithms for digital image refocusing, allowing the plane of focus to be adjusted after a photograph has been taken. In addition, Talvala et al. [TAHL07] and Raskar et al. [RAWV08] demonstrate that high-frequency masks can be combined with light field photography to eliminate artifacts due to glare and multiple scattering of light within camera lenses. Similarly, light field capture can be extended to microscopy and confocal imaging, enabling similar benefits in extended depth of field and reduced scattering [LCV’04,LNA’06]. Smith et al. [SZIA09] improve conventional image stabilization algorithms using light fields captured with an array of 25 cameras. As described, most single-sensor acquisition schemes trade increased angular resolution for decreased spatial resolution [GZN’06]; Bishop et al. [BZF09] and Lumsdaine and Georgiev [LG09] apply priors regarding the statistics of natural images and modified imaging hardware, respectively, to achieve super-

Figure 5: Lanman et al. [LRAT08] introduce tiled-broadband patterns for mask-based, frequency-multiplexed light field capture. (Top) Each row, from left to right, shows broadband tiles of increasing spatial dimensions, including: pinholes [Ive28], Sum-of-Sinusoids (SoS) [VRA+07], and MURA [GF89, LRAT08]. (Bottom) The SoS tile converges to 18% transmission, whereas the MURA tile remains near 50%. Note that frequency multiplexing with either SoS or MURA tiles significantly outperforms conventional pinhole arrays in terms of total light transmission and exposure time. (Figures reproduced from [Lan10].)
resolution light field capture that, in certain conditions, mitigates this resolution loss.

As characterized throughout this report, the plenoptic function of a given scene contains a large degree of redundancy; both the spatial and angular dimensions of light fields of natural scenes are highly correlated. Recent work is exploring the benefits of compressive sensing for light field acquisition. Fergus et al. [FTF06] introduce random lens imaging, wherein a conventional camera lens is replaced with a random arrangement of planar mirrored surfaces, allowing super-resolution and 3D imaging applications. Babacan et al. [BAL+09] propose a compressive sensing scheme for light field capture utilizing randomly-coded, non-refractive masks placed in the aperture plane. Ashok and Neifeld [AN10] propose compressive sensing schemes, again using non-refractive masks, allowing either spatial or angular compressive light field imaging. As observed in that work, future capture methods will likely benefit from joint spatio-angular compressive sensing; however, as discussed later in this report, further redundancies exist among all the plenoptic dimensions, not just the directional variations characterized by light fields.

5. Multiplexing Space and Focal Surfaces

The ability to resolve spatial light variation is an integral part of any imaging system. For the purpose of this report we differentiate between spatial variation on a plane perpendicular to the optical axis and variation along the optical axis inside a camera behind the main lens. The former quantity, transverse light variation, is what all 2D sensors measure. In this section, we discuss approaches for very high-resolution imaging (Sec. 5.1), focal surface curvature correction techniques of the light field inside a camera (Sec. 5.2), and extended depth of field photography (Sec. 5.3).

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6:** A wide field of view 1.7 gigapixel image captured by Cossairt et al. [CMN11].

5.1. Super-Resolution and Gigapixel Imaging

The resolution of a sensor image is usually limited by the physical layout and size of the photosensitive elements and the diffraction limit. Attempts to break these limits are referred to as super-resolution imaging. Such techniques have been of particular interest to the vision community for many years. In most cases a sequence of slightly shifted low-resolution photos is captured and fused into a single high-resolution image. The shifts are usually smaller than the pixel size; an extensive review of such techniques can be found in [BK02, BS98]. Sub-pixel precise shifts of low-resolution images can be achieved by mechanical vibrations [LMP01, BEZ05], by coding the camera’s aperture using phase [AN07] and attenuation [MHRT08] masks, or by exploiting object motion in combination with temporally coded apertures [AR07]. For an increased resolution in space and time, successive frames in a video can be analyzed instead [SCI02, SCI05] (see Sec. 6.2). All super-resolution approaches require an optimization problem to be solved for the unknown super-resolved image given multiple low-resolution measurements. This is computationally expensive for higher resolutions and is usually an ill-posed problem requiring additional image priors [BK02].

