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1. Overview

In the supplementary material, we describe the details of input drawing embedding at run time, dataset preparation, network architecture, and training strategy. We provide a systematic evaluation with various test cases and show that our approach learns a powerful latent style space for effective line drawing animation synthesis given different types of user input. We then validate our system design choices via ablation studies.

2. Input Drawing Embedding

At run time, we allow the user to create a line drawing at a keyframe in the animation. We need to obtain a style code from the input drawing that can faithfully reconstruct itself via our generator. The source of the input drawing can be 1) a NPR rendering of the keyframe with a set of user-specified parameters; 2) manual editing based on 1), e.g., removing or adding strokes; and 3) drawing from scratch over a reference image of the underlying geometry. Due to the huge space of drawing variation and limited capacity of NPR drawing generation, our StyleNet (E\textsubscript{S}) only works well with input from 1). To enable an interactive editing workflow with full user control, during test time, we adopt an optimization-based approach to embed an input drawing \(I_a\) at keyframe \(a\) into the latent style space. Specifically, we compute geometry feature \(g\) when a keyframe is selected. We freeze the weights of our generator \(G\) and optimize a latent code \(z^*\) so that the generated image, \(I_a' = G(g;z)\), is similar to the input drawing. Since the gradient of our binary drawing can be unstable for optimization, we adopt a pyramid structure with different levels of blurring, i.e.,

\[
z^* = \arg\min_z \sum_{k=1,3,3.65} \|\text{GauSm}_k(I_a) - \text{GauSm}_k(I_a')\|_1, \tag{1}
\]

where \(k\) is the kernel size of the Gaussian smoothing operator in pixels. We initialize the optimization with the projection of StyleNet, i.e., \(z_0 = E_S(I_a,M_a)\).

3. Implementation Details

3.1. Training Data

We evaluate our approach on five animation sequences: Mouse [ZZCB21] (480 frames), Lilly [3DP22] (1,200 frames), Human [Ado22] (3,000 frames), Michelle [Ado22] (200 frames), and Vegas [Ado22] (123 frames). For Human, we use the first 2,000 frames for network training and the rest of the sequence is only used for generalization test on unseen frames. For each scenario, we use NPR [DFRS03] to build a synthetic dataset for network training. For each frame, we use three NPR methods, i.e., suggestive contours, ridges and valleys, and apparent ridges, and sample four thresholds for each. We combine outputs from these methods and generate 64 (\(4 \times 4 \times 4\)) NPR line drawings for each frame and 4 additional Canny edge maps [Can86] generated with different thresholds. For each frame, it takes about 15 seconds on average to generate all 68 line drawings with a resolution of \(W,H = 512,512\). We apply commonly used techniques for 2D data augmentation, including translation, rotation, scaling, and flipping. We show samples training data for Human in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows an example of the input channels that represent the underlying geometry at each frame with a set of geometric properties.
IAGE leaky ReLU. The final output of this pipeline is a line drawing im-
jection at every layer, variance adjustment of residual blocks and
including bilinear upsampling, equalized learning rate, noise in-
G
lar architecture but maps the input line drawing
I
g
metric feature,
128, 64, and 32, while increases the number of channels gradually
play the sequence, add, edit, and delete keyframes.

3.2. Data Collection for Evaluation
We collect line drawings from three sources to evaluate our system.
1. Similar to the synthetic dataset generation pipeline, we gener-
ate unseen NPR line drawings for selected frames from the an-
imation sequence with random sampled NPR parameters. We
denote these drawings as NPR.
2. We allow users to edit an NPR line drawing by adding new
strokes or erase existing ones. We build a user interface for
this purpose as shown in Figure 3. It allows users to select a
frame and load an NPR line drawing from the training set or
from NPR. We show a shading image of the geometry at the se-
lected frame in the background with adjustable opacity. Users
can draw and erase strokes on the left canvas. We denote these
drawings as NPR w/ edits.
3. More experienced users can directly create line drawings from
scratch by looking at the reference shading image. We denote
these drawings as Freehand.

3.3. Network Architecture and Training Strategy
Our GeoNet, $E_G$, is a neural feature extractor built with con-
volutional layers followed by Parametric Rectifying Linear Unit
(PReLU) activation [HZRS15] and batch normalization. Starting
from the multi-channel geometric signal map $M_d \in \mathbb{R}^{512 \times 512 \times 6}$,
our network gradually decreases the dimension of the output to 256,
128, 64, and 32, while increases the number of channels gradually
to 32, 64, 256, and 512 after each layer. This results in a 2D geo-
metric feature, $g \in \mathbb{R}^{32 \times 32 \times 512}$. Our StyleNet, $E_s$, adopts a simi-
lar architecture but maps the input line drawing $I_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{512 \times 512 \times 1}$
into a 1D style code, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times 2048}$ with five more layers. The
architecture of our generator, $G$, follows StyleGAN2 [KLA+20]
including bilinear upsampling, equalized learning rate, noise in-
jection at every layer, variance adjustment of residual blocks and
leaky ReLU. The final output of this pipeline is a line drawing im-
age $I_b \in \mathbb{R}^{512 \times 512 \times 1}$. We trained our network with a learning rate
of 0.02 using four NVIDIA V100 GPUs with a batch size of 4. It takes
about 48 hours on average to converge in our experiments.

During the drawing embedding step, we implement the op-
timization using the optim package from PyTorch [Fac20]. We
choose LBFGS algorithm [LN89] as our optimizer with a learn-
ing rate of 0.1. The optimization takes place on a single NVIDIA
V100 GPU for 100 steps for all the cases discussed in this paper.
This optimization takes about 30 seconds for 50 steps on average.

4. Evaluation
We evaluate our system with respect to line drawing synthesis, style
interpolation, latent code embedding, and interactive editing. Ex-
periments show that our approach outperforms vanilla style transfer
and style interpolation baselines. Our approach produces plau-
sible output that follows the properties of user input and transitions
naturally in the animation. Although our network is trained in a
case-specific manner, we show that it is easy to generalize to new
cases with quick finetuning. Users of our system think the synthe-
sized line drawings are consistent with their style and are useful for
efficient and controllable animation authoring.

Latent style space embedding. We first evaluate the latent space
embedding for a given line drawing. As discussed in the paper, the
style code directly predicted from an input drawing may not be
accurate enough for an edited NPR drawing or one drawn from
scratch. In Figure 4, we see that the drawing generated from the
learned latent style code $z$ is similar to the target input in general
but missing quite some details especially for NPR w/ edits and Free-
hand cases. With latent code optimization, the generated drawing
can recover strokes missing from the initial projection. Our pyra-
mid strategy facilitates gradient propagation and leads to better re-
construction compared to the one without the pyramid as shown by
the $L_1$ + VGG error. In case b), the pyramid strategy helps recover
strokes that are missing in the optimization without the pyramid.

Disentanglement between style and geometry. Since we cast
the style as an intrinsic property that depicts the relationship be-
tween stroke placement and geometric features, same drawing style
at different frames in a sequence should be mapped to the same
place in the latent style space. In other words, no matter which
frame the style is learned from, the latent style code should all
produce the same drawing for the target frame. Our NPR dataset
provides consistent style across frames in a sequence. In Figure 5,
given a target line drawing $I_a$ at a certain frame $a$, we randomly
pick two other frames $b$ and $c$ from the same sequence. The cor-
responding drawings with the same style are denoted as $I_b$ and $I_c$.
We show the style code extracted from $I_b$ and $I_c$ can be used to
faithfully reconstruct the target drawing $I_a$.

Style interpolation. Our latent style space is learned from a set
of separated NPR line drawings. The dataset itself cannot provide
supervision on smooth style transition due to the nature that some
NPR parameters are not interpolatable. Instead, our interpolation
loss and strokeness loss provide self-supervision for this purpose.
Here, we evaluate the performance of our method on interpolating
between two projected latent style codes. Starting from style in-
terpolation at a fixed frame, we learn the geometric features $g$ and
keep it unchanged during the interpolation. We embed the source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image opacity</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 3: User interface for drawing editing and interactive animation authoring. It allows the user to load an NPR line drawing, edit an existing drawing, or draw from scratch. We show a shading image of the geometry at the target frame in the background with adjustable opacity as a reference. In the animation mode, user can play the sequence, add, edit, and delete keyframes.](image1)
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Figure 4: Evaluation of latent style code embedding. We test our style embedding approach on different types of line drawings, i.e., NPR, NPR w/ edits, and Freehand. We show generated drawings from 1) learned latent style code \( z \), 2) optimized \( z \) without the pyramid strategy, and 3) optimized \( z \) with the pyramid strategy (ours). Our approach achieves best reconstruction from the latent embedding. Red arrows highlight challenging areas. Ours achieves the lowest \( L_1 + \text{VGG} \) error as shown by the values below each entry.

Figure 5: Disentanglement between geometry and style. For each target drawing (1st col.), we learn the latent style code \( z \) from one rendered with the same NPR parameters but at a different frame (2nd and 4th cols.) The drawing reconstruction from the learned style codes is almost identical at the target frame (3rd and 5th cols.) and target line drawings into latent space to obtain the corresponding latent style codes \( z_{\text{source}} \) and \( z_{\text{target}} \). We perform linear interpolation between \( z_{\text{source}} \) and \( z_{\text{target}} \) to generate the transitioning line drawings accordingly, as shown in Figure 6.

An alternative baseline method for this task is to adopt a vanilla StyleGAN [KLA+20]. In the even rows of Figure 6, we train a vanilla StyleGAN with the same NPR dataset and perform the drawing embedding with our pyramid-based optimization. Instead of performing interpolation in the style space, we directly perform interpolation in the \( W \) space for the vanilla StyleGAN to generate corresponding output. The optimization-based embedding performs reasonable for reconstructing target drawings in the \( W \) space [KLA+20]. However, without an explicit disentanglement of style and geometry, the interpolation results using the vanilla StyleGAN have heavy artifacts.

In this experiment, we test line drawings from NPR, NPR w/ edits, and Freehand. We show that our method learns a robust latent style space for all the three types of drawings. In Table 1, we report the sparsity loss, interpolation loss, and the strokeness loss along the interpolated sequence. We show our method outperforms the baseline approach on style interpolation.

Table 1: Statistics of style interpolation on static frame in Figure 6. We calculate sparsity loss, interpolation loss, and the strokeness loss along the interpolated sequence. We show our method outperforms the baseline approach on style interpolation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Reconstruction</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Reconstruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lilly</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Figure 6:** Style interpolation at a static frame. For each case, the source line drawing (1st or 6th column) and the target (5th or 10th column) are given and embedded into the style space. Linearly interpolated style codes are used to generate transitioning line drawings (2nd–4th or 7th–9th columns). We compare our approach (odd rows) with a baseline method (even rows), vanilla StyleGAN trained with the same dataset. Our approach outperforms the baseline method due to geometry/style disentanglement and drawing-specific supervision during training.

**Figure 7:** Style interpolation between dynamic frames. We evaluate our system on animation sequences with dynamic frames using the same experiment setup from Figure 6.
shown in Figure 7. We visualize the three sequences (Freehand: red, NPR w/ edits: green, Freehand: blue) with the same 2D embedding. The trajectories indicate that the predicted style interpolation between frames is perceptually smooth and generalized well to unseen styles (NPR w/ edits and Freehand).

learned from the underlying geometry at each frame accordingly, while the style code $z$ is still interpolated linearly between the source and target line drawings. As shown in Figure 7, our learned latent space supports different types of line drawings for interpolation between the frames. The generated line drawings have a smoothly transitioning style along the sequence. We also visualize the drawing sequence as a trajectory in the 2D embedding space as shown in Figure 8. Specifically, for the test animation, we randomly sample 10k NPR line drawings from our dataset and compute the 512-dimensional VGG feature for each drawing. We apply t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) [VdMH08] for the VGG features. For the sequences in Figure 7, we embed the VGG feature for each entry into the same t-SNE domain. We see that the line drawing animation with linear interpolated style forms a smooth trajectory in the perceptual embedding space. This backs up our observation that the transition between source and target line drawings is smooth and natural.

5. Ablation Study

We use an ablation study to validate our design choices. Starting from a source edited NPR line drawing, we perform style interpolation on a static frame towards a target edited NPR line drawing. Figure 9 shows the effect of the loss terms used in network training. We see that the three losses proposed to self-supervise drawing interpolation, i.e., sparsity loss, interpolation loss, and strokeness loss, are functioning as expected as the toy examples discussed in the paper. We observe a smoother transition from the source to the target in our full pipeline. We also observe that those losses provide supervision over the gaps between samples in the NPR dataset, which helps the method generalize to unseen input line drawings.

We adopt the same style interpolation setup to evaluate the effect of latent space dimension. We train the same network but reduce the dimension of the latent style space from 2048 to 1024 to 512. After training with the same number of epochs, we compare their style interpolation performance in Figure 10. We observe that the quality of line drawing synthesis is improved along with the increase of the latent space dimension, where the model size and training time for each epoch are increased as well. Therefore, our choice of a 2048-dimensional latent style space is a reasonable tradeoff between training efficiency and network performance.
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Figure 9: Ablation study of loss terms. Given the same source and target line drawings, we first embed the drawings to obtain their latent style codes. We linearly interpolated the style codes to generate the in-between drawings. From top to bottom, we first show our method (a), and gradually remove strokeness loss (b), interpolation loss (c), and sparsity loss (d). Reconstruction error is reported for the embedding of source and target drawings. Red arrows highlight the artifacts in the interpolation. We show that, with all the loss terms, our approach performs the best for style interpolation and reconstruction of unseen input line drawings.

Figure 10: Ablation study of latent space dimension. We train the same network with a latent space dimension gradually decreasing from 2048 to 1024 to 512. With an experiment setup similar to Figure 9, we show that a 2048-dimensional latent space (ours) is more capable of learning diverse drawing styles. Decreasing latent space dimension worsens the performance in drawing embedding and style interpolation.