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Abstract

The simulation of complex light effects such as depth-of-field, motion blur or scattering in participating media requires a tremendous amount of computation. But the resulting pictures are often blurry. We claim that those regions should be computed sparsely to reduce their cost. To do so, we propose a method covariance tracing that estimates the local variations of a signal. This method is based on an extended frequency analysis of light transport and permits to build efficient algorithms that distribute the cost of low frequency parts of the simulation of light transport.

This thesis presents an improvement over the frequency analysis of local light-fields introduced by Durand et al. [47]. We add into this analysis of light transport operations such as rough refractions, motion and participating media effects. We further improve the analysis of previously defined operations to handle non-planar occlusions of light, anisotropic BRDFs and multiple lenses.

We present covariance tracing, a method to evaluate the covariance matrix of the local light-field spectrum on a per light-path basis. We show that covariance analysis is defined for all the defined Fourier operators. Furthermore, covariance analysis is compatible with Monte Carlo integration making it practical to study distributed effects.

We show the use of covariance tracing with various applications ranging from motion blur and depth-of-field adaptive sampling and filtering, photon mapping kernel size estimation and adaptive sampling of volumetric effects.

Résumé

Cette thèse présente une extension de l’analyse fréquentielle des light-fields locaux introduite par Durand et al. [47]. Nous ajoutons à cette analyse l’étude d’opérateurs tels que la réfraction par des surfaces spéculaires et non-spéculaires, le mouvement et les milieux participatifs. Nous étendons des opérateurs précédemment définis pour permettre l’étude d’occlusions non planaires, des BRDFs anisotropes et les lentilles multiples. Nous présentons l’analyse de la covariance du transport de la lumière, une méthode pour estimer la matrice de covariance d’un light-field local à partir de l’ensemble des opérations auxquels est soumis le light-field. Nous montrons l’application de cet outil avec plusieurs applications permettant le sampling adaptatif et le filtrage de flous de bougé ou de profondeur de champ, l’estimation des tailles de noyaux de reconstruction pour les photons et les photon beams ainsi que le sampling adaptatif des effets volumiques.
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1 | Introduction

Rendering consists in the synthetic generation of digital pictures from a set of virtual geometric primitives, lights, materials and camera. Such picture is considered physically-based if it is generated following the principles of physics (Figure 1.1). Thus, a physical simulation of the light transport in this virtual scene has to be performed \[13\]. This simulation involves an intricate combination of integrals \[96\], over all paths followed by light (called light-paths). This can be solved numerically using either Monte Carlo integration, kernel density estimation methods or finite elements methods. Monte Carlo and kernel density estimation methods are widely used in modern rendering softwares \[137\]. Moreover, to include a large body of light phenomena, the simulation must provide various models for the interaction between light and the scene elements (referred as scattering), and between light and the camera.

![Figure 1.1](image)

*Figure 1.1* – In a photo-realistic rendering engine, an 3D scene is used as input of a lighting simulation following principles of physics. The resulting picture is post-processed and adjusted to be displayed on a media.

Although light transport is well understood, a complete simulation can typically take days \[85\] for complex scattering models. We must keep in mind that realistic rendering is just another tool for artists to express their creativity: simulation times should not be a restriction (Figure C.1). Indeed, artists usually work iteratively by modifying a first draft until the desired emotion is reached. Our goal is to provide tools for artists that permit to produce accurate pictures, with a large range of light effects, while keeping rendering time short to permit a large number of iterations.

1.1 Motivation

We start our analysis from artistic photographs. We focus on three different effects: depth-of-field, motion blur and scattering in participating media (See Figure C.2):
1.1. MOTIVATION

Figure 1.2 – Example of photo-realistic synthetic images. While the generation of the picture follows the laws of physics, it does not imply that the result will be realistic. Furthermore, the complexity of the simulation should not be a bottleneck for artists as what matters to them is creativity.

(a) Depth-of-field  (b) Scattering in participating media

(c) Motion blur

Figure 1.3 – Light phenomenons available to artists in photography are numerous. Using a lens an artist can create a depth-of-field effect [a] to focus the attention on a particular detail. Light interaction with non opaque medium, such as fog, creates atmospheric scenes [b]. Opening the camera shutter during a long time generates motion blur [c] and enforces the feeling of motion. Rendering synthetic images that mimic these phenomena remains a challenge.

Depth-of-field results from the convergence of photons (light particles) from various places in the scene to a single point on the camera’s sensor. This effect
1.2. FOURIER TRANSFORMS

The Fourier transform is a tool to express a signal in terms of amplitude with respect to frequency (number of variation per unit cycle) rather than in terms of amplitude with respect to position. It defines an alternative space in which a signal can be studied (Figure 1.2). For example, if the Fourier transform of a spectrum is tight around the origin of the Fourier domain, the signal will not exhibit many variations (Figure 1.2, red inset). On the contrary, a Fourier transform that spreads in the Fourier domain will exhibit an important amount of variations (Figure 1.2, green inset). Thus, the Fourier transform provides us a tool to detect blurry regions.

Another domain where using Fourier transforms are interesting is numerical integration (such as Gaussian quadrature or Monte-Carlo integrals). Numerical integration propose to approximate the solution of an integral using a discrete
1.3. GOALS

Figure 1.4 – The Fourier transform of a signal depicts its variations. We illustrate this notion using the Lena image. We select portions of the image and display the Fourier transform in insets. Low frequency regions of the image are compacted around the origin of the Fourier domain while high frequency regions distribute in the Fourier domain.

sum. The elements of the sum are called the samples. The quality of the approximation is a function of the number of samples used. But, for the same number of samples, this quality varies for different integrand.

In fact, integration has an alternative formulation in the Fourier domain. There, the source of error in numerical integration is well understood [33, 34]. From the knowledge of the integrand spectrum, we can predict the required number of samples to obtain a perfect estimate of the integral. But, the integrand’s spectrum is not known in practice.

1.3 Goals

The present work is motivated by the need to evaluate Fourier spectra. Indeed, the knowledge of the integrand’s spectrum or of the image’s spectrum allows to specify where the blur occurs or to define how many samples will be required to calculate an integral. We want to bring such knowledge to the area of rendering. But this has to be done for a complex set of lighting effects in order to be used by artists. We separate our goals into three categories:

1.3.1 Frequency Analysis of Light Transport

Frequency analysis of light transport is the area of computer graphics seeking to provide the knowledge of the integrand spectrum. This thesis is in the continuity of pioneering works on this topic [47, 165, 49, 51, 50]. Our goal is to enrich the set of effects analyzed. This is mandatory if we want our work to be used by artists in the future.

1.3.2 Denoising Applications

When the required number of samples cannot be achieved, denoising algorithms can remove part of the remaining noise. Those algorithms are often driven by an estimate of the local variations. Frequency analysis can provide
such knowledge. Our goal here is to provide algorithms to reconstruct smooth regions from an incomplete simulation in order to reduce the time needed to generate images.

1.3.3 Understanding Light Transport

Another goal of this thesis is to provide more understanding of the light transport process. Studying the Fourier spectrum allows us to understand how angular variations of the signal are blurred by diffuse reflection, how a lens affects the convergence of light on the sensor, or how participating media blurs the light, in a different perspective than previously stated.

1.4 Contributions

This dissertation presents the following contributions:

- We enrich the analysis of Durand et al. \cite{47} on frequency analysis of light transport. We define new operators such as volume scattering and absorption. We generalize previous operators, such as lens, reflection and occlusion (Chapter 3).

- We present the covariance analysis of light transport, a new analysis of the covariance of the local radiance’s spectrum which is compatible with Monte-Carlo integration (Chapter 4.2).

- We present two data structures in the form of voxel grids to evaluate an approximation of the local occlusion of light by objects (Chapter 4.3).

- We present applications of the covariance matrix to validate our claim that frequency information can allow optimizations for ray-tracing algorithms (Chapter 5).

This dissertation is organized as follows: First, we will present the current state-of-the-art for generating photo-realistic images using light-path integrals (Chapter 2). Then, our contributions will be presented in three distinct chapters. In the first one (Chapter 3), we will coherently present the frequency analysis of light transport. This theoretical analysis will contain works we build upon as well as our contributions. The second chapter (Chapter 4) will study the tools provided to perform this analysis in a numerical integration context. We will present there the covariance matrix, a versatile tool proposed to overcome the limitations of previously proposed tools. The last contribution chapter (Chapter 5) will present various algorithms to speed-up the rendering of photo-realistic images from the knowledge of frequency information.
2 | Theory of Light Transport

Light transport simulation requires the definition of what light is, how it interacts with matter (called scattering), and how it interacts with a sensor. A light transport model defines those elements. In this chapter, we will quickly review different models available (Section 2.1) and focus on the model used in physically based rendering: Geometrical optics. Then, from the integral definition of light transport, we will review the light-path integration algorithms (Chapter 2.2). Finally, we will review noise reduction methods for those integration algorithms (Chapter 2.3).

2.1 A Model of Light Transport for Computer Graphics

The goal of photo-realistic image synthesis is to estimate the amount of light on a virtual sensor. The corresponding physical quantity is the radiance (usually noted $L$). It is defined as the energy passing per unit surface area, per unit solid angle, per unit time for a particular wavelength.

Estimating the radiance emitted by a light source on a sensor, after interacting with the world, requires a model for light-object and light-sensor interactions. There exist several models to describe how light will interact with its environment:

- **Geometrical optics** assumes that light is composed of corpuscles: photons. Photons travel in the world along lines: photons paths. In a uniform medium (or in vacuum), the photons travel in straight lines. Photons can be absorbed, reflected and emitted by objects. The reflection of a photon by a medium is called scattering. Scattering is described statistically using a phase function (usually denoted $\rho$) which describe how much of the absorbed photon is emitted in a particular direction.

- **Wave optics** models light as a wave. This model incorporates diffraction effects that geometrical optics cannot model for example.

- **Quantum electrodynamics** describes the interaction of light and matter using interactions between electrons and photons in space and time. This model is derived from quantum physics which describes physical phenomena at microscopic scale. This model incorporates Compton scattering (change of the photon's wavelength after a interaction) that wave optics cannot describe for example.
2.2 Algorithms for Light Transport Simulation

In the geometrical optics model, the estimation of how much power a surface or sensor receive is proportional to the density of photon paths arriving at this particular location.

2.2.1 Radiance estimation as an integration

The interaction of light with opaque media, is described by the rendering equation (Equation 2.1). This formulation of the rendering problem was proposed by Kajiya [96]:

\[
L(x, \omega) = L_0(x, \omega) \int_{\omega'} G(x, y) \rho(x, \omega, \omega') L(y, \omega') d\omega'
\] (2.1)

Where \(L(x, \omega)\) is the radiance at position \(x\) in direction \(\omega\), \(G(x, y)\) is called the geometrical term and accounts for occlusion, and for the relative geometry at position \(x\) and \(y\). \(\rho(x, \omega, \omega')\) is the scattering function at position \(x\) for an incoming direction \(\omega\) and an outgoing direction \(\omega'\). For reflection scattering, the phase function is called BRDF (Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function) [126]. For refraction scattering, the phase function is called BTDF (Bidirectional Transmission Distribution Function). Because of its recursive definition, the solution to the rendering equation lies in the computation of a high dimensional function. In his thesis, Veach [176, Chapter 8] proposed an alternative formulation of this integral: the light-path formulation. A light-path is a set of points on the surface of objects, or inside participating media, that form the virtual path that could be followed by photons. In this formulation, the integration of radiance arriving at a particular position in space is estimated by the integration of the density of light-paths (or photon paths) connecting this position with the light sources of the virtual 3D scene:

\[
L_j = \int_{l \in \Omega} f_j(l) d\mu(l)
\] (2.2)

Where \(L_j\) is the radiance value for pixel \(j\), \(f_i\) is the function giving the radiance density for a particular light-path \(l\) in the set of all coherent light-paths with associated measure \(d\mu(l)\).

Light interaction inside non opaque volumes (e.g., smoke, clouds, water, skin) with a homogeneous phase function is described by the Radiative Transfer Equation, or RTE (See Ishimaru’s monograph [34]):

\[
(\langle \omega, \nabla \rangle + c(x)) L(x, \omega) = b(x) \int_{\omega' \in S^2} \rho(\omega, \omega') L(x, \omega') d\omega' + Q(x, \omega)
\] (2.3)

In this equation \(L(x, \omega)\) is the radiance, \(\nabla\) is the differential operator, \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) is the dot product, \(c(x)\) is the extinction coefficient, \(b(x)\) is the scattering
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coefficient, \( \rho(\vec{a}, \vec{a}') \) is the phase function and \( Q(x, \vec{a}) \) is the emission term when
the volume emits photons. The extinction coefficient describes the proportion of
light that is not absorbed or scattered in another direction during its transport
in the medium at position \( x \). The scattering coefficient describes the proportion
of incoming light that interacts with the media at position \( x \).

This differo-integral equation can also be expressed as an integral of light-paths [135]. It allows to combine the integration of participating media and
surface reflections in the same framework. Theoretical analysis showed that the
RTE can be solved by using integration of discrete light-paths [172]. Continuous
paths have to be used in the context of highly scattering medium. Tessendorf
[173] proposed the use of path integrals to solve the RTE for strong forward
scattering medium. It was later adapted to computer graphics by Premože
et al. [139].

2.2.2 Integration methods for high-dimensional integrand

Classical numerical integration methods, like Gaussian quadrature, become
intractable as the number of dimension grows (they converge in \( N^{-\frac{1}{d}} \), where
\( d \) is the number of dimensions and \( N \) the number of samples). The number of
dimensions covered by the RTE is theoretically unbounded. Consequently, the
computer graphics community prefers to use statistical integration tools that
are independent to the number of dimensions.

In this section, we describe the two kinds of statistical integration methods
used in computer graphics: Monte Carlo integration and density estimation
methods.

2.2.2.1 Monte Carlo integration

Monte Carlo integration methods use principles of statistics to estimate the
integral of a density function. The idea is to look at the integrand as a proba-
bility density function (noted PDF). Our aim is to evaluate its mean value, or
expected value, which is proportional to the integral of the function. We can
do it numerically using random evaluations of the PDF:

\[
L_j \simeq \frac{U}{N} \sum_{i} f_j(l_i)
\] (2.4)

Where \( L_j \) is the radiance at pixel \( j \), \( U \) is the area of integration (size of
the domain of definition), \( f_j \) is the function giving the radiance contribution
of light-path \( l_i \) to pixel \( j \). \( N \) is the number of samples drawn (the \( l_i \)) uniformly
over the domain of definition of \( f_j \).

Metropolis [117] gives a historical perspective as well as an intuitive expla-
nation of Monte Carlo methods.

Monte Carlo integration is independent from the number of dimensions for
convergence, as all the dimensions are explored independently. The resulting
error reduction with respect to the number of samples is in \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \) (where \( N \) is
the number of samples). This means that in order to statistically halve the
error of a given number of samples, it is required to run the simulation using
four times more samples.
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2.2.2.2 Density estimation using kernel method

Another Monte Carlo method to estimate the radiance at a given pixel is kernel based density estimation.