Gigapixel imaging is a relatively new field that, similar to super-resolution, aims at capturing very high-resolution imagery. The main difference is that gigapixel imaging approaches generally do not try to beat the limits of sensor resolution, but rather stitch a gigapixel panoramic image together from a set of megapixel images. These can be photographed by mounting a camera on a computer-controlled rotation stage [KUDC07], or a high-resolution small-scale sensor that is automatically moved in the image plane of a large-format camera [BE11]. Both of these techniques implement the concept of capturing a sequence of images with a single device that are composited into a high-quality photograph. In this case, the parameter that is varied for each image in the sequence is the camera pose. Alternatively, the optics of a camera can be modified, for instance with custom spherical lens elements, to allow a single very high-resolution image to be captured instantaneously with multiple sensors [CMN11]. An example scene captured with this technique is shown in Figure 6.

5.2. Optical Field Correction

Not only is the actual resolution of digital photographs limited by the pixel count and the diffraction limit, but also by the applied optical elements. Standard spherical lenses have a focal surface that is, unlike most sensors, not actually planar but curved. Significant engineering effort is put into the commercial development of complex lens systems, especially in variable-focus camera objectives, that correct for the resulting image blur at sensor locations away from the optical axis. Several approaches have been proposed to correct for what is usually called field curvature or more simply lens aberrations. These usually integrate secondary optical assemblies into the system, such as fiber optics [KH57], prisms [Ind73], lenslet arrays [HN06, BH09], or coded at-
tenuation masks [PKR10], and sometimes require computational processing of the measured data.

5.3. Extended Depth of Field Photography

Depth of field (DOF), that is a depth-dependent (de)focus of a pictured scene, plays an important role in photography. Controlled focus and defocus can be useful for highlighting objects of interest, such as people in a portrait where the background is blurred. For most applications, however, all-focused imagery is desirable. Ideally, a photographer should be able to refocus or completely remove all defocus as a post processing step in an image editing software or directly on the camera. While this is one of the main applications for light fields, as discussed in Section 4, in this section we explore alternative focus modulation approaches that do not directly capture the full 4D light field.

Although the depth-dependent size of the point spread function (PSF) or circle-of-confusion is effected by a variety of parameters, the most important ones are the aperture size and the depth expansion of the photographed scene. Larger apertures result in shallower depths of field but allow more light to reach the sensor, thereby decreasing the noise level. While a shallow DOF is often undesirable, it is also unavoidable in many situations where a low noise level is more important.

Removing the DOF blur in a standard photograph is a difficult problem because it requires a deconvolution of the image with a spatially varying PSF. The PSF shape corresponds to that of the camera aperture, which can usually be well approximated with a Gaussian distribution; unfortunately, a deconvolution with a Gaussian is an ill-posed inverse problem, because high frequencies are irreversibly lost in the image capture. Applying natural image priors can improve reconstructions (see e.g., [LFDF07b]). The spatially-varying PSF size is directly proportional to the depth of the scene, which is in most cases unknown. A common approach to alleviate this problem is to mechanically or optically modify the depth-dependent PSF of the imaging system so that it becomes depth-invariant resulting in a reconstruction that only requires a spatially invariant deconvolution, which is much easier and does not require knowledge of the scene depth.

One family of techniques that only requires a single shot to capture a scene with a depth-invariant PSF is called Focal Sweep. Here, the PSF modulation is achieved by moving the object [Häu72] or the sensor [NKZN08] during the exposure time, or by exploiting the wavelength-dependency of the PSF to multiplex multiple focal planes in the scene onto a single sensor image [CN10].

Alternatively, the apertures of the imaging system can be coded with cubic phase plates [DC95] or other phase masks [OCL05, BEMKZ05, CG01], diffusers [GGML07, CZN10], attenuation patterns [LFDF07a], polarization filters [CCG06], or multi-focal elements [LHG*09]. All of the above listed focal sweep and coded aperture approaches optically modify the PSF of the optical system for an extended DOF. The captured images usually need to be post-processed, for instance by applying a deconvolution. An analysis of quality criteria of attenuation-based aperture masks for defocus deblurring was presented by Zhou and Nayar [ZN09]; this analysis was extended to also consider PSF invertibility [Bae10].

Focal Stacks are series of images of the same scene, where the focal plane differs for each photograph in the sequence. A single, focused image can be composited by selecting the best-focused match in the stack for each image region [PK83]. The optimal choice of parameters, including focus and aperture, for the images in a focal stack are well established [HKDF09, HK08]. Capturing a focal stack with a large-scale high-resolution camera was implemented by Ben-Ezra [BE10]. Kutulakos and Hasinoff [KH09] proposed to multiplex a focal stack into a single sensor image in a similar fashion as color filter arrays multiplex different color channels into a RAW camera image. However, to the knowledge of the authors, this camera has not yet been built.

Green et al. [GSMD07] split the aperture of a camera using circular mirrors and multiplex the result into different regions of a single photograph. In principle, this approach captures multiple frames with varying aperture settings at a reduced spatial resolution in a single snapshot.

Other applications for flexible focus imaging include 3D shape reconstruction with shape from (de)focus (see e.g. [NN94, ZLN09]) or Confocal Stereo [HK06, HK09], video matting [MM*05], and extended depth of field projection [GWGB10].

6. Multiplexing Time

Capturing motion and other forms of movement in photographs has been pursued since the invention of the daguerreotype. Early pioneers in this field include Eadweard Muybridge (e.g. [Muy57]) and Etienne-Jules Marey (e.g. [Bra92]). As illustrated in Figure 7, much of the early work on picturing time focused on the study of anatomy and locomotion of animals and humans; photographic apparatuses were usually custom built at that time (Fig. 7, right). In this section, we discuss two classes of techniques for picturing motion: image capture at temporal resolutions that are significantly lower (Sec. 6.1) or higher (Sec. 6.2) than the resolving capabilities of the human visual system and approaches for joint optical and computational motion deblurring (Sec. 6.3).

6.1. Time Lapse Photography

Photographing scenes at a very low temporal sampling rates is usually referred to as time lapse photography. Technically, time lapses can simply be acquired by taking multiple photographs from the same or a very close camera position at
Figure 7: Multiple frames of a flying bird multiplexed into a single photograph (left). These kinds of photographs were shot with a photographic gun (right) by Etienne-Jules Marey as early as 1882.

larger time intervals and assembling them in a video. In order to avoid temporal aliasing, or in simpler terms provide naturally looking motion, the exposure times should ideally be as long as the interval between successive shots. Timothy Allen, photographer for the BBC, provides a very informative tutorial on time lapse photography on his website [All10]. The BBC has produced a number of astounding time lapse videos, including many scenes in their Planet Earth and Life series.

6.2. High-Speed Imaging

Analog high-speed film cameras have been developed throughout the last century. A variety of technologies exist that expose film at very high speeds including mechanical movement through temporally registered pins and rotating prisms or mirrors. For a detailed discussion of the history of high-speed photography, applications, and the state of the art about nine years ago, the reader is referred to the book by Ray [Ray02].

Single Sensor Approaches

Today, high-speed digital cameras are commercially available. Examples are the Phantom Flex by Vision Research [Res10], which can capture up to 2,570 frames per second (fps) at HD resolution, and the FASTCAM SA5 by Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution, and the FASTCAM SA5 by Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution, and the FASTCAM SA5 by Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution, and the FASTCAM SA5 by Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution, and the FASTCAM SA5 by Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution. Photron, which captures 7,500 fps at megapixel resolution per second (fps) at HD resolution.

High-Speed Illumination

High-speed imagery can also be acquired by utilizing high-speed illumination. Harold ‘Doc’ Edgerton [Pro09] created this field by inventing electronic strobes and using them to depict very fast motions in a similar fashion as Eadweard Muybridge and Etienne-Jules Marey had done with more primitive, mechanical technologies decades before him. Today, high-speed illumination, in an attosecond time scale, is more conveniently achieved with lasers rather than stroboscopes [BRH06, Ray02].
Stroboscopic illumination can be used to compensate for rolling shutter effects and synchronize an array of consumer cameras [BAIH09]. Narasimhan et al. [NKY08] exploited the high-speed temporal dithering patterns of DLP-based illumination for a variety of vision problems, including photometric stereo and range imaging. Coded strobing, by either illumination or controlled sensor readout, in combination with reconstructions developed in the compressive sensing community, allows high-speed periodic events to be acquired [VVR11]. Another high-speed imaging approach that is inspired by compressive sensing was proposed by Gupta et al. [GAVN10]. Here, a 3D spatio-temporal volume is adaptively encoded with a fixed voxel budget. This approach encodes fast motions with a high temporal, but lower spatial resolution, while the spatial resolution in static parts of the scene is maximized. A co-axial projector-camera pair was used to simulate controllable per-pixel exposures.

**Exotic Ultra High-Speed Imaging**

Other imaging devices that capture ultra high-speed events are streak cameras. Rather than recording standard 2D photographs, these devices capture 2D images that encode spatial information in one dimension and temporal light variation in the other. These systems are usually combined with pulsed laser illumination and operate at temporal resolutions of about one hundred femtoseconds [Ham10], corresponding to a frame rate of ten trillion fps. Another exotic ultra high-speed imager is the STREAM camera [GTJ09], which optically converts a 2D image into a serial time-domain waveform that is recorded with a single high-speed photodiode at 6.1 million fps.

6.3. Motion Deblurring

Motion deblurring has been an active area of research over the last few decades. It is well known that deblurring is an ill-posed problem, so that the required image deconvolution becomes well-posed. Optimized codes and an algorithm for the problem of combined motion deblurring and spatial super-resolution of moving objects with coded exposures were analyzed by Agrawal and Raskar [AR07]. Both approaches use programmable, attenuation-based shutters to apply the codes, thereby sacrificing light transmission, and require a manual rectification of object motion in the captured images. Optimality criteria for motion PSF invertibility were extended to also allow high-quality PSF estimation [AX09]; this automates the motion rectification step.

Inspired by approaches that create depth-invariant point spread functions (see Sec. 5.3), Levin et al. [LSC08] showed how one-dimensional parabolic sensor motion during the exposure time can achieve a motion-invariant PSF along the line of sensor motion. Compared to attenuation-coded temporal exposures, this method does not sacrifice light transmission but requires prior knowledge of object motion and only works along one spatial direction. The optimal tradeoffs for single image deblurring from either attenuation-coded exposures or sensor motion, in terms of signal-to-noise ratio of the reconstructions, were analyzed by Agrawal and Raskar [AR09].
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**Figure 8:** By varying the exposure time for successive frames in a video (left), multi-image deblurring (right) can be made invertible [AXR09].

**Image Sequences or Multiple Cameras**

**Synthetic Shutter Speed Imaging** [TSY07] combines multiple sharp but noisy images captured with short exposure times. The resulting image has a lower noise level; motion blur is reduced by aligning all images before they are fused.

A **Hybrid Camera** for motion deblurring, consisting of a rig of two cameras, was introduced by Ben-Ezra and Nayar [BEN03,BEN04]. One of the cameras captures the scene at a high temporal, but low spatial resolution; the output of this camera is used to estimate the motion PSF, which in turn is used to deblur the high-quality image captured by the other camera. Improvements of reconstructions for hybrid cameras have recently been presented [THBL10]. Hybrid camera architectures also provide the opportunity to simultaneously deblur captures images and reconstruct a high-resolution depth map of the photographed scene [LYC08].
Motion blur in a video can be synthetically removed by applying super-resolution techniques to multiple successive frames [BBZ96]. Agrawal et al. [AXR09] showed that improved results can be achieved by modulating the exposure times for successive frames in video sequences so that a reconstruction from multiple images becomes a well-posed problem. An example for this is shown in Figure 8.

7. Acquisition of Further Light Properties

In this section, we review acquisition approaches for light properties that are not considered dimensions of the plenoptic function, but are closely related in terms of capture or applications.

7.1. Polarization

Polarization is an inherent property of the wave nature of light [Col05], which is why we treat it separately from the plenoptic function. Generally, polarization describes the oscillation of a wave traveling through space in the transverse plane, perpendicular to the direction of propagation. Linear polarization refers to transverse oscillation along a line, whereas spherical or elliptical polarization describe corresponding oscillation trajectories.

Although some animals, including mantis shrimp [MO99], cephalopods (squid, octopus, cuttlefish) [MSH09], and insects [Web76], are reported to have photoreceptors that are sensitive to polarization, standard solid state sensors are not. The most straightforward way of capturing this information is by taking multiple photographs of a scene with different polarizing filters mounted in front of the camera lens. These filters are standard practice in photography to reduce specular reflections, increase the contrast of outdoor images, and improve the appearance of vegetation. Alternatively, this kind of information can be captured using polarization filter arrays [SN03b], which, similar to color filter arrays, trade spatial resolution on the sensor for additional light properties. Generalized mosaics [SN05] capture full-resolution images, but require multiple photographs to be captured. Recently, structured illumination [GCP10] has been shown to have the potential to acquire all Stokes parameters necessary to describe polarization.

Applications for the acquisition of polarized light include image dehazing [SNN01, SNN03, NS05], improved underwater vision [SK04, SK05], specular highlight removal [WB91, NFB93, Mui96, UG04], shape [MTHI03, MKI04, AH05] and BRDF [AH08] estimation, material classification [CW98], light source separation [CDPW07], surface normal acquisition [MHP07], surface normal and refractive index estimation [Sad07, GCP10], separation of transparent layers [SSK99] and optical communication [SZ91, Sch03, Yao08].

7.2. Phase Imaging

A variety of techniques has been proposed to visualize and quantify phase retardation in transparent microscopic organisms [Mur01]. Many of these phase-contrast imaging approaches, such as Zernike phase contrast and differential interference contrast (DIC), require coherent illumination and are qualitative rather than quantitative. This implies that changes in phase or refractive events are encoded as intensity variations in captured images, but remain indistinguishable from the intensity variations caused by absorption in the medium. Quantitative approaches exist [BNBN02], but require multiple images and are subject to a paraxial approximation and are limited to orthographic cameras.

Schlieren and shadowgraph photography are alternative, non-intrusive imaging methods for dynamically changing refractive index fields. These techniques have been developed in the fluid imaging community over the past century, with substantial improvements in the 1940s. An extensive overview of different optical setups and the historic evolution of Schlieren and Shadowgraph imaging can be found in the book by Settles [Set01]. As illustrated in Figure 9, recently proposed applications of Schlieren imaging include the tomographic reconstruction of transparent gas flows using a camera array [AIH+08] and the capture of refractive events with 4D light field probes [WRH11].

7.3. LIDAR and Time-of-Flight

LIDAR (LIght Detection and Ranging) [Wan05] is a technology that measures the time of a laser pulse from transmission to detection of the reflected signal. It is similar to radar, but uses different wavelengths of the electromagnetic spectrum, typically in the infrared range. Combining such a pulsed laser with optical scanners and a positioning system such as GPS allows very precise depth or range maps to be captured, even from airplanes. Overlaying range data with standard photographs provides a powerful tool for aerial surveying, forestry, oceanography, agriculture, and geology. Flash LIDAR [LS01] or time-of-flight cameras [KBK10] capture a photograph and a range map simultaneously for all
pixels. Although spatial resolution of the range data is often poor, these camera usually capture at video rates.

Streak cameras operate in the picosecond [CS83] or even attosecond [IQY*02] range and usually capture 2D images, where one dimension is spatial light variation and the other dimension is time-of-flight. These cameras have recently been used to reveal scene information outside the line of sight of a camera, literally behind corners [KHDR09].

8. Discussion and Conclusions

In summary, we have presented a review of approaches to plenoptic image acquisition. We have used an intuitive categorization based on plenoptic dimensions and hardware setups for the acquisition. Alternative categorizations may be convenient for the discussion of the more general field of computational photography [RT09]. The increasingly growing number of publications in this field is one of the main motivations for this state of the art report, which focuses specifically on joint optical encoding and computational reconstruction approaches for the acquisition of the plenoptic function.

Based on the literature reviewed in this report, we make the following observations:

- most of the discussed approaches either assume that some plenoptic dimensions are constant, such as time in sequential image capture, or otherwise restricted, for instance spatially band-limited in single sensor interleaved capture; these assumptions result in fixed plenoptic resolution tradeoffs,
- however, there are strong correlations between the dimensions of the plenoptic function; so far these are almost exclusively exploited in color demosaicing,
- therefore, natural image statistics that can be used as priors in computational image reconstruction and incorporate all plenoptic dimensions with their correlation are desirable; so are sophisticated reconstruction techniques employing these.

It has recently been shown that all approaches for interleaved plenoptic sampling on a single sensor, including spatial [NN05] and Fourier multiplexing [VRA*07, LRAT08] methods, can be cast into a common reconstruction framework [IWH10]. While the exploitation of correlations between plenoptic dimensions, for example spatial and spectral light variation, is common practice for imaging with color filter arrays and subsequent demosaicing, there is significant potential to develop similar techniques for demosaicing other multiplexed plenoptic information, for instance light fields [LD10].

Prior for the correlations between plenoptic dimensions can be very useful for plenoptic super-resolution or generally more sophisticated reconstructions. These could, for instance, be derived from plenoptic image databases; we show examples of such data in Figures 10, 11, and 12.

Another promising avenue of future research is adaptive imaging. Precise control of the sampled plenoptic information is the key for flexible and adaptive reconstruction. An intuitive next step for sophisticated imaging with respect to temporal light variation and dynamic range is pixel-precise, non-destructive sensor readout. In the future, however, it is desirable to being able to control the optical modulation of all plenoptic dimensions.

While most of the reviewed approaches make fixed plenoptic resolution tradeoffs, some already show a glimpse of the potential of adaptive re-interpretation of captured data [AVR10]. Ideas from the compressive sensing community (see e.g., [CRT06]) have also started to play an important role in adaptive plenoptic imaging [GAVN10, VRR11]. In these approaches optical coding is combined with content adaptive reconstructions that can dynamically trade higher-dimensional resolution in post-processing to best represent the recorded data.

Picturing space, color, time, directions, and other light properties has been of great interest to science and art alike for centuries. With the emergence of digital light sensing technology and computational processing power, many new and exciting ways to acquire some of the visual richness surrounding us have been presented. We have, however, only begun to realize how new technologies allow us to transcend the way evolution has shaped visual perception for different creatures on this planet.

Acknowledgements

Gordon Wetzstein was supported by a UBC four year fellowship. This project was in part supported by the GRAND NCE. Ivo Ihrke was supported by the DFG Cluster of Excellence "Multimodal Computing and Interaction". 
Figure 10: Dataset containing multi-spectral variation and controlled object movement in the scene. Left: image mosaic illustrating the correlation between the spectral and temporal plenoptic dimension of natural scenes. Right: six spectral, color-coded slices of the dataset with two temporal snapshots each. We recorded these datasets using a custom multi-spectral camera that consists of collimating optics, a liquid crystal tunable filter, and a USB machine vision camera.

Figure 11: Five color channels of a multi-spectral light field with $5 \times 5$ viewpoints and 10 color channels for each viewpoint. This dataset was captured by mounting our multi-spectral camera on an X-Y translation stage and sequentially capturing the spectral bands for each viewpoint.

Figure 12: Another multi-spectral light field dataset with $15 \times 15$ viewpoints and 23 narrow-band color channels for each viewpoint. The spectral channels range from 460 nm to 680 nm in 10 nm increments. Only $5 \times 5$ viewpoints are shown in this mosaic and each of those is color-coded. The photographed scene includes a variety of illumination effects including diffraction, refraction, inter-reflections, and specularities.
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