Kernel based density estimation methods try to reconstruct a density function from a set of samples. At a position \( p \), the value \( f(p) \) is estimated using a kernel function \( K \) over the samples. In the original formulation, the density of samples has to be proportional to the function to be reconstructed. Recent works showed that is not mandatory if the sampling density is known and the function \( f \) can be estimated at sample position. We use this method to reconstruct the radiance on the sensor. In this reconstruction, the integration of light-paths is implicit. (See Silverman’s monograph for an introduction on density estimation [164]):

\[
f_j \approx \frac{1}{Nh^d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_{h,j}(p_i)
\] (2.5)

Where \( p_i \) are the samples used to reconstruct the density at position \( j \), \( h \) is the window width, \( d \) the dimension of the space and \( K_{h,j}(p_i) \) is the kernel function. The window should be estimated carefully as it will influence the resulting appearance. A big radius will blur the results while a small radius might not catch any samples leading to holes in the reconstruction.

2.2.3 Applications in Computer Graphics

To estimate light-path density, several algorithms have been proposed. We can categorize them into two categories: Monte Carlo methods, and kernel methods. Beside the theoretical differences, those methods usually differ from where they perform the integration. Monte Carlo methods estimate the radiance at the sensor location while kernel based methods estimate the radiance in the scene.

Methods presented here are often classified using unbiased and convergent classes. An unbiased algorithm provides the correct answer statistically. Averaging \( M \) results of independent run of the algorithm with \( N \) samples is equivalent to running the algorithm with \( M \times N \) samples. We call the error to the solution the variance. A convergent algorithm converges towards the correct solution as the number of samples increase. The error to the solution is decomposed into a variance term and a bias term. This classification is interesting for a theoretical point of view. Practically speaking, this information is of little help and the question of how much samples to draw stays, no matter the class of the algorithm.

2.2.3.1 Monte Carlo Integration

We review here the different kind of Monte Carlo algorithms proposed until now in computer graphics. Those algorithms are often coupled with a light-path generation algorithm.
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Unidirectional Path Tracing: Kajiya was the first to introduce the integral formulation of Equation 2.1. He proposed to solve this integration of the radiance using recursive ray-tracing \[96\] from the sensor to the light (referred by eye-path). The dual exploration scheme, light-path methods, follow the propagation of photons. Light-path tracing has been proposed in the context of radiosity textures where the connection to the eye is assumed to be diffuse \[5\].

Bidirectional Path Tracing: Eye-path and light-path tracing methods have their own strength. On one hand, light-path tracing is very good for creating specular paths from the light source, but fails to connect specular paths to a camera. On the other hand, eye-path tracing will perform well to generate specular paths from the eye while failing to connect specular path to the light. Bidirectional methods propose to alleviate these restrictions by combining those two methods.

![Figure 2.1](image-url) – Using a bidirectional path-tracing method allows to generate complex light-paths like the path composed of a double refraction in the glass sphere from the light \(l_0 \ldots l_3\) and the double refraction in the glass from the camera \(e_0 \ldots e_3\). For that, we sample the light-path and the eye-path and connect the two based on visibility.

The idea is to create concurrently both forward and backward paths and to connect them to create full light to eye paths (see Figure 2.1 for an example with a complex refraction). This method was first proposed by Heckbert \[73\] who stored the radiance from light-path into radiosity textures and used eye-path to evaluate the radiance at the sensor. Lafortune and Willems \[104\] and Veach and Guibas \[177\] published concurrently methods to produce light-paths from both directions.

Metropolis Light Transport: Veach and Guibas \[179\] brought the Metropolis-Hasting \[67\] sampling method to Computer Graphics. This genetic algorithm generates light-paths as samples from mutations of a light-path seed (as illustrated with Figure 2.2). Mutations are accepted based on a defined probability density function, proportional to the radiance. The distribution of light-paths (after an infinite number of drawn samples) gives the energy distribution.
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Figure 2.2 – Metropolis light transport generate a Markov chain of mutation (in dotted red) from a seed light-path (in black). The radiance will be given per pixel by the density of mutated light-paths per pixel.

Defining mutations strategies for Metropolis is a challenge. As explained by Veach and Guibas, the set of possible mutations should allow ergodic changes. From a given light-path there should be a non zero probability of generating any other light-path (carrying energy toward the camera) from the set of possible mutations. Veach and Guibas [179] proposed a set of mutation based on typical cases (e.g., moving a diffuse point, moving a caustic path, etc). Another work on mutations was done by Kelemen et al. [177]. They looked at two kind of mutations (local and global ones) on a virtual hyper-cube.

Pauly et al. [136] extended the set of possible light-paths to be used by adding the theoretical foundation and mutation strategy for participating media. Segovia et al. [156] applied results from the applied statistic community on generating multiple candidates per mutation pass to further reduce the variance of the estimate.

Metropolis can also be used to generate light-paths for other integration methods and give the user some intuitive control (e.g., a maximum density per $m^2$) using the acceptance function. Segovia et al. [157], Fan et al. [54] and Chen et al. [23] used this technique to populate a scene with either virtual point lights or photons.

Virtual Point Lights: Virtual point lights (or VPL) are used to fake indirect illumination by adding more direct sources to the scene (Figure 4.6). This technique produces forward light-paths and store the resulting hit points on surfaces. Those hit points are then used as new light sources.

This idea was introduced by Keller [58] to bring global illumination effects into real-time rendering engines. This work was extended to be fully operational in a global illumination renderer [38, 115, 116, 127, 128]. The number of VPL per pixel is evaluated based on a perceptive metric. The same metric was used in an interactive setting using matrix clustering [70]. This solution is approximate and issues arise with near-specular glossy BRDFs. Techniques such as Virtual Spherical Lights [71] and combining global illumination from VPL with traced local illumination [35] overcome those limitations.
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Figure 2.3 - Virtual point lights (VPL) are created using the same first pass as photon mapping. The second pass differs as the algorithm integrate one bounce illumination using stored light-paths’ end as primary sources.

2.2.3.2 Kernel Based Density Estimation

Kernel methods differ mostly from the definition of the kernel to be used, or the domain in which the reconstruction is performed (either on surfaces, on the screen or in the volume). In this section, we review the different kernels type used in computer graphics. Then we will present derived methods such as iterative density estimation and splatting methods.

Photon Mapping: Photon mapping is one use of kernel based density estimation methods in the computer graphics community. Photon mapping is a two step algorithm: first, light-paths are sampled and the intersections with diffuse elements of the scene are stored in a data-structure: the photon map. Then eye-paths are drawn and the intersections with diffuse surfaces are used to estimate radiance based on a local kernel method centered on the hit point.

Figure 2.4 - Photon mapping uses a two pass algorithm. First, light-paths (in black) are traced from the light sources and stored into a data structure: the photon map. Then eye-paths are traced from the camera until they hit a non-specular surface. Light-paths are created by accumulating the number of stored light-paths close to the end of the eye-path.

Lastra et al. [109] proposed a better estimate of the incoming radiance using photon rays instead of photon hits. This method is better at reconstructing sharp borders for example as it estimates the photon flux on a disc area. Evaluation of disc intersection was later improved by Havran et al. [68] who used a lazy evaluated kd-tree to store rays. The evaluation of the intersection in Plücker coordinates due to the use of rays makes those techniques rather slow compared to traditional point density estimation. Zinke and Weber [191] discretized the photon ray into photons points in space and perform integration using half sphere rather than discs.

Another estimator was proposed by Hey and Purgathofer [79] who estimated the density using the geometry surface in a cubic kernel area. This method avoid the darkening of corner that arise with planar surface estimators. This method needs to account for occlusion during the selection of surfaces since the we are looking at a volume and no longer at a surface. Other methods used polygons to perform the density estimation on a fine tessellation [182], or to estimate a kernel shape adapted to the geometry [173]. Figure 2.5 sums up the different density estimators for surfaces.

**Figure 2.5** -- Here we review the different density estimators proposed for radiance estimation on surfaces. Jensen [92] used photon hits in a k-nearest fashion (a). Lastra et al. [109] evaluated the light-field radiance on a planar disc using photon rays (b). Zinke and Weber [191] discretized photon rays to accelerate the density evaluation (c). Hey and Purgathofer [79] used the surfaces inside a cube perform the integration. Rays in green are not intersecting the surface but also contribute to the estimator.
Photon mapping also works for participating media. Instead of storing photons on surfaces, photons are also stored in the volume. Note that the kernel are 3D spheres in such a configuration. However, beam shaped kernels can be preferred, as they increase the mean number of collected samples. Jarosz et al. replaced photon points by photon beams for participating media in order to further accelerate convergence.

**Photon Splatting** The dual of the kernel density estimation method is to distribute the photon energy on the surface, or in the medium using individual kernels. This property is used to derive photon splatting techniques where the photons’ kernels are rasterized on the screen or reverse photon-mapping where the photon energy is distributed onto eye-paths. With the power of graphics cards one obtains faster convergence, but the splat’s size needs to adapt the geometry (e.g., occlusion, curvature). Part of this limitation can be addressed using a progressive scheme.

**Progressive Photon Mapping** Progressive photon mapping (originally proposed by Hachisuka et al., then theoretically reformulated by Knaus and Zwicker) remove the storage issue of photon mapping (all photons have to be stored in memory to perform density estimation) by breaking the algorithm into iterations. At each iteration, a small number of photons is sent into the scene and the density estimation is performed. Then, the photons are discarded and we begin another pass. In this new pass, we reduce all the kernels. This technique was pioneered by Boudet et al. who iterated photon passes. But the kernels were not reduced after each pass leading to a biased result. Recent methods provided conditions on the radius reduction to satisfy the convergence.

Progressive photon mapping has been extended to other kinds of integration than surface density estimation. The effect of participating media can be integrated. Depth-of-field and motion blur effects are done using stochastic integration.

Since the kernel is reduced at each pass, we do not need to adapt the kernel to the geometry. This error is converted into bias which decrease during the rendering time thanks to the iterative scheme and radius reduction.

**Coupling Monte Carlo and Density Estimation**

Recent works proposed to couple the benefits of both methods. Bidirectional path-tracing is modified to accept connection using vertex merging. In this type of connection, light-paths and eye-paths that end close to each other will form complete paths. This merging step is inspired by the gathering using kernel of photon mapping. The pure bidirectional and the vertex merging statistics are combined to produce a more robust estimator.

**Noise reduction**

While obtaining an image faster requires a good implementation of these rendering methods, it is not the only place where we can achieve better performance (rendering quality per number of samples, or time). In this section, we...
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will review classes of methods that decrease the noise present in the resulting integral with the same number of samples. This exposé is not complete as we only review methods in relation with this thesis:

- **Importance sampling** (Section 2.3.1) draws more samples in regions of higher values.
- **Stratification** and **Adaptive sampling** (Section 2.3.2) adapt the number of samples to draw in regions with more variations. This is done in subspaces such as image space, lens space or time space.
- **Filtering methods** (Section 2.3.3) use already drawn samples and a filter algorithm to estimate a smoother results.
- **Caching methods** (Section 2.3.4) reuse previously computed samples for smoothly varying indirect illumination effects.
- **Kernel methods** (Section 2.3.5) have their own noise reductions methods. Either the data-structure or the kernel can be adapted to reduce noise.

### 2.3.1 Importance Sampling

**Definition:** Several methods have been proposed in the applied statistic community to accelerate the convergence of Monte Carlo integrals. With **importance sampling** [95] the abscissa samples are not chosen uniformly in the integration domain, but they are drawn from an *importance function*. Given that our samples are not drawn uniformly other the domain (but according to distribution \( p \)), the integration of radiance (Equation 2.4) becomes:

\[
L_j \approx \frac{U}{N} \sum_{l_i} f_j(l_i) \frac{p_j(l_i)}{p_j(l_i)} \tag{2.6}
\]

where \( l_i \sim p_j \)

To keep the estimate unbiased, we need to put conditions on the importance function. For example, the importance function should always be strictly positive when the integrand is different from zero. This assumption allows to draw samples anywhere on the support of the integrand.

**Generating light-path with importance sampling:** Light-paths are created using importance sampling of the BRDF to be of a higher mean energy (Figure 2.7). Eye-paths can also use importance sampling of BRDF, but Veach and Guibas [178] showed that this could lead to a poor estimate. They combine multiple importance functions (such as BRDF importance and light importance) into the construction of the estimator and derive the corresponding weights. Yet, using multiple importance functions can lead to poor performances when only one importance function decrease significantly the variance (as half the samples will significantly decrease the variance). Pajot et al. [134] adapted the ratio of samples assigned to a given importance function per pixel to overcome this limitation.
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Figure 2.6 – We illustrate the process of importance sampling a 1D distribution. From the target pdf (in blue), we draw samples (in red) those density is equal to the pdf.

Figure 2.7 – When creating a light-path, one can use importance function based on the BRDFs to create a light-path with a higher energy on average. The red lobes represent the angular importance function for the reflected direction.

of the light-path in black.

Importance sampling BRDF: Most of the analytical BRDF models provide a way to perform importance sampling of outgoing directions ([7, 123, 124] among others). Please refer to Montes Soldado and Ureña Almagro’s survey [123] for a broader view on importance friendly BRDF models. When it is not possible, sampling patterns from another BRDF [8] or a quad-tree data structure [122] can be used. Acquired materials require an alternate representation such as Wavelets [107, 111, 28, 29], decompositions into lower dimensional factored terms [111], or rational functions [132].

Importance sampling light sources: We can importance sample distant illumination models (e.g., environment maps). Generating a set a point with distribution proportional to the intensity can be done using a quadrature rule [100], median cut algorithms [11, 151], or a hierarchical Penrose tiling [129]. Donikian et al. [45] importance sampled clustered light sources and tracked coherence within blocks of pixels.
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**Importance sampling product of functions:** Importance sampling the product of the BRDF and the light function leads to better performances compared to importance sampling only one or the other function. This target distribution can be achieved by warping the space in which uniform samples are drawn. This can be done using product of Wavelets \[27\], or from hierarchical partition \[30\]. **Bidirectional Importance Sampling** \[24, 153\] and **Importance Resampling** \[172\] allows to importance sample the product of illumination and BRDF using rejection an resampling. Rousselle et al. \[145\] importance sample the triple product of distant illumination, BRDF and visibility by using tabulated maxima. The set of samples is refined using a local mean of the product. Lafortune and Willems \[105\] used a 5D cache of radiance to importance sample the product of visibility, light and BRDFs.

**Importance sampling scattering:** Several target density function can be used to reduce the noise for scattering of light in participating media depending on the lighting configuration. The angular domain can be importance sampled for ray \[127\] or beam \[128\] light sources using an overestimate of the source contribution. Samples can be distributed along a ray based on the distance to the source or to get a constant angular density from the source point of view \[101\]. Scattering functions such as hair \[114\] can benefit from importance sampling \[76\]. Phase functions like Raleigh \[57\] have also been studied.

Nevertheless, building an importance function is a complicated task. As shown by Owen and Zhou \[131\], even though one might find a good approximation of the function to integrate and use it as the importance function, a close importance function can have an infinite asymptotic variance, leading to bad convergence rates.

### 2.3.2 Stratification and Adaptive Sampling

**Definition:** **Stratification** and **Adaptive sampling** reduce variance by separating the space of integration into strata based on the variation of the integrand. **Stratification** separates the domain into a set of \(N\) strata of equal variance and performs one computation (either evaluating the color of a pixel, or evaluating a sample) in each stratum. **Adaptive sampling** adapts the number of samples to the position on the input space based on the variance of the integrand.

**Image space stratification:** Mitchell \[121\] analyzed the convergence of stratification in image space. He reported that smooth regions converge in \(N^{-2}\), while regions with a small number of edges converge in \(N^{-3/2}\) and highly varying regions do not benefit from stratification.

**Between traditional importance sampling and stratification:** Agarwal et al.’s method \[1\] allows to remove some of the visibility variance using first stratification due to visibility, and then importance sampling based on intensity and stratum area.
Image space adaptive sampling: An estimate of the variance (or of the error) inside a pixel drives where to affect samples. Most of the algorithms use an iterative scheme where previous passes refine the estimate. Dippé and Wold [44] proposed an adaptive sampling algorithm, using the relative signal to noise ratio between two sampling rates. Simplified human visual systems, from the vision community, can be used to evaluate the perceptual differences between samples. It requires a basis to store samples, either a Discrete Cosine Transform [43], a Wavelet decomposition [135] or a Delaunay triangulation [55] of the image. Mitchell [119] used the perceptual metric of contrast. Rousselle et al. [146] used the Mean Square Error (MSE) estimate per pixel. Estimated variance from the samples can be used, extracted from a kD-tree [133], a Wavelet decomposition [130], or a block structure [45]. This variance can be enriched with depth information [22]. Sbert et al. [150] showed how to use information theory to drive adaptive sampling. They used the notion of entropy of samples (such as radiance value, hit point’s normal, ...) to estimate in which part of the scene information was missing for reconstruction.

Close to our work, bandwidth of the local Fourier spectrum or gradient information has been used to derive a sampling rate per pixel [37, 112]. These methods rely on filtering collected regions.

Multidimensional adaptive sampling: Adaptive sampling can be done in higher space than the image space. Hachisuka et al. [43] performed adaptive sampling in the domain of image, lens and time to adaptively sample motion-blur and depth-of-field effects. Engelhardt and Dachsbacher [52] proposed to adapt samples along the eye ray in the context of single scattering integration. The samples are refined around the discontinuity of the visibility function to reduce variance in god rays.

Local Fourier spectrum analysis can be done in part of image, time, lens and visibility. This frequency estimate can be used to drive adaptive sampling [111, 142, 49, 51, 50].

Adaptive sampling requires the definition of remaining error to sample a region more than another. This is tightly linked to the variations of the integrand [22]. Most of the proposed methods rely on distance between samples (either absolute, perceptual, ...). This estimate can be of low reliability if the sampling is insufficient or the spatial distance between samples large [44]. Knowing how much the integrand varies locally around a given sample is of particular interest.

2.3.3 Filtering

Filtering methods are related to the area of image noise reduction. Given an input image, we can reduce noise using a per pixel filter (function performing a weighted sum of neighboring pixels) that will suppress the noise from the image (high frequency, low intensity part of the signal) while preserving edges (high frequency, high intensity). Filtering methods can also be extended to be used in higher dimension space such as light-path space, or on parts of the integrand.
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There exist a large domain for these techniques in the signal processing community (see Motwani et al. [123] or Buades et al. [19] for a survey on such techniques). But as stated by Mitchell and Netravali [121], the ray tracing community cannot use them directly as the noise distribution can change depending on the position of the sample.

We differentiate three kind of filtering methods based on a algorithmic criteria:

- Prior methods precompute filtered elements of the scene (such as textures, BRDFs, . . . ) and adapt the filter size at runtime based on the integration footprint.
- Gathering methods loop over the domain and accumulate sample information based on a filter function.
- Splatting methods loop over the samples and assign to each point in the domain a portion of its value based on a filter function.

2.3.3.1 Prior filtering methods

We present the idea of prior filtering methods (or pre-filtering methods). We do not present a complete survey of the field as our motivation is transverse to this domain (see Bruneton and Neyret’s survey for more information [18]). Our goal is to perform integration with no prior knowledge of the integrand, whereas prefiltering in its latest development pre-filters the complete scene [74]. Pre-filtering precomputes a filtered hierarchy, where higher levels correspond to larger filters, and evaluate the correct level during the evaluation of the value.

Such methods require the knowledge of the footprint of a ray to evaluate the corresponding level of filtering. This information can be computed using
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cone tracing [3, 122] or ray differentials [52]. We will see later that frequency analysis can bring such information and what are the differences with other methods (Section 3.4).

2.3.3.2 Gathering filtering methods

Gathering methods work as follows (Figure 2.9): for all the pixels in the image, the algorithm estimates a reconstruction filter (or gathering kernel) and performs the weighted average of the samples inside the filter based on a distance from the pixel. For a given pixel \( p \in P \), a kernel function \( h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), a distance function from a pixel to a sample \( d : P \times S \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), and a set of samples \( S \):

\[
I_p = \frac{1}{H} \sum_s h(d(s, p))s \quad \text{where} \quad H = \sum_s h(d(s, p))
\]  

(2.7)

\[
I_p = \frac{1}{H} \sum_s h(d(s, p))s \quad \text{where} \quad H = \sum_s h(d(s, p))
\]

Figure 2.9 – Given a set of samples (that can be distributed in all pixels \( \text{(a)} \)), a gathering algorithm estimate a set of samples \( \text{(b)} \) and reconstruct a filtered version of the image using a weighted sum of the samples belonging to a filter \( \text{(c)} \).

**Isotropic filters** rely on rotationally symmetric filter functions. Rousselle et al. [146], for example, proposed to use a fixed set of isotropic filters to estimate the variance per filter and then select the optimal filter and drive an adaptive sampling algorithm.

Isotropic filters are limited because of the anisotropy of the integrand. For example, they perform badly in presence of edges (Figure 2.10(a)). Anisotropic filters on the other hand are better to filter edges (Figure 2.10(b)).

**Anisotropic filters** use a non-isotropic filter kernel. The idea is to adapt the filter to the local variations of the function (Figure 2.10(b)). They use more parameters than the color only, for example using the depth buffer and the normal buffer in the distance metric of the filter. Dammertz et al. [36] used an à-trous wavelet transform with bilateral weights. Shirley et al. [163] filtered samples in a depth order with adaptive filter size per sample depending on previously used filters.
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Figure 2.10 – Isotropic filters fail when the function to reconstruct is anisotropic. Given a discontinuity of the integrand, the isotropic filters (a) cover small areas near the discontinuities. The anisotropic filters will perform better as their areas are bigger (b).

Basis projection: The projection of a noisy input onto a basis with smooth components allows to filter out the noise by reducing the influence of “noisy” basis elements. For example, Meyer and Anderson [115], Chen et al. [26] used PCA on the image and time domains to filter the noise from unconverged animations. Overbeck et al. [130] used a Wavelet decomposition to remove noise in the high frequency coefficients of the decomposition. Compressive sensing allows to reconstruct a signal from a sparse input by imposing sparsity on the basis used. It has been applied to denoising in the image plane using a Wavelet basis [158, 159].

Bilateral filters: Durand et al. [47] used the bandwidth (Section 4.1.1) of the local light-field to derive a bilateral filtering method in image space using a sparse set of samples. In a first step, they predict bandwidth for all pixels of the image. Then, they estimate a set of image samples with a density proportional to the bandwidth. Finally, they reconstruct the image using a bilateral filter which space width is proportional to the bandwidth prediction and takes depth and normal information into account for reconstruction. Other uses of bilateral filters include Bilateral upsampling of a low resolution buffer of indirect illumination [144]. Correlation between the input random seeds and the generated samples can be used as another dimension of the bilateral filter [160].

Correlation between samples Ernst et al. [52] showed that, using Mitchell’s filter on samples generated on the entire image, correlation effects could result in filters being worse than averaging. Due to the coherence of samples inside of a filter’s footprint, the result is unlikely to contain such artifacts.

Gathering methods need to estimate the noise present in the reconstruction from the samples. This information has to be evaluated while preserving the function’s variation (such as edges). As a result, those methods can blur too much the image.
2.3.3.3 Splatting filtering methods

Splatting methods are the dual of gathering methods. Instead of looping over all the pixels in the image, they iterate over all the samples and evaluate all the pixels covered by a filter centered on the sample (Figure 2.11).

**Figure 2.11** – Given a set of input samples on an image (a), the algorithm estimate the splatting filter per sample (b) and reconstruction is done by calculating the integral of the filter over the pixel footprint (c).

**Screen-space splatting:** Rushmeier and Ward [147] splatted samples whose values are far from the local mean value. The more distant the sample value is from the mean, the wider the filter will be. While this method allows to diffuse outliers from unconverged simulation, it can also blur converged regions where the function has high variation.

Using edge information is useful in order to reconstruct an image from a set of sparse points [62, 10]. These methods permit a reconstruction that is aware of the principal source of high frequency in an image. McCool [116] used an anisotropic diffusion method in screen space that preserved edges using depth and normal information.

**High dimensional splatting:** Cline et al. [31] diffused energy from a given light-path using Metropolis mutations. Here, splatting is not done in screen-space, but in the light-path space. Distributed effects such as depth-of-field, motion blur or soft shadows can be splatted (for direct illumination) in a higher-dimensional space [112]. The idea is to look at the space where the effect is defined and to splat samples along linear directions assuming a diffuse behaviour of the last bounce. In the case of depth-of-field, the space of image and lens is reconstructed from a sparse set of samples that are splatted along the first order direction. This method can be extended to indirect illumination [113].
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Splatting methods need the knowledge of the splatting kernel size. It has to adapt to the signal bandwidth and to the geometry. This is often inferred from the samples [112, 113] or from other sources of information such as the depth-map [10]. Local variation analysis can provide the knowledge of the validity domain of a sample, and thus the size.

2.3.4 Cache methods

Caching methods (e.g., irradiance caching or radiance caching) use a data structure that sparsely stores indirect illumination information. Those methods are used to get smoother estimate of low frequency global illumination effects in movie production renderers [171]. Caching methods are related to high dimensional filtering methods. We separate them from the mentioned section due to large body of work present on this matter.

**Irradiance caching:** Caching irradiance relies on the fact that irradiance is often smooth for mostly diffuse scenes. Cache entry consist of the color and intensity of the diffuse component of indirect illumination at the cache position. The cache require to define a bound of the irradiance gradient to adapt the density of cache elements. This can be done using the "split-sphere" approximation [188], or an estimate over a fixed number of samples over the hemisphere [187]. Recently, Jarosz et al. [91] used the irradiance Hessian to adapt the density of caches and to improve reconstruction. A volumetric irradiance gradient for participating media can be derived [88, 143].

**Radiance caching:** Instead of storing a scalar value, radiance caching requires to store a distribution of radiance over the hemisphere (usually using spherical harmonics). It also require a new interpolation method for the cache entries. Křivánek et al. [102] proposed to estimate the gradient assuming that the incoming radiance to the cache point was diffuse. This method neglect occlusion to evaluate the gradient and works for low frequency BRDFs [102, 103]. It is possible to account for the directionality of the signal using anisotropic gradients [78]. Radiance’s gradient with participating media was also derived by Jarosz et al. [88].

Gradient and Hessian estimations are at the core of the density evaluation of caches elements. Those methods rely on a good approximation of those quantities to optimize the size of the cache and its efficiency.

2.3.5 Noise reduction for kernel methods

Kernel based methods can benefit from more noise reduction methods than those previously mentioned. One can modify the density estimator by modifying the kernel or by modifying the distribution of photons.
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**Filtering kernels:** is done by adapting the kernel size to the integrand variation. The bias introduced by the kernel can be estimated using a k-nearest search in the photon map [154] and then used to change the kernel size to minimize it. Schjøth et al. [151] used an anisotropic diffusion filter for the kernel. They derived an estimate of the irradiance gradient to adapt the kernel’s shape. Spencer and Jones [167] used a hierarchical photon map to smooth low frequency content.

**Filtering photons:** Spencer and Jones [166] removed noise from the density estimation by performing a Lloyd relaxation step on the photon positions. The photons are then distributed with local equi-distance between them. This reduces the number of photon used in the k-nearest kernels, and filters the radiance by diffusion. Suykens and Willems [170] reduced the storage density by changing the weight of already stored photons when the photon map is locally too dense. Jakob et al. [86] fit the photons to a hierarchichal Gaussian mixture using an Expectation-Maximization algorithm.

Weber et al. [189] filtered the photon density in object and time space using bilateral filters. This removes flickering in low sampling density regions but does not account for angular variation of the reconstructed density (this could be problematic for near specular surfaces visible from the camera).

Noise reduction techniques aim to compensate the defaults of the k-nearest neighbors aggregation method, or for the lack of information in low density regions. But modified kernels or filtered photon density have to adapt to the variation of the function to be reconstructed. Local variations of the function have to be evaluated. Some algorithm try to reduce the number of samples in region of high energy but of low variation. We postulate that importance sampling the variations of the integrand rather than its intensity would be beneficial to photon mapping and avoid the need to use those algorithms.

2.4 Conclusion

We saw that radiative transport of photons can be modeled as an integration problem. To evaluate this integral, we can either use Monte Carlo integration or kernel density estimation algorithms. Those algorithms rely on random samples: light-paths.

Those algorithms suffer from noise when the sample density is not sufficient to capture the variation of the integrand. We saw various noise reduction algorithms. Importance sampling alters the samples’ distributions to favor high energy regions. Adaptive and Stratification algorithms distribute the number of samples according to the complexity of the integrand. Those methods require to estimate the variation based on a sparse set of samples as there is no notion of variation of the integrand nearby a sample. Filtering algorithms smooth the set of samples and are also derived from the samples’ statistics.

We aim to bring the integrand variation information to these algorithms. For that, we need to define a notion of the instantaneous variation of the integrand. We need to evaluate this information while raytracing. This information
could then be used to adapt the sample density, derive filters adapted to the integrand, or importance sample the integrand to distribute samples in high variation regions. We also need this information to be anisotropic to permit better reconstructions when filtering.

Not much has been done in the field of filtering noise in participating media. Some image based techniques are general enough to handle it but they do not take the physical process into account. We know that scattering acts as a diffusion process and thus blurs the radiance.

In the following chapters, we will first introduce an analysis of local variations of the radiance function of a light-path in order to characterize variations of the final integrand using the Fourier transform (Chapter 3). Then, we will propose a new anisotropic descriptor of the local variance: the covariance matrix (Chapter 4). Finally we will propose applications of this tool to the two kind of integration methods we presented, Monte Carlo and kernel methods (Chapter 5).
3 | Frequency Analysis of Light Transport

In previous chapters we showed the need for local variation information in the context of integration (Chapter 2). We reviewed radiance integration methods using light-paths and remarked that they can benefit from local variation information (Chapter 2). This chapter presents a theory to express local variations of radiance around a light-path sample.

Figure 3.1 – We want to express the variation of the radiance function $L$ for small variations of its input argument $l$. For that, we need to define the local variation of $l$, $dl$ and to look at the variation of $L$ on this subdomain.

Our goal is the following: given an input light-path $l$, we want to obtain the local variations of the radiance function $dL(l + xdl)$ (Figure 3.1). This theory builds on two elements:

- **Paraxial optics** defines a local neighborhood around a ray. We use it to express the local neighborhood of a light-path (Section 3.1).

- **Fourier transform** expresses a function using a dual one with arguments in a frequency domain (Section 3.2). We use it to express the variations of the radiance function in the paraxial domain.

In the first two sections, we will present *Paraxial optics* (Section 3.1) and the *Fourier transform* (Chapter 3.2), the required tools for our analysis. The
3.1. PARAXIAL OPTIC

The third section (Section 3.3) will present the frequency analysis of local radiance (introduced by Durand et al. [47]). The Fourier transform will be used to express the radiance function in the paraxial domain of rays along a light-path. In the last section (Section 3.4), we will compare this frequency analysis to other local variations analysis methods that use derivatives.

In this chapter we present the following contributions:

- We present the frequency analysis of light transport as a whole, in a 3D setting. Previous publications often presented the theory in a simpler 2D setting. But it hides some complex parts of the analysis such as equators alignment, or that convolution is along one angular dimension.
- We redefine some elements of the theory to make it more practical and more general. We redefine the analysis of reflection, lens, occlusion and motion.
- We add the analysis of refraction of light as well as scattering and attenuation in the context of participating media.

3.1 Paraxial Optic

A light-path is defined as a list of chained rays. We analyse a light-path in a space composed of neighbor rays close to the ray defining the light-path (we call this ray the central ray). These rays are little perturbations of the central ray. This representation is close to the definition of ray differentials [82] and to Chen and Arvo’s differential of a specular light-paths [24], but we do not allow the domain of analysis to be extended. For example ray differentials propagate the angular extent of the derivative. If two highly curved surface are chained, the spanned differential angle can be large. Instead, we control the variation domain. We call local light-field (or light-field function) the radiance function defined in this local domain.

3.1.1 Parametrization of a Ray Neighborhood

For a given position on a light-path, our light-field function is defined over a 5D space, two dimensions define spatial variations, two dimensions define direction variations and one dimension corresponds to time variations. The direction components will be defined as angles measured from the central ray, along the principal directions of the tangent space (Figure 3.3). They define a spherical parametrization of angles, with the ray direction along the equatorial plane. In the following, we will denote $\delta r$ a 5D vector which spatial component will be $\delta x = (\delta x, \delta y)$, angular component will be $\delta \theta = (\delta \theta, \delta \phi)$ and spatial component will be $\delta t$. This parametrization comes from paraxial optics theory [31].

$$\delta r = (\delta x, \delta y, \delta \theta, \delta \phi, \delta t)$$ (3.1)

Figure 3.3 present our parametrization around a ray and an example of the radiance function with this parametrization.

---

1$\delta r$ represent a small value, not a differential. While it might be confusing at first, it allows to separate small values from potentially large one in equations.
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Figure 3.2 – We parametrize the space of rays around a central ray (Z axis) with a 2D position in the tangent plane ($\delta x, \delta y$) and a 2D angle in spherical coordinates ($\delta \theta, \delta \phi$) (The grey plane on the spherical parametrization is the equatorial plane). We are interested in infinitesimal values of those parameters, the dot product with a ray and the central ray will always be close to one.

3.1.2 Infinitesimal Analysis

We study infinitesimal variations of position $\delta x$, or angle $\delta \theta$, or time $\delta t$ around the central ray. It allows to perform first order simplifications in the analysis. One common simplification we use is the linearisation of trigonometric functions:

$$\tan(\delta \theta) \simeq \delta \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

In such approximation, second order terms can be neglected. A correct way of mathematically writing this would be $f(u) = f(0) + u \frac{df}{du} + O(u^2)$, (the $O(u^2)$ regroup all the second order, and above, terms). Such approximation remains accurate for small values: $u \ll 1$. In this context, we do not distinguish local rays parametrized with angles $\delta \theta$ from those parametrized with tangent deviations from a unit distance $\delta u = \tan(\delta \theta)$.

3.2 Frequency Analysis and Fourier Space

Frequency analysis of functions was introduced by [correct] to solve the heat diffusion problem using what we call now Fourier series [56, p.159]. It was later
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Figur 3.3 – The local light-field parametrization is illustrated here. A given position $\delta r = (\delta x, \delta y, \delta \theta, \delta \phi, \delta t)$ corresponds to a ray. We study the radiance going through local rays in this parametrization. We can only present two axes of the local space here.

extended, by Plancherel and Leffler [138] to general analysis of functions in $L^2$ (what we call the Fourier transform), later to distributions by Schwartz [155], and even to probability density functions, where it is called the characteristic functional [172, Chapter IV.2]. In this thesis, we use functions with well defined Fourier transform ($L^1$ space or tempered distributions).

3.2.1 Fourier Transform

Given a function $f$ (defined over argument $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ called the primal space), the Fourier transform of $f$, noted $\mathcal{F}[f]$ (or $\hat{f}$), is a function with definition:

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\vec{\mu}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\mu}} d\vec{x} \quad \vec{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

(3.3)

The space in which the Fourier transforms of functions are defined is called the Fourier space, the Fourier domain, or the dual space. The input function of a Fourier transform is called the primal function. The Fourier transform of a function is sometimes called frequency spectrum of the primal function.

3.2.2 An example

Understanding Fourier transform is straightforward when we look at the resulting spectrum. The resulting function has values in the complex domain, which makes it difficult to analyze. We separate this complex signal into two components: the amplitude (Figure 3.4(b)), and the phase (Figure 3.4(c)).

$$\hat{f}(\vec{\mu}) = \varrho(\vec{\mu}) e^{i\phi(\vec{\mu})}$$

(3.4)

Where $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is the amplitude and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the phase.

The amplitude, $\varrho(\mu)$, represents the portion of energy from the primal function that correspond to the frequency $\mu$. The phase, $\phi(\mu)$, represents the shift.
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Figure 3.4 – We decompose an input 2D signal \( f(a) \) into its amplitude \( |D| \) and phase \( \phi \) components as described in Equation \( \text{(3.4)} \). The amplitude corresponds to the energy assigned for a particular frequency. The phase corresponds to the shift of a particular frequency.

associated with a given frequency \( \mu \). This can be intuitively explained using the transform of a cosine function:

\[
    f(x) = \cos(2\pi ax + p)
\]

\[
    \mathcal{F}[f](\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta(\mu - a) + \delta(\mu + a) \right) e^{2\pi i p} \hspace{1cm} (3.6)
\]

The amplitude of the cosine’s spectrum is: \( \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta(\mu - a) + \delta(\mu + a) \right) \), and its phase is: \( 2\pi p \). \( a \) regulates the frequency of the cosine (number of oscillations per cycle) and is correlated with the amplitude. \( p \) regulates the shift of the cosine and is correlated with the phase.

3.2.3 Properties

We present a short summary of different properties of the Fourier transform of functions. This section is not exhaustive, we will only cover properties that are of interest for our analysis. For more detailed examples, properties and theorems, please refer to Gasquet and Witomski \[58\] for example.

3.2.3.1 Linear Operations

The Fourier transform of a linear transformation is a linear transformation. Given a function \( f \) defined over a \( N \)-dimensional space \( f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \). The Fourier transform of this function is:

\[
    \mathcal{F}[f](\vec{\mu}) = \int_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N} f(\vec{x}) e^{-2\pi i \vec{\mu}^T \vec{x}} d\vec{x} \hspace{1cm} (3.7)
\]

The Fourier transform of \( f \) under the linear transformation \( A \) of the input space (described by its matrix \( A \)), noted \( f_A = f(A\vec{x}) \), is:

\[
    \mathcal{F}[f_A](\vec{\mu}) = \int_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N} f_A(\vec{x}) e^{-2\pi i \vec{\mu}^T \vec{x}} d\vec{x} \hspace{1cm} (3.8)
\]

\[
    = \int_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N} f(A\vec{x}) e^{-2\pi i \vec{\mu}^T \vec{x}} d\vec{x} \hspace{1cm} (3.9)
\]
3.2. FREQUENCY ANALYSIS AND FOURIER SPACE

If the transformation matrix is invertible, we can proceed to a change of variable \( \tilde{y} = A\tilde{x} \) in the integral. All the linear transformation matrices we will find in our analysis (rotation, symmetry, non-zero scale and shear) are indeed invertible.

\[
\mathcal{F}[f_A](\mu) = \int_{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N} f(\tilde{y})e^{-2\pi i \mu^T A^{-1} \tilde{y}}|A^{-1}|d\tilde{y}
\]  

(3.10)

Now we want to express this Fourier transform in terms of the Fourier transform of \( f \) (Equation 3.7). For that, we change the \( \mu \) variable to \( \tilde{\phi} = \mu^T A^{-1} \). This gives us:

\[
\mathcal{F}[f_A](\mu) = \frac{1}{|A|} \mathcal{F}[f](A^{-1T} \tilde{\phi})
\]  

(3.11)

Where \(|A|\) is the determinant of the matrix \( A \) and \( A^{-1T} \), the inverse transposed of \( A \) is the comatrix.

An example: Rotation of the input signal  We illustrate this property in the case of a rotation transformation. Given a 2D signal parametrized by \((x, y)\) if we apply the rotation matrix defined by:

\[
R_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) \\
\sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(3.12)

The dual operator on the frequency signal will be the same rotation (The inverse and the transpose of a rotation are the same). The Figure 3.5 shows the amplitude of the Fourier transform of the Lena picture with and without a rotation of the input space.

3.2.3.2 Product and Convolution

The product and convolution are symmetrical operations with respect to the Fourier transform. The Fourier transform of a product becomes a convolution in the Fourier space. Similarly, the Fourier transform of a convolution is a product in the Fourier space:

\[
\mathcal{F}[fg] = \mathcal{F}[f] * \mathcal{F}[g]
\]  

(3.13)

\[
\mathcal{F}[f * g] = \mathcal{F}[f] \mathcal{F}[g]
\]  

(3.14)

We give the proof for the convolution theorem. The multiplication theorem being symmetrical, its proof uses the same principles.

Proof:  Given two functions \( f(\tilde{x}) \) and \( g(\tilde{x}) \) defined over \( \mathbb{R}^N \), the convolution of those two functions \([f * g](\tilde{x})\) is:

\[
[f * g](\tilde{x}) = \int_{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N} f(\tilde{y})g(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y})d\tilde{y}
\]  

(3.15)
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Figure 3.5 – We apply the rotation matrix defined in Equation 3.12 to the Lena image and compute its Fourier transform. We display only the amplitude and highlight the first principal direction of the spectrum in red. Note that we removed the effect of the border discontinuity by multiplying the image by an isotropic cosine function.

If we express the Fourier transform of the convolution using Equation 3.15, we obtain:

\[
\mathcal{F}[f \ast g](\bar{\mu}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\bar{y})g(\bar{x} - \bar{y})d\bar{y}e^{-2\pi i \bar{\mu} \cdot \bar{x}}d\bar{x} \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\bar{y}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\bar{x} - \bar{y})e^{-2\pi i \bar{\mu} \cdot \bar{x}}d\bar{x}d\bar{y}
\]

(3.16)

Using a change of variable \( \bar{x} = \bar{x} - \bar{y} \) and evaluating the inside integral, we obtain the following equations:

\[
\mathcal{F}[f \ast g](\bar{\mu}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\bar{y}) \mathcal{F}[g](\bar{\mu})e^{-2\pi i \bar{\mu} \cdot \bar{y}}d\bar{y} \\
= \mathcal{F}[f](\bar{\mu}) \mathcal{F}[g](\bar{\mu})
\]

(3.17)

Example: We provide an example of the multiplication of an input signal with different window functions with increasing frequencies in Figure 3.6.
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**Figure 3.6** – Multiplying a function with another is equivalent to the convolution of the Fourier transforms. In this example, an input function (a clamped cosine function (a)) is multiplied by a power of cosine with respect to the distance to the center (power of 2 on the top row, power of 50 on the bottom row (b)). The resulting functions (c) exhibit both the input function and the window functions characteristics. The resulting amplitudes (d) show that for a window function with a higher frequency, the input spectrum is convolved with a larger kernel (as higher frequencies spread more in the Fourier domain).

### 3.2.3.3 Integration

Given a function \( f(\vec{x}) \) defined in a \( N \)-dimensional space, we express the Fourier transform of the partial integration of \( f \) along one of its dimension as:

\[
\mathcal{F}\left[ \int_{x_i} f(\vec{x}) d\vec{x}_i \right](\vec{\mu}) = \left[ \mathcal{F}[f](\vec{\mu}) \right]_{\mu_i=0}
\]

(3.18)

Where \( \left[ f(\vec{x}) \right]_{x_i=0} \) is the \( N-1 \)-dimensional function composed of the function \( f \) where the \( i \)th component is zero. This property is often referred as the slice theorem.

The Fourier transform of a one dimensional function \( h \) for \( \mu = 0 \) is the integral along all dimensions of \( f \). This term is called the constant component (or DC).

\[
\mathcal{F}[h](0) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x)e^{-2\pi i \nu x} dx = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x) dx
\]

(3.19)

### 3.2.4 Well defined space for Fourier transformation

In this section, we show that the Fourier transform can be performed on the paraxial domain defined in Section 3.1. The Fourier transform is defined for an infinite domain. But our analysis is correct on an infinitesimal portion of the space. To ensure the well defined property of the Fourier transform, we assume that the functions we are studying are defined on \( \mathbb{R}^5 \) but have values
on the region of analysis only. We separate the spatial and temporal case from
the angular case:

**Space and time:** are kept local by multiplying the input primal function with
a window being null outside a region of interest. This mathematical
trick allows to keep the definition of the Fourier transform for our local
function.

**Angles:** to keep spaces consistent with one another we consider the tangent
space defined as $\delta u = \tan(\delta \theta) \simeq \delta \theta$ instead of angles. It allows to keep
the same Fourier transform definition for both space, angle and time.
Again, using a window function we keep our analysis local.

This use of window functions to keep the analysis to the first order in-
troduces a bias in our analysis. The windowing results in a convolution in
the Fourier domain of the input spectrum with the Fourier transform of the
window (as seen in Figure 3.6) which increases the frequency content of the
analyzed signal. But as we aim to estimate frequency conservatively this is not
an issue.

### 3.2.5 Why not Another Transform?

The Fourier transform is not the only possible way to analyze local variations
of a function. In this section, we discuss several options that could be used
instead of the Fourier transform.

#### 3.2.5.1 Wavelets transform

The *Wavelets transform* is a frequency transform local in both space and fre-
quency. Given a basis function $\phi(x)$, called the *mother wavelet*, we define the
*child wavelet* function for a frequency band of $[1/a, 2/a]$ and a shift of $b$ as:

$$\phi_{a,b}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \phi \left( \frac{x - b}{a} \right)$$

The wavelets transform of the signal $f(x)$ is:

$$\mathcal{W}[f](a, b) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \phi_{a,b}(x) dx$$

We do not use wavelets transform for two reasons:

- The wavelets transform has more dimensions than the input signal. The
  wavelets transform of a function defined over a five dimensions space has
  a ten dimensions domain of definition.

- There is no simple equivalent of the convolution theorem for the wavelets
  transform.
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3.2.5.2 Short Time Fourier Transforms

The short time Fourier transform (STFT) is defined as the Fourier transform of a function with a sliding window:

\[ \mathcal{F}[f](t, \mu) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} w(t - x)f(x)dx \]

As for the wavelets transform, those transforms add dimension to the resulting spectrum. This is mandatory to locate the frequency content in the input domain. Our analysis is built upon light-path samples which already give a localization of the spectrum.

3.2.5.3 Hilbert-Huang Transform

The Hilbert-Huang Transform (HHT) is a decomposition of a signal into mode functions with the same number of extrema and zero crossings than the input signal. This transformation is done using an empirical search of the global and local extrema of a function to characterize an envelope for the signal. This empirical method cannot be fitted into our analysis.

3.2.5.4 Spherical-Harmonics basis

Spherical harmonics (SH) is a discrete frequency basis of functions defined over the sphere. They are widely used in computer graphics to store distant illumination such as environment maps. While SH could be used to express the frequency content in the angular domain, they are non-local. It would require a large number of coefficients to express the variation of radiance in a small angular domain.

3.2.5.5 Derivatives

The derivative of the local radiance function with respect to our local parametrization could be exploited as a descriptor of the radiance variation. Moreover, the derivative of a function is a purely instantaneous notion of variation. It is to note that using derivatives (or gradient) restrict the number of information about the local radiance function as the derivative is defined from the Fourier transform by:

\[ \frac{\delta f}{\delta \mu_i}(\vec{0}) = \int_{\vec{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^N} i\mu_i \mathcal{F}[f] d\vec{\mu} \]

The resulting analysis would be to the first order in both space and variations.

Ramamoorthi et al. [142] derived operators defined by Durand et al. [47] for the gradient and Hessian of the local radiance function. We will see later (Section 4.2) a more detailed comparison of the two methods.

3.2.5.6 Summary

We summarize the different pros and cons for the presented transforms. We separate into four categories:

\[ \text{36} \]
• **locality** is fulfilled if the transform is compatible with our small domain definition.

• **well-defined** is fulfilled if the transform can always be defined in our case of study (positive 5D functions).

• **small dimensionality** is fulfilled if the transform does not add extra dimensions into the analysis.

• **mathematical equivalent** is fulfilled if there exists equivalences in the primal for convolution, multiplication, and integration.

• **richness** is fulfilled if the transform allows to recover all the information of the primal function.

The Fourier transform fulfill all the requirement for our analysis (Table 3.1). Derivatives fulfill almost all of our requirement but cannot estimate all the variations of the local radiance function at once. Each order of derivative requires its own definition of the operations the signal undergoes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transform</th>
<th>well-defined</th>
<th>local dimensions</th>
<th>equivalents</th>
<th>richness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STFT</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHT</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3.1 – We compare different transformations to find the best suited for our analysis. We need a transform that is well-defined for any 5D function with finite support, that supports local analysis, that does not add more dimensions to the analysis and that has mathematical equivalents for the operations we will study.*

### 3.3 Operators on the Light-Field Function

We define operators on the light-field function that represent the different operations it will undergo along the light-path. We note those operators using bold fonts. These operators can be chained using a composition formula to represent the evolution of the light-field for a given light-path (Figure 3.7):

\[
LSDE \rightarrow L_{l(d_1, d_2)} \circ T_{d_2} \circ O \circ T_{d_3} \circ R_{\rho_s} \circ T_{d_4}(l)
\] (3.21)

Here *LSDE* represents a light-path using Heckbert’s notations [73]. *L*\(_{l(d_1, d_2)}\), *T*\(_d\), *R*\(_\rho\) and *O* are functions we call operators that map a local light-field function into another after a physical process such as transport, visibility, reflection, etc. The composed operator takes as input the local light-field of the source *l* and it outputs the local light-field after all the operations.

This formulation is richer as it characterizes the local behaviour of light. The operations are arranged in a reversed order compared to the light-path notation to be consistent with a composition notation.
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Figure 3.7 – We illustrate our operator notation with the light-path connecting the light, the diffuse green wall, the specular box and the lens (in yellow). Heckbert’s notation is represented in blue and specifies edges of the light-path. Our operators (in yellow) characterize richer effects such as partial occlusions of the local light-field, refraction in a lens, etc..

Figure 3.8 – We expose different types of operators. Given an input and output parametrizations, the light in the local domain defined by the radiance transferred by all the variations of the input light-path that link the input parametrization to the output parametrization.

The list of operators we define for a light-path is given below. We illustrate some operators in Figure 3.8.

- **Travel** defines the behaviour of radiance from different positions along the same ray spaced by \( d \) meters. We write this operator \( T_d \) (Section 3.3.1).

- **Occlusion** defines the behaviour of radiance when it travels close to an object while the central ray does not intersect it. We write this operator \( O \) (Section 3.3.2).
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- **Reparametrizations** define how to express our radiance function in another local frame. Those operators are useful to express the radiance on an object when an intersection occurs or when we want to take into account moving occluders. We write those operators Rot, α and P, α (Section 3.3.3).

- **Curvature reparametrization** defines the local radiance function on a curved surface based on the incoming local radiance function and on the local curvature matrix of the surface at the point of intersection. We write this operator C, K (Section 3.3.4.2).

- **Reflection, Transmission** define how the local radiance function will be affected by a reflection, or a refraction, on a virtually planar surface based on the BRDF or BTDF ρ. We write those operators R, ρ and Tr, ρ (Section 3.3.4.6 and Section 3.3.5).

- **Lens** defines how the local radiance function is modified when passing through a thin lens. We give two definitions for this operator: one that outputs irradiance on the sensor, the other that outputs radiance on the sensor (allowing to chain multiple lenses). We write those operators L,, d,, d, (Section 3.3.6).

- **Participating media**’s effect on the local radiance function is handled by two operators: the attenuation operator A and the scattering operator (associated with phase function S). (Section 3.3.7).

- **Motion** allows to track the time variations of the local radiance function by projecting it onto the static frame of a moving object. On this static frame, we can apply all the operators described before and express moving effects as a projection into the static frame. We project the input light-field, apply a set of static operators and then project the result back onto the frame of the light-path. We write this operator M,, ⃗v, ⃗r (Section 3.3.8).

3.3.1 Travel in free space

We assume that light travels along straight lines. Thus we avoid varying indices or relativistic effects. When we want to express a light-field after a travel distance of d meters, we need to take into account that rays that are not parallel to the central ray will not intersect the tangent plane at the same position after travel. Figure 3.9 expresses in 2D this deviation for a particular position δx, δθ and a traveling distance of d meters.

The local light-field after a travel of d meters can be expressed using the input local light-field with a transformation of the domain of definition:

\[
T_d(l)(\delta x, \delta \theta) = l(\delta x - d \tan(\delta \theta), \delta \theta)
\]  

(3.22)

Given our infinitesimal assumption, a first order approximation of Equation 3.22 is:

\[
T_d(l)(\delta x, \delta \theta) \simeq l(\delta x - d \delta \theta, \delta \theta)
\]  

(3.23)
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Figure 3.9 – Given a ray with coordinates $(\delta x, \delta \theta)$ (we take 2D coordinates for the clarity of the figure) with respect to the central ray. The coordinate of this ray after a travel in a “free space” of $d$ meters is $(\delta x + d \tan(\delta \theta), \delta \theta)$. Thus the radiance function after a travel of $d$ meters $l_4$ value at coordinate $(\delta x + d \tan(\delta \theta), \delta \theta)$ is the value of the radiance function before the travel at coordinate $(\delta x, \delta \theta)$.

Figure 3.10 – We show here the local light-field function at two different positions along a ray. Since the local light-field is 5 dimensional, we only display slices of this function (the other components are set to zero).

We express this linear transformation using a matrix $A$. The matrix of the shear is given implicitly by Equation (3.23):

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -d & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(3.24)}$$

The matrix used for the Fourier transform is defined as the comatrix of $A$ (Equation (3.11)):

$$A^{-1^T} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(3.25)}$$
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Figure 3.11 – We display slices of the Fourier amplitude. The shear effect is visible on the spatio-angular slice. Indirect effects of the shear are visible in the spatial slice as a part of the energy goes to the angular domain.

This shear in the primal space is expressed in the frequency domain by a shear but with a symmetry on the dimensions it is applied to (Equation 3.23). The travel transfers energy from the spatial domain to the angular domain:

\[ T_d(\mathbf{l})(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) \simeq l(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta + d\Omega_x) \]  

(3.26)

Figure 3.11 present the effect of travel on the amplitude of the local light-field spectrum. The shear effect is noticeable in the angular slice. The effect of energy transport from the spatial domain to the angular domain is visible in the spatial slice (The tail of the distribution shrinks).

3.3.2 Partial Occlusion

As we are looking at a small neighborhood around a ray, we need to keep track of partial occlusion in this space by the geometry. A nearby solid object will occlude part of the light-field (Figure 3.12).

Figure 3.12 – Given that we are not looking at a punctual position on the light-path domain, we have to look at the visibility function in this domain. Rays in this neighborhood can intersect the geometry. In that case, the radiance function has to be attenuated by the visibility function.
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Figure 3.13 – Planar approximation of the occluder does not capture the reality correctly. This figure shows the angular effect of occlusion, and the correlation it creates between space and angles. A constant input local light-field is occluded by the box.

The general equation for occlusion is:

\[ O(l) = v(t) \cdot l(t) \]  (3.27)

Where \( v(t) \) is the visibility function. This function is one if the position \( t \) is not occluded and zero if it is. For non-opaque occluders, the visibility function takes values between zero and one.

Planar occluder: In the initial formulation of Durand et al., the occlusion is modeled by the multiplication of the light-field with a visibility function in the spatial domain. This approach assumes that occluders are planar. Instead, we define this process as a windowing of the signal where the window restricts the signal in a region where it is unoccluded.

While these two visions have the same mathematical expression, they denote different views. The first one looks at the light-field evolution along the ray while the second one estimates the region of the light-field where the analysis is still meaningful.

\[ O(l)(\delta x, \delta \theta) = v(\delta x, \delta \theta) \cdot l(\delta x, \delta \theta) \]  (3.28)

Occlusion is defined in the primal as the product of the light-field with a visibility function (or a window function). The equivalent operator in Fourier is a convolution. The convolution of the light-field spectrum with the Fourier transform of the visibility function extends the light-field spectrum along the discontinuity direction \( \mathcal{O}_{(x,y)} \) is the convolution in the spatial domain only:

\[ O(l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_y) = (\hat{v} \circ \delta_{(x,y)} l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_y) \]  (3.29)

The planar approximation is incorrect even to the first order as it misses the effect of occlusion in the angular domain and the resulting correlation between the spatial and angular domain (Figure 3.13).
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Figure 3.14 – We model the correlation between space and angles using the following configuration (a). Given a set of occluders, we analyse the resulting occlusion bounding box. Close to Egan et al.’s [51] analysis, we define the minimum and maximum distance of occlusion and look at the resulting visibility function. We obtain a piece-wise rotated half-plane occluder (b).

Non planar occluder: Ramamoorthi et al. [142] derived a non-planar approximation for curved occluders. Lanman et al. [108] and Egan et al. [51, 50] looked at the wedge signal defined by the min and max distant occluders (assuming that each occluder is planar). We propose here an occluder approximation that accounts for non-planar occluders. Our approximation neglects occluders’ curvature and leads to a more conservative estimate than the curved approximation.

Given a set of occluders, and a ray passing next to them, we define the visibility window using the minimum distance $d$ from the occluders to the ray and the minimum and maximum distances, $t_{\text{min}}$ and $t_{\text{max}}$ from the beginning of the ray to the occluders (Figure 3.14(a)).

The visibility window is a piece-wise rotated half-plane function (Figure 3.14(b)). We found the same type of visibility function in our experimentation (Figure 3.13).

We model the visibility function in the primal with the multiplication of two rotated 1D sign functions. A 1D rotated sign function has the following definition:

$$ r_{t,d}(\delta x, \delta \theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \delta x + t \delta y > -d \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} $$

The resulting visibility function of a non-planar occluder is the product of the two rotated sign functions shifted by the distance to the occluder:

$$ v(\delta x, \delta \theta) = r_{t_{\text{min}},d}(\delta x, \delta \theta)r_{t_{\text{max}},d}(\delta x, \delta \theta) $$

The resulting Fourier spectrum is the convolution of the individual Fourier spectrum of the rotated sign functions:

$$ \hat{v}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) = \hat{r}_{t_{\text{min}},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) \otimes \hat{r}_{t_{\text{max}},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) $$
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**Figure 3.15** – The effect of the partial occlusion of the local light-field travelling near the grey box is analysed in the Fourier domain. The occlusion creates high frequencies in the spatial domain and in the angular domain. The size and depth of the occluder affects the correlation between the spatial and angular domains.

If $t_{\text{min}} \neq t_{\text{max}}$ we get the following formula for the spectrum of the visibility function (See Appendix A.1 for complete derivation):

$$\hat{r}_{t_{\text{max}},d} \otimes \hat{r}_{t_{\text{min}},d} (\Omega_x, \Omega_y) = \frac{e^{2i\pi d\Omega_y}}{4\pi^2(t_{\text{max}} \Omega_x + \Omega_y)(t_{\text{min}} \Omega_x + \Omega_y)} \frac{1}{(t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}})^2}$$

(3.30)

The resulting spectrum is a wedge function. Contrary to Lanman et al. [108] we do not need to estimate the occluder spectrum by multiple slices. The spread of the minimum and maximum distance is similar to Egan et al. analysis. It validates the use of the minimum and maximum slices to approximate occluders.

3.3.3 Reparametrization on another plane

Any operation involving the intersection with an object (reflection, refraction) will be described in its local frame. Thus we need to reparametrize our light-field function in the local frame of the object.

Reparametrizing to a new local frame is a three steps process. First, we rotate the frame of the light-field so that its $X$ axis is along the intersection between the tangent plane of the light-field and the tangent plane of the object at the point of intersection (Figure 3.17). Second, we express the local light-field on the tangent plane using a projection of the $Y$ axis onto the local tangent plane (Figure 3.18). Finally, we perform another rotation to align the light-field $X$ and $Y$ axis to the local $X_p$ and $Y_p$ axis.

3.3.3.1 Rotation

The rotation of a local $X,Y$ plane of $\alpha$ radians around the central ray $Z$ (Figure 3.17)) is written:

$$\text{Rot}_\alpha(l)(\delta x, \delta \theta) = l(R^T_\alpha \delta x, R^T_\alpha \delta \theta)$$

(3.31)
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Figure 3.16 – The non-planar occluder approximation is a wedge function. It explains why the planar slice approximation of occluders works [773, 771, 518]. Furthermore, we only need to provide the first and last slice of the occluder to obtain a decent estimate of the occlusion. For this figure, we used $t_{\text{min}} = 50$ cm and $t_{\text{max}} = 1$ m. We used $d = 0$ to have a real valued spectrum.

This linear transformation is formulated into one $5 \times 5$ matrix on the input parameters of the local light-field function:

$$ R_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.32} $$

This matrix is a compound of rotation matrices, its comatrix will be equal to the original matrix, $R_{\alpha}^{-1} = R_{\alpha}$ (Equation 3.11). This property allows to write the Fourier equivalent operator in the same fashion:

$$ \text{Rot}_{\alpha}(\hat{l})(\Omega_x, \Omega_y) = \hat{l} \left( R_{\alpha}^T \Omega_x, R_{\alpha}^T \Omega_y \right) \tag{3.33} $$
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3.3.3.2 Projection

Projection aligns the last dimensions of the two local light-fields. This operator models a second order travel to the surface. The travel distance varies with respect to the position on the input local light-field (Figure 3.18). To compensate for the non-alignment, half of the shear is in the light propagation direction and the other in the opposite direction.

Figure 3.18 - The second step of a projection of the frame of our local light-field (noted $P_1$) onto the local frame of an object (noted $P_2$) is to project the ‘vertical’ part of the signal (along the $\vec{y}$ axis and $\phi$ angle) onto $P_2$. The linear approximation of this operation is a scale of the spatial component of $\vec{y}$. We approximate this transport using a scaling of the spatial dimension (Formal proof can be found in Appendix A.2). The resulting light-field on the surface is:

$$P(\text{l}(x; y; ; \phi)) = \text{l}(x; y \cos(\theta); \phi)$$ (3.34)

This scaling results in an inverse scaling of the Fourier transform:

$$P^\omega(\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_\theta, \Omega_\phi) = \cos(\theta)l(\Omega_x \cos(\theta), \Omega_y, \Omega_\theta, \Omega_\phi)$$ (3.35)

After the projection, the resulting light-field parametrization is no longer orthogonal. The central axis is not perpendicular to the plane where the spatial domain is defined. The angles with respect to the normal of the $(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ plane are $+\theta$ and $+\phi$ (Figure 3.19).

This is not an issue as the constant angle $\alpha$ results in a shift of the Fourier spectrum (See curvature operator 3.3.4.2).

3.3.4 Reflection

In this section, we characterize the local light-field after a reflection on a surface. We denote incoming (or input) local light-field the local light-field before reflection and outgoing (or output) local light-field the local light-field after reflection. Those local light-fields are defined on the same virtual plane tangent to the surface. We analyse the influence of the local curvature of the surface at the point of intersection on the input local light-field (Section 3.3.4.2). This operation expresses the local light-field on the surface of the object. Operations such as BRDF integration are defined using directions pointing outwards...
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**Figure 3.19** – When we project the light-field on a plane, the spatial and angular components are no longer in an orthogonal setting. The angles \((\delta \theta, \delta \phi)\) are no longer measured with respect to the normal of the plane.

of the surface. To respect this definition, we apply a symmetry of the signal (Section 3.3.4.3). Our angular parametrization (spherical parametrization) can produce distortions if non-infinities angles are used, which is the case of reflection. We describe how we can keep the analysis free of distortions (to first order) by aligning the incoming and outgoing parametrization for any operator defined over angles (Section 3.3.4.4). The integration of the signal with the BRDF is done in two steps. We first apply the cosine term to the input local light-field (Section 3.3.4.5) and then perform the multiplication and integration with the BRDF (Section 3.3.4.6). As an example, we present two types of BRDF that reduce the multiplication and integration to a convolution: the Phong and half-angle BRDFs. Finally, we perform an inverse curvature projection to obtain the local outgoing light-field on the tangent plane of the object. This last operation will not be described as it is the first curvature operation with the opposite curvature argument.

3.3.4.1 Local window of reflection/refraction

A local light-field incoming to a surface might reflect (or refract) close to the border of the surface. In such context, a part of the signal might not be reflected (or refracted). We multiply the input signal by a window which correspond to the inverse visibility of the surface. This operator is equivalent to the local occlusion operator with the difference that the former track local hits around a non-occluded ray while the later track local misses around a reflected (or refracted) ray.

3.3.4.2 Curvature reparametrization

The first order effect of non-planar surfaces to an incoming light-field is the curvature. Curvature approximates the spatial deformation of the surface. This deformation affects the local normal \([37]\). Figure 3.21 shows the influence of a change of the curvature matrix on the surface.

The transport from the virtual tangent plane to the local surface of the
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Figure 3.20 – The matrix of curvature affects at the first order the shear from the planar light-field to the object surface light-field. We show here some examples of such a matrix.

\[ K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

Figure 3.21 – The curvature operator flattens the input local light-field against the local surface. While the positions are approximately equal (to first order), the angles are modified with respect to their positions.

Here, \( K \) denotes the curvature matrix of the surface with respect to the orientation of the light-field. \( \alpha \) is the angle between the incoming direction and the normal. The Fourier equivalent of this operator is the symmetrical shear:

\[ C_k(\hat{l})(\delta x, \delta y, \delta \theta, \delta \phi) = \hat{l}(\delta x, \delta y, \delta \theta + K(\delta x + \alpha), \delta \phi + K \delta y) \] (3.36)

Figure 3.22 – The curvature operator flattens the input local light-field against the local surface. While the positions are approximately equal (to first order), the angles are modified with respect to their positions.

3.3.4.3 Symmetry of the signal

BRDF operations are defined with respect to outward direction (even for the incoming direction). We express the incoming light-field as an outward light-field. To keep the parametrization right handed, we symmetrize the \( x \) direction (Figure 3.22).

We reverse the spatial parametrization of the input light-field:

\[ \text{Sym}(\hat{l})(\delta x, \delta y, \delta \theta, \delta \phi) = \hat{l}(-\delta x, \delta y, -\delta \theta, \delta \phi) \] (3.38)

The Fourier equivalent of this partial symmetry is:

\[ \text{Sym}(\hat{l})(\Omega_x, \Omega_y) = \hat{l}(-\Omega_x, \Omega_y, -\Omega_\theta, \Omega_\phi) \] (3.39)
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3.3.4.4 Aligning local neighborhoods

Our analysis is valid on local neighborhoods. Thus we need to avoid global coordinates and define operations as relations between two neighborhoods. This is especially true for BRDF operations as phase functions are defined over global angles. To remove the need for a global analysis on angles, we need to keep $\delta \theta$ and $\delta \phi$ as infinitesimals. The solution proposed by Durand et al. \cite{Durand2004} is to look at aligned equators of the spherical parametrization for angles (Figure 3.23).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image.png}
\caption{3.22 – Before the integration with the BRDF, we express the input signal in the output frame. This corresponds to inverting $\vec{x}_n$ and $\vec{z}_n$ directions.}
\end{figure}

Figure 3.23 – Given an input local light-field (a) in blue, and an output local light-field (b) in green, we rotate both frames to align the $\delta \theta$ angles to make infinitesimal values additives without distortion (b).

For a given input neighborhood and a given output neighborhood, we rotate the input and output neighborhoods to align the equatorial planes. Then, we can describe angles as being on the equator of the same spherical parametrization, with $\delta \theta$ along the equator and $\delta \phi$ orthogonal to it (Figure 3.23).

The rotation of the input local frame that aligns it with the output direction is given as follows: If $(\vec{x}_i, \vec{y}_i, \vec{z}_i)$ is the input frame and $\vec{r}$ is the output central
Figure 3.24 – If the parametrization of the BRDF, or BTDF, follows the equatorial plane, we can use additive notations of angles. To first order, the angular difference is $\alpha' \simeq \alpha - (\delta\theta_1 - \delta\theta_2)$

ray, We project $\vec{o}$ on the input tangent plane:

$$\vec{o}_t = \frac{\vec{o}'_t}{||\vec{o}'_t||}$$

Where

$$\vec{o}'_t = \vec{o} - (\vec{o}, \vec{z}_i)\vec{z}_i$$

We obtain the rotation matrix of the tangent plane as:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \vec{o}_t, \vec{x}_i \rangle & -\langle \vec{o}_t, \vec{y}_i \rangle \\ \langle \vec{o}_t, \vec{y}_i \rangle & \langle \vec{o}_t, \vec{x}_i \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Compound of non-infinitesimal and infinitesimal angles: Some operators reason on angular distances (e.g., Phong BRDF, Snell-Descartes law, etc.). Given two local neighborhoods separated by the 2D angle $\theta = (\theta, \phi)$ defined from the equator passing through the two central positions (Figure 3.24), the resulting angular distance between the two central positions is $\theta$ radians.\(^2\)

Given $\delta\theta_1 = (\delta\theta_1, \delta\phi_1)$ and $\delta\theta_2 = (\delta\theta_2, \delta\phi_2)$, the local angular components of the local neighborhoods, the angular distance between those two positions is given by the geodesic equality:

$$\cos (\theta') = \cos (\theta - (\delta\theta_1 - \delta\theta_2)) \cos (\delta\phi_1 - \delta\phi_2)$$

Given our small angles assumption, the last cosine can be neglected, the resulting angle becomes:

$$\theta' \simeq \theta - (\delta\theta_1 - \delta\theta_2) \quad (3.40)$$

\(^2\)This is a convention, we could derive similar property with $\phi$. For that, it is only required to align $\phi$ with the equator. We made this convention to use $\theta$ notation in the Phong BRDF.
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3.3.4.5 Solid angle projection

The rendering equation (Equation 2.1) is defined over solid angle. We perform the integration over the hemisphere by weighting the integral with clamped cosine with respect to the normal of the surface. In a local frame aligned with the normal direction, we write:

\[ C(l)(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}) = l(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}) \cos(\hat{\theta} + \theta_{in}) \]  (3.41)

\( \theta_{in} \) is the incoming angle with respect to the normal. This operation becomes a convolution in the Fourier domain:

\[ C(\hat{l})(\langle \Omega_x, \Omega_y \rangle) = l(\langle \Omega_x, \Omega_y \rangle) \otimes [B_1(\Omega_{\phi}) \delta(\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_{\phi})] \]  (3.42)

Where \( B_1(\Omega_{\phi}) \) is the Fourier transform of the clamped cosine, and \( \delta(\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_{\phi}) = \delta(\Omega_x) \delta(\Omega_y) \delta(\Omega_{\phi}) \) is a multidimensional dirac distribution.

3.3.4.6 Integration with the BRDF

Isotropic reflection is defined as the convolution of the input signal with the reflectance \([47, 142]\). The generalisation to anisotropic materials cannot be modelled the same way. We present the general formulation of the output local light-field after the reflection with the surface. We show that the isotropic case reduces to a convolution as previously mentioned.

**General case:** Given an incoming light-field \( L_{in}(\hat{x}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{in}) \), incident to a planar surface with reflectance \( \rho(\hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out}) \), the reflected light-field \( L_{out}(\hat{x}_{out}, \hat{\theta}_{out}) \) is:

\[ L_{out}(\hat{x}_{out}, \hat{\theta}_{out}) = \int_{\theta_{in}} L_{in}(\hat{x}_{out}, \hat{\theta}_{in}) \rho(\hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out}) \cos(\hat{\theta}_{in}) d\theta_{in} \]  (3.43)

Given the main incoming angle \( \hat{\theta}_{in} \) and the main outgoing angle \( \hat{\theta}_{out} \), we are interested in local information. As we integrated the cosine factor into the local light-field (Section 3.3.4.5), we only have to describe the relation between the local incoming light-field \( l_{in}(\delta\hat{x}, \delta\hat{\theta}_{in}) \), the local outgoing light-field \( l_{out}(\delta\hat{x}, \delta\hat{\theta}_{out}) \) and the local BRDF with respect to the central directions \( \rho_{\hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out}} \).

\[ R_{\rho}(l)(\delta\hat{x}_{out}, \delta\hat{\theta}_{out}) = \int_{\delta\theta_{out}} l(\delta\hat{x}_{out}, \delta\hat{\theta}_{in}) \rho_{\hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out}}(\delta\hat{\theta}_{in}, \delta\hat{\theta}_{out}) d\delta\theta_{in} \]  (3.44)

This expression is not a convolution. We use the integration formula (Equation 3.18) to obtain the Fourier equivalent of Equation 3.44:

\[ R_{\rho}(l)(\langle \Omega_{\theta_{out}}, \Omega_{\phi_{out}} \rangle) = [l(\langle \Omega_{\theta_{out}}, \Omega_{\phi_{in}} \rangle) \otimes \rho_{\hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out}}(\Omega_{\theta_{in}}, \Omega_{\phi_{out}})]_{\Omega_{\theta_{in}}=\hat{\theta}} \]  (3.45)

The BRDF operator is defined in a higher dimensional space which is then sliced to obtain the outgoing light-field function. The convolution is defined over the input angles only. Figure 3.25 shows an example of the BRDF operation in the primal using a 2D space of incoming and outgoing angles (\( \theta_{in}, \theta_{out} \)).

\(^3\)In the remainder of the document, we assume BRDF and BTDF are defined with respect to the main incoming and outgoing direction (\( \hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out} \)). We avoid writing the subscripts.
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Figure 3.25 – In the primal space, the integration with the BRDF can be seen in the space of incoming and outgoing angles. First, the input angular part of the local light-field (a) is multiplied with the BRDF (b). This function (c) is then integrated along the incoming angle space to give the outgoing local light-field (d).

Phong parametrization: Some BRDFs are described using the reflected direction of the incoming direction. The reflected direction is symmetrical to the incoming direction with respect to the normal of the surface. We start by aligning the incoming local light-field with the normal and express the reflected local light-field. No reparametrization is needed as the incoming frame is pointing outward of the surface (Figure 3.26).

Figure 3.26 – The reflected local light-field is defined as the reflection of the incoming local light-field with respect to the normal. The parametrization is unchanged by this operation.

Then, we align the equator of the reflected light-field with the outgoing direction. Now the reflected local light-field and the outgoing local light-field share the same parametrization, we can express the BRDF. It has the following form:

$$\rho(\delta \theta_r, \delta \theta_{\text{out}}) = \rho_P(\delta \theta_{\text{out}} - \delta \theta_r)$$

The Fourier transform of this class of BRDF is:

$$\hat{\rho}(\Omega_{\theta r}, \Omega_{\theta\text{out}}) = \hat{\rho}_P(\Omega_{\theta\text{out}}) \delta(\Omega_{\theta r} + \Omega_{\theta\text{out}})$$

Where $\delta(\Omega_{\theta r} + \Omega_{\theta\text{out}})$ is the Dirac distribution. If we inject this form of BRDF into the Fourier equation of the reflection of a local light-field (Equation 3.46), we obtain the convolution formulation [131, 132, 137], which Fourier equivalent is a multiplication:

$$R_p(l)(\Omega_{\theta\text{out}}) = \hat{l}(\Omega_{\theta\text{out}}) \hat{\rho}_P(\Omega_{\theta\text{out}})$$  (3.46)
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Half-angle parametrization: Other BRDFs can be expressed using the half-angle parametrization [148]. Then, the BRDF is a function of the direction halfway between the incoming and the outgoing direction. We handle such BRDF with the following steps:

First we align the incoming local light-field equator with the outgoing direction. The half-angle local light-field share the same parametrization as it lies on the equatorial plane. In this parametrization, the BRDF has the following expression:

\[ \rho(\delta \theta_{in}, \delta \theta_{out}) = \rho \left( \frac{\delta \theta_{in} + \delta \theta_{out}}{2} \right) \]

Its Fourier transform is the following. Note the scaling factor of 2 due to the averaging of angles:

\[ \hat{\rho}(\Omega_{\theta_{in}}, \Omega_{\theta_{out}}) = 2 \hat{\rho}(2 \Omega_{\theta_{out}}) \delta(\Omega_{\theta_{in}} - \Omega_{\theta_{out}}) \]

For isotropic half-angle BRDFs, the phase function is defined with respect to the angular distance between the normal and the half-angle. We align the half-plane local frame with the normal to express the BRDF and rotate the result back in the alignment of incoming and outgoing directions.

\[ \rho(\delta \theta_{in}, \delta \theta_{out}) = \rho_n \left( R \left( \frac{\delta \theta_{in} + \delta \theta_{out}}{2} \right) \right) \]

In the same way as Equation 3.46, we can express the BRDF operator as a simple product between the incident local light-field spectrum and the BRDF spectrum:

\[ R_{\rho}(\hat{l})(\Omega_{x}, \Omega_{\theta}) = 2 \hat{l}(\Omega_{x}, -\Omega_{\theta}) \hat{\rho}(2 \Omega_{\theta_{out}}) \] (3.47)

3.3.4.7 Spatially varying BRDFs

Textures We have defined the behaviour of light reflecting on homogeneous surfaces on which the reflectance is the same. Most objects do have a spatially varying appearance (like a wood block, a paper sheet, etc.). We add this effect by multiplying the BRDF by a spatially varying, but uncorrelated signal: a texture [Heckbert proposed a survey of texture mapping techniques. [72]]. Correlated effects, such as spatially varying roughness will be treated later.

We can apply a texture function to our signal before reprojecting to the outgoing frame. Since the BRDF and the texture are uncorrelated, there is no need to apply one before the other: The BRDF modifies the angular part of the light-field and the texture its spatial part.

\[ T_{\rho}(\hat{l})(\tilde{\Omega}_{x}, \tilde{\Omega}_{\theta}) = t(\tilde{\Omega}_{x}) \times \hat{l}(\tilde{\Omega}_{x}, \tilde{\Omega}_{\theta}) \] (3.48)

The translation of this multiplication is a convolution in the Fourier domain. This operator spreads the spatial frequency of the texture to the outgoing light-field:

\[ T_{\rho}(\hat{l})(\Omega_{x}, \Omega_{\theta}) = (\hat{t} \otimes \hat{l})(\Omega_{x}, \Omega_{\theta}) \] (3.49)
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Varying roughness Another way to modify the appearance of a model using texture functions is to alter parameters of the BRDF based on the texture. Roughness textures contain the value of the exponent (or the standard deviation) of the Phong lobe (or normal distribution). These tools can be very efficient to depict the effect of corrosion on a metallic surface for example.

These textures are correlation between space and angle. High variations of the spatial roughness will impact the angular appearance. In the general case, we assume that the BRDF is also a function of the spatial component:

\[
\mathbf{R}_p(l)(\delta \mathbf{x}, \delta \mathbf{\theta}_{\text{in}}) = \int_{\delta \mathbf{\theta}_{\text{in}}} l(\delta \mathbf{x}, \delta \mathbf{\theta}_{\text{in}}) \rho(\delta \mathbf{x}, \delta \mathbf{\theta}_{\text{in}} + \delta \mathbf{\theta}_{\text{out}}) \mathbf{d} \delta \mathbf{\theta}_{\text{in}}
\]  

(3.50)

Like for the incoming angles, the formulation in Fourier space becomes a convolution along the spatial and angular dimensions. The BRDF can be seen as a 6D kernel:

\[
\mathbf{R}_p(l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) = \left[ \mathbf{f}(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}) \otimes \hat{\rho}(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) \right]_{\Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}=\mathbf{0}}
\]  

(3.51)

3.3.5 Refraction

Refraction is not part of the initial paper on Fourier analysis of light transport. We define the behaviour of the local light-field refracted by a rough glass surface. This operator replaces the BRDF operator when the material is refractive. The curvature, symmetry and alignment have to be performed before this operator.

As stated by Walter et al. [185], the refraction of rough surfaces is defined with respect to the refracted specular ray. We first give a definition of the specular refracted local light-field (Section 3.3.5.1). We need to add a windowing of the input light-field to model the extinction of light at the critical angle (Section 3.3.5.2). Then we derive the integration of the BTDF (Section 3.3.5.3).

3.3.5.1 Specular transmission

The Snell-Descartes law for refraction describes how the angular part of a light-field is affected by the interface. The relation between incoming and outgoing angles is well known:

\[
n_1 \sin(i_1) = n_2 \sin(i_2)
\]

(3.52)

Remind that we are looking at small neighborhoods of rays, our incoming angles are thus \(i_1 + \delta i_1\) and the outgoing angles are \(i_2 + \delta i_2\). The Fresnel relation between the incoming neighborhood and the outgoing neighborhood becomes:

\[
n_1 \sin(i_1 + \delta i_1) = n_2 \sin(i_2 + \delta i_2)
\]

(3.53)

Which gives the following first order approximation:

\[
\delta i_2 \simeq \frac{n_1 \sin(i_1) - n_2 \sin(i_2)}{n_2 \cos(i_2)} + \delta i_1 \frac{n_1 \cos(i_1)}{n_2 \cos(i_2)}
\]

(3.54)
The Snell-Descartes law (Equation 3.52) still holds for the main incoming angle $i_1$ and the main outgoing angle $i_2$. This supplementary condition cancels the shift:

$$\delta i_2 \simeq \delta i_1 \frac{n_1 \cos(i_1)}{n_2 \cos(i_2)} \quad (3.55)$$

The formulation of the transmitted light-field from the incoming light-field is thus:

$$\text{Tr}(l)(x; \phi) = l(x; n_2 \cos(i_2) \frac{n_1 \cos(i_1)}{n_2 \cos(i_2)} \Omega \Omega \phi) \quad (3.56)$$

3.3.5.2 Critical angle

There exists an incoming angle above which the Snell-Descartes law is no longer applicable. This angle is called the critical angle $c_i$. Since we are looking at a neighborhood, we need to add the critical angle window of the transmission. We add before the specular transmission scale a window function:

$$\text{Tr}(l)(x; \phi) = w_{c_i}(\delta \theta, \delta \phi) l(x; n_2 \cos(i_2) \frac{n_1 \cos(i_1)}{n_2 \cos(i_2)} \Omega \Omega \phi) \quad (3.58)$$

The window function $w_{\theta, \theta_{ext}, \delta \theta, \delta \phi}$ zeros when $\theta_{ext} > \theta_i$. Rays with angles greater than the critical angle are part of the local analysis of reflection and belong to a different main light-path. This window restricts our analysis to a smaller domain where analysis is still meaningful. The Fourier equivalent is:

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{l})(\hat{x}; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}) = n_1 \cos(i_1) \frac{n_2 \cos(i_2)}{n_2 \cos(i_2)} \Omega \Omega \phi \quad \text{Tr} \left( l \right) (x; \phi) \quad (3.59)$$

3.3.5.3 Rough materials

When the surface is not microscopically planar, such as for microfacets materials, the distribution of normals is described statistically and must be integrated to get the final radiance. After the refraction (Equation 3.56) we have to perform the convolution with a BTDF characterized by the distribution of normals:

$$\text{Tr}_p(l)(\hat{x}; \hat{\theta}_{out}) = \int \rho(\hat{\theta}_{in}, \hat{\theta}_{out}) l(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}_{in}) d\hat{\theta}_{in} \quad (3.60)$$
3.3. OPERATORS ON THE LIGHT-FIELD FUNCTION

Note that we didn’t add the macrosurface normal into Equation 3.60 as we suppose the normal to be the up direction of our virtual surface. We modulate the BTDF in function of the sign instead of using it as a parameter.

The above equation is exactly like the BRDF integration (Equation 3.44) with the notable difference that our angles are defined below the virtual surface. Thus, the Fourier transform will have the same formulation of a convolution in a 7D space, with a 4D kernel, followed by a slice:

\[
\mathbf{T}^{p(\hat{t})}(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) = \left[\hat{t}(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}) \otimes \hat{\rho}(\Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}})\right]_{\Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}} = \hat{0}} \quad (3.61)
\]

Spatially varying BTDFs are handled the same way. We did not recopy Equation 3.50 and Equation 3.51 as they are identical.

**Fourier transforms of BTDFs:** Walter et al. [185] described the theoretical model of rough refraction from the classical microfacets model. They proposed to use the GGX distribution for the PDF of normals. de Rousiers et al. [39] fitted isotropic Gaussians on the resulting BTDF. While this fitting is wrong because of the anisotropy of the BTDF (Figure 3.27(a)), the Fourier spectrum is isotropic in amplitude (Figure 3.27(b)).

![GGX BTDF](a)

![Amplitude of the Fourier transform of the GGX BTDF](b)

![Phase of the Fourier transform of the GGX BTDF](c)

**Figure 3.27** – GGX BTDFs are not isotropic for grazing angles [a], but the amplitude of their Fourier transform is [b]. The non-symmetrical information is stored in the phase [c]. We approximate the Fourier spectrum of GGX BTDFs by Gaussians which standard deviation correspond to the inverse roughness, much like de Rousiers et al. [39]. We used a glass index of \(n = 1.1\), the incoming angles are \(0\), \(\frac{\pi}{6}\), and \(\frac{\pi}{3}\).

We can approximate the amplitude with a Gaussian distribution. However, phase does not have such an approximate formulation.

While de Rousiers et al. introduced an error in their isotropic fitting, note that fitting the BTDF lobe (with an isotropic Gaussian) is equivalent to fitting the amplitude of the Fourier transform. Thus, what they obtain is a BTDF that has almost the same frequency response as the original one. Doing so, the perception of blur in the rendered images with this fitted BTDF will be close enough to the original BTDF for real-time applications.
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3.3.6 Lens

In this section we will cover the effect of a thin lens, in a paraxial setting, on a local light-field. Lens systems can be studied using a Taylor expansion in the spatio-angular domain [81] without the paraxial assumptions. While this method allows to extract derivatives of the system, it requires a heavy post-process to analyse the optical system. Furthermore, it assumes that there is no occlusion inside the optical system.

The travel of a light field into a camera lens has been studied by Soler et al. [165] who proposed to look at the integration on the sensor at the same time. While this formulation captures the blurring effect of depth-of-field, it does not provide the outgoing light-field. The angular integration on the sensor is already performed. To get the light-field on the sensor, we may want to look at what happens just after the lens. Figure 3.28 illustrates the behaviour of a light rays through a lens.

3.3.6.1 Lens + integration operator

The integration of light field after its travel through a lens is modeled with the following equation:

$$L(f(r)); l(\vec{x}) = \int v(\vec{x}, \vec{\theta}) l(\vec{x}, \vec{\theta})$$ (3.62)

Where $v(\vec{x}, \vec{\theta})$ is a binary valued function which equals one if the ray in direction $\vec{\theta}$ from the position $\vec{x}$ passes through the lens, and zero otherwise.

We will not derive the Fourier equivalent of this formula as it does not follow the notion of operator we defined. The resulting operator would break the possibility to compose other operators afterwards.

3.3.6.2 Small and Thin Lenses

We look at the effect of lens and travel in the camera on a neighborhood of a ray to obtain a formula compatible with the definition of operator.

From an input local light-field arriving at the lens $l(\vec{x}, \vec{\theta}, t)$ we want to characterize the local light-field at the sensor position oriented along the central direction of the sensor and lens $l'(\vec{x}, \vec{\theta}, t)$.

When the light-field passes through the lens, its direction is locally changed due to the curvature of the first interface, then it travels inside the lens, and finally gets out of the lens with another curvature effect. Since the lens is assumed to be thin, travel can be neglected between the two interfaces [60, Chap II.4.1].

Then, the light leaves the lens and travels to the sensor. The light-field is in or out of focus depending on the distance between the lens and the sensor.

$$L_{(d_1, d_2)}(l)(\delta \vec{x}, \delta \vec{\theta}) = l(\delta \vec{x} + d_1(\delta \vec{\theta} + f \delta \vec{x}), \delta \vec{\theta} + f \delta \vec{x})$$ (3.63)

Where $f$ is the focal length of the lens, and $d_1$ is the distance from the outgoing central position on the lens to the sensor position and $d_2$ is the distance of the plane in focus from the lens (Figure 3.28).
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![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.28** – Light coming from the plane in focus converges at the focal point after passing through the lens.

The Fourier equivalent of Equation 3.63 is:

\[
L(d_1, d_2)(\omega_x, \omega_\theta) = i(\omega_x - f\omega_\theta, \omega_\theta - d_1(\omega_\theta + f\omega_x))
\]  
(3.64)

**Example** We illustrate this analysis in a 2D formalism for clarity (See Figure 3.29). Given a point in focus (with coordinate \([x, y]\) in the local light-field), the position on the lens after travel will be:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_l \\
\theta_l
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
x - d_2 \delta \theta \\
\delta \theta
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(3.65)

Due to the travel shear. Using Equation 3.63 and Equation 3.65, we can write the position on the sensor as:

\[
\delta x_s = \delta x - d_2 \delta \theta - d_1(\delta \theta + \frac{1}{f}(\delta x - d_2 \delta \theta)) = \delta x(1 + \frac{1}{f})
\]  
(3.66)

The influence of the angle \(\delta \theta\) on the final spatial component of the local light-field at the sensor vanishes. This indicates that the point is indeed in focus.

### 3.3.7 Participating media

Participating media such as smoke, liquids, etc, are usually harder to incorporate into ray tracing based rendering engines as the formulation of radiance coming to the camera is no longer defined per surfaces, but in a volume. In this section, we propose operators working on our 2D orthogonal plane parametrization to handle the effect of both attenuation (Section 3.3.7.1) and scattering (Section 3.3.7.2).

#### 3.3.7.1 Attenuation

We study the effect of volumetric attenuation of a density function \(\sigma(\delta x, \delta y, \delta z)\) along a ray, for a travel distance \(d\). Without loss of generality, we suppose that

\footnote{Emission can be expressed as an interaction of the medium, but we propose to model emission with light sources and then apply operators.}
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Figure 3.29 – For an in-focus diffuse point, the resulting angular influence on the position vanishes due to the compensation of the travel shears and the curvature shears.

the ray travels along $\delta z$. The attenuated light field is:

$$A(l)(\vec{x}, \vec{u}) = l(\vec{x}) e^{-\int_0^u \sigma(\vec{x}, u) du}$$

(3.67)

**Homogeneous medium:** Considering the case where the attenuation function is constant, in the case of homogeneous media, the integral has an analytical form:

$$A(l)(\vec{x}, \vec{u}) = l(\vec{x}) e^{-\sigma d}$$

(3.68)

The Fourier transform is only affected in amplitude by this constant factor:

$$A(^l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) = e^{-\sigma d} l(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta)$$

(3.69)

**Non-homogeneous medium:** When the density of particles is not constant in space, the energy is not uniformly absorbed during the travel. This increases spatial frequencies of the signal, which further propagates to the angular domain because of the travel of light.

We consider attenuation along $ds$, a small section of $d$. We consider it small enough to derive a first order approximation of the attenuation:\footnote{We assume that the mean free path is greater than the infinitesimal distance used in the analysis: $\sigma_m \ll ds$.}

$$A(l)(\vec{x}, \vec{u}) = l(\vec{x}) (1 - ds \sigma(\vec{x}, \vec{u}))$$

(3.70)

Let $\sigma_{xy}$ be the restriction of $\sigma$ to the $(x, y)$ plane. We adopt the notation $p(\delta x, \delta y) = 1 - ds \sigma_{xy}(\delta x, \delta y)$. In the Fourier domain, we can write:

$$A(^l) = l \otimes G_x \hat{p}$$

(3.71)

In this equation, $\otimes G_x$ denotes a convolution over the spatial component only. The effect of attenuation is therefore identical to occlusion, except that
the mask \( p = 1 - ds \sigma_{xy} \) is a function taking arbitrary values in \([0, 1]\) instead of a binary function.

### 3.3.7.2 Scattering

We inspire from analytical formulations of beam-beam (or line-beam) integration \([169, 89]\). We assume having as input local light-field a finite size beam (both spatial and angular), and that the attenuation is negligible within the beam size. Those assumptions are compatible with the infinitesimal analysis. In such case, the scattering is a double integral, one along the outgoing ray, the other with the phase function (Figure 3.31).

![Figure 3.30](image)

*Figure 3.30* – To compute the outgoing radiance of local direction \( \delta\omega_o \) we need to integrate along this ray (in red), for all the incoming local direction \( \delta\omega_i \) crossing it.

With the assumption that the phase function is isotropic, we perform the scattering operator in two steps. First, we convolve the input local light-field with the phase function taking into account the main outgoing direction (Figure 3.31 (b)). Second, we integrate along the outgoing ray (Figure 3.31 (c)).

![Figure 3.31](image)

*Figure 3.31* – The input configuration of the scattering operator. The input local light-field (a) is first convolved with the phase function resulting in an intermediate light-field with angular and spatial components unaligned (b). Finally, we integrate the spatial component in the outgoing frame along the outgoing direction (c).
To integrate over the outgoing direction, it is necessary to have a volumic definition of the local radiance. We will do the following derivations in flatland to avoid too lengthy equations. As for the isotropic Phong BRDF convolution, the convolution is done on one angular dimension only.

**Volumic local radiance:** We define a volume by considering radiance before and after the central scattering location. We consider this volume infinitesimal in all directions. The local volumic radiance function is then:

$$l_i(\delta x, \delta z, \delta \theta) = l_i(\delta x + \delta z \tan(\delta \theta), \delta \theta)$$

Due to first order assumptions, the volumic radiance is constant with respect to the depth $\delta z$:

$$l_i(\delta x, \delta z, \delta \theta) \simeq l_i(\delta x, \delta \theta)$$

We compute the local volumic outgoing radiance by integrating the product of the local volumic incoming radiance with the phase function:

$$l_o(\delta x, \delta z, \delta \theta) = \int l_i(\delta x, \delta z, \delta \theta')\rho_\alpha(\delta \theta', \delta \theta)d\delta \theta'$$

$$= \int l_i(\delta x, \delta \theta')\rho_\alpha(\delta \theta', \delta \theta)d\delta \theta'$$

(3.72)

$\rho_\alpha$ is a local phase function. The scattering is defined with respect to $\alpha$, the angle between the two central rays. The local volumic outgoing radiance is still independent of the depth.

**Outgoing local radiance:** We estimate the outgoing local radiance by evaluating the volumic local radiance in the outgoing local frame and integrating along local rays:

$$l_o(\delta x, \delta \theta) = \int l_i(\delta x \cos(\alpha+\delta \theta)+\delta z \sin(\alpha+\delta \theta), \delta z \sin(\alpha+\delta \theta) - \delta z \sin(\alpha+\delta \theta), \delta \theta)d\delta z$$

Using the infinitesimal analysis, we can rewrite scale with cosines:

$$\delta x \cos(\alpha+\delta \theta) = \delta x \cos(\alpha) - \delta x \delta \theta \sin(\alpha) \simeq \delta x \cos(\alpha).$$

$$l_o(\delta x, \delta \theta) = \int l_i(\delta x \cos(\alpha) + \delta z \sin(\alpha), \delta z \cos(\alpha) - \delta z \sin(\alpha), \delta \theta)d\delta z$$

(3.73)

We have to distinguish two cases here. One is the case where $\sin(\alpha) \simeq 0$. In such a case, the depth integration can be neglected as the integrand is constant in the integration domain. On the other case, it cannot be neglected if the incoming and outgoing directions are not almost aligned.

**Planar behaviour:** In the case of forward scattering and backward scattering ($|\omega_i, \omega_o| \simeq 1$), we can approximate the volumic outgoing radiance as being constant along the $\delta z$ component. It results in the second integration having no effect on the distribution of energy. In such a case, the outgoing local radiance is then:

$$l_o(\delta x, \delta \theta) = \int l_i(\delta x, \delta \theta')\rho_\alpha(\delta \theta', \delta \theta)d\delta \theta$$

(3.74)
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The Fourier equivalent of this equation is:

\[ S_{\rho_\alpha}(l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) = [l(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) \otimes \Omega_{\text{in}} \rho_\alpha(\Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}})]_{\Omega_{\text{in}}=0} \] (3.75)

Non-planar behaviour: For non-forward and non-backward scattering, the integration in depth cannot be avoided. We combine Equation 3.73 and Equation 3.73 to produce the relation between the incoming local light-field and the outgoing local light-field:

\[ l_\text{in}(\delta x, \delta \theta) = \int \int l_\text{i}(\delta z) \rho_\alpha(\delta \theta', \delta \theta) d\delta \theta' d\delta z \] (3.76)

The Fourier equivalent of this equation is:

\[ S_{\rho_\alpha}(l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) = [l(0, \Omega_y, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}}) \otimes \Omega_{\text{in}} \rho_\alpha(\Omega_{\theta_{\text{in}}}, \Omega_{\theta_{\text{out}}})]_{\Omega_{\text{in}}=0} \] (3.77)

3.3.8 Motion

Motion affects indirectly the local light-field as it has to be coupled with one of the previously described operator (e.g., occlusion, reflection, ...). Figure 3.32 presents an example of the composition of motion and occlusion. We treat occlusion as a projection of the local frame to a static setting. For example, we will consider the occlusion operator on a frame attached to the occluder, making the operator time independent. For that we add a time projection before and after the operator.

\[ Figure \ 3.32 \ - \ Motion \ affects \ occlusion \ by \ shearing \ its \ effect \ on \ the \ local \ light-field. \ In \ this \ example, \ a \ cube \ is \ translating \ along \ the \ X \ component \ of \ the \ world \ coordinates. \ It \ partially \ occlude \ the \ local \ light-field. \ The \ effect \ of \ motion \ is \ visible \ on \ the \ x - t \ slice. \ But \ it \ is \ not \ observable \ on \ other \ slices \ as \ there \ is \ no \ occlusion \ for \ t = 0. \]

When analysing the influence of motion on a light-field, we need to add the time dimension in the analysis. We keep the infinitesimal analysis formulation for the time dimension. The light-field function becomes \( l(\delta x, \delta \theta, \delta t) \). In this context, we assume that motion is linear. Under this assumption, we can show
that the motion operator is a linear shear from the motion dimension (either space or angle) to the time dimension\(^6\). We illustrate the variables for a flatland configuration in Figure 3.33.

![Configuration for motion](image1)

![Intermediate step](image2)

**Figure 3.33** – The effect of motion on positions and angles is illustrated here. We study 2D coordinates \((x, \theta)\) and its transformation after a unit time motion: \((\delta x', \delta \theta')\). The tangential motion of space \(o_x\) with respect to space \(o_0\) is \(\delta x_t\). The non-tangential motion is \(\delta z\) and the angular motion is \(\delta \theta\) \((a)\). To obtain the value \(\delta x'\) we need an intermediate step and compute the distance of the photon at position \((\delta x, \delta \theta)\) after a travel of \(\delta z\) meters along the Z axis with respect to the time changing center \(o_t\) \((b)\).

We introduce an intermediate distance: \(d\) \((Figure 3.33 \(b)\)). It is the position of a photon at position \((\delta x, \delta \theta)\) after a travel of \(\delta z\) meters with respect to the moving center \(o_t\). \(d\) is given by the following formula:

\[
d = \delta x + \tan(\delta \theta)\delta z - \delta x_t
\]

The new position \(\delta x'\) is then:

\[
\delta x' = d(\cos(\delta \theta_t) + \sin(\delta \theta_t) \tan(\delta \theta'))
\]

We simplify this equation using the first order analysis:

\[
\delta x' \simeq \delta x - \delta x_t
\]

If we rewrite the moving frame position as the spatial velocity times the infinitesimal time variable, \(\delta x_t = \vec{v}_s \delta t\) we get the following formula:

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\vec{v}, \vec{r}}(l)(\delta x, \delta \theta, \delta t) = l(\delta x - \vec{v}_s \delta t, \delta \theta - \vec{r}_s \delta t, \delta t)
\] (3.78)

Given the shear behaviour of this operator, its translation in the frequency domain becomes the symmetrical shear:

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\vec{v}, \vec{r}}(l)(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta, \Omega_t) = l(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta, \Omega_t + \vec{v}_s \Omega_x + \vec{r}_s \Omega_\theta)
\] (3.79)

\(^6\)The notion of sheared transformation for motion has been first proposed by Egan et al. [49] for various special cases of one bounce reflection. During this thesis, we generalized it for any incoming light-field allowing it to be added to the set of operators [47].
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Non tangential motions are neglected in this approach, due to the second order nature of this phenomenon.

3.4 Comparison with Differential Analysis

In this section we review differential methods for ray tracing. We separate this section from the previous work to provide a better comparison against Fourier analysis of local radiance. We can differentiate two classes of work on differential analysis. The first one looks at the differentials of structures used to carry radiance: rays and light-paths. The second one analyses the differentials of radiance or irradiance close to a ray, or near a point on a surface.

3.4.1 Comparison with ray differentials

Ray \[82\] and light-path \[24\] differentials are based on the analysis of specular reflection and transmission. The differential of rays are studied with respect to surface curvature, travel, etc. In a way, those ray differential methods look at variation of a light-path with a constant radiance constraint \[84\]. This can have benefits for performing analysis on specular paths \[24, 84\], filtering \[82\] (when the light-path is traced backward, the input energy is the pixel characteristic function), or estimating density reconstruction kernels \[152, 153\].

Our local first-order light-field analysis on the other hand allows to keep track of the radiance variations on neighborhoods of rays. Ray differential methods can be seen as analysis of iso-values of the radiance function. The other notable difference is that no analysis window is defined in ray (or light-paths) differentials. It follows that the space defined by the differential can become extremely large.

3.4.2 Comparison with radiance and irradiance differentials

3.4.2.1 Irradiance differential

Irradiance differential has been well studied in the case of radiosity. There, mesh segmentation is the main motivation \[8, 80\]. Holzschuch and Sillion \[80\] also derived an error bound on the radiosity simulation from this study. Irradiance differential regained interest with the irradiance caching community \[187, 103, 91\] where it is used to derive the density of needed cache records. Fourier analysis can be used for irradiance analysis. Local irradiance can be obtained by slicing the local frequency spectrum in angle (we show an application in Section 5.3).

3.4.2.2 Radiance differential

Ramamoorthi et al. \[142\] derived the propagation of differential information (Gradient and Hessian) through operators defined by Durand et al. \[17\] (e.g., transport, occlusion and reflection). Two major differences are to note compared to Fourier analysis.

First, they derived non planar occlusion differential using the occluder curvature. This is not done in any of the Fourier based methods as most assume
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a planar occluder to constraint occlusion to be a spatial only phenomenon. We showed the derivation of a non planar model, but neglected the curvature.

Second, they provided an analysis of the different terms inside the differential. For example, in the one bounce case, they showed how curvature affects the illumination on the screen in a separable way. This has been used to perform retargeting, enhancement of rendering or even plausible deferred shading rendering based on partial information [180]. Fourier methods provide a single output to a given light-path, the local light-field spectrum. Radiance differential methods can an expansion (up to the second order) of the local-lightfield which is sometimes easier to analyse.

While they can provide a separable formulation for one bounce illumination, propagating complex light-paths would make separability intractable. In such case, they could only provide propagation of the gradient vector or the Hessian matrix. This is very similar to the bandwidth vector or to the covariance matrix formulation (Those will be defined in Chapter 4).
4 | Representations of the Local Light-field Spectrum

We saw in Chapter 3 how to express the changes of a local light-field spectrum when it undergoes an operation (e.g., travel, occlusion, reflection, ...). In this chapter, we present tools to evaluate information of the local light-field spectrum after a chain of operations. The evaluation of the entire spectrum is too complex in the context of ray tracing, so we rely on descriptors. The spatial extent and orientation of the signal’s spectrum are of practical interest since they tell how much the signal varies and in which direction.

Occlusion’s spectrum is also too costly to evaluate in practice. We showed in previous chapter that we can estimate an approximate spectrum from the distance, depth and direction to the occluder (Section 3.3.2). We propose a method based on a voxel grid to evaluate those quantities.

In this chapter we present the following contributions:

- A compact representation of the signal’s spectrum using the second moments matrix: the covariance matrix (Section 4.2). This representation is fully integrated into the frequency analysis theory. We validate the covariance matrix against measurements, and show that it correctly depicts information about the real local spectrum with comparisons to measured spectra.

- Two data structures to evaluate local occlusion using voxel grids (Section 4.3.2). Those structures are easy to integrate into existing ray-tracer.

First, we will review previously proposed spectrum representations (Section 4.1). Then, we will introduce and validate the covariance matrix (Section 4.2). Finally, we will present and compare structures to estimate local occlusion that are designed to work in a global illumination context (Section 4.3).

4.1 Previous Work

In this section, we present previously used representations of the local spectrum and emphasis that those tools are not fitted for anisotropic and global illumination analysis.
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4.1.1 Bandwidth of the Spectrum

We define the bandwidth as a point corresponding to the 99\textsuperscript{th} percentile of the spectrum along its dimensions. It gives information about the spread of the spectrum:

\[ \vec{b} = \vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n + 5 \text{ such that } \int_{t=-\vec{p}}^{\vec{p}} f(\vec{t}) d\vec{t} = 0.99 \int_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\vec{t}) d\vec{t} \]  (4.1)

Assuming the spectrum has finite support, this point defines a box in this space which contains the spectrum (Figure 4.1). We call this point the bandwidth vector\(^1\).

\textbf{Figure 4.1} – The bandwidth of the signal is the point corresponding to the 99\textsuperscript{th} percentile of the spectrum. It defines a box containing most of the energy of the spectrum.

This tool (in a 2D setting\(^2\)) has been used as a prior-analysis in Durand et al.’s bilateral filtering method for rendering [47]. They derived the approximation of the bandwidth after one bounce of infinite frequency angular light source. They predicted the adaptive density for shading in screen space and performed reconstruction on a small set of sample using a bilateral filter.

Bagher et al. [9] used it to estimate both the number of samples required for integrating acquired materials and the number of shading evaluations in screen space. To build the bandwidth buffer, they approximate the bandwidth of the integral with the weighted average of the bandwidths of the incoming rays.

4.1.1.1 Application of the Different Operators

The bandwidth propagation is build on its vector notation. Given a bandwidth vector \( \vec{b} = (b_x, b_y)^T \) we describe the matrices for the linear operators, or the direct transformation of the vector for non-linear effects. We only describe operators present in the corresponding publication [9].

\(^1\)The derivation of matrix operations for the bandwidth vector is part of the contribution of this thesis (see [3]). But we present it as a previous work to emphasis on the covariance matrix’s advantages.

\(^2\)One dimension for space, one dimension for angle. The spectrum is supposed to be isotropic in space and isotropic in angle.
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**Travel**  After a travel of $d$ meters, the resulting bandwidth vector will be:

$$\vec{b}' = T_d \vec{b}$$

Where the 2D transport matrix is:

$$T_d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -d & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Curvature**  After the virtual projection on a surface with a local maximum curvature $\kappa$, the resulting bandwidth vector will be:

$$\vec{b}' = C_\kappa \vec{b}$$

Since the derivation is done in 2D, there is no need for rotation of the signal. We are looking at the maximum bandwidth per dimension. Thus, the curvature matrix becomes a curvature coefficient $\kappa$, the maximum of the diagonal elements of the curvature matrix. The 2D curvature matrix operator is:

$$C_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \kappa \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**BRDF and texture**  The resulting bandwidth vector after the reflection of the input local light-field by the surface is:

$$\vec{b}' = \begin{bmatrix} b_x + t \\ \min(b_y, m_\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $t$ is the texture bandwidth and $m_\theta$ is the BRDF bandwidth.

**Scaling**  After the scaling (e.g., for projection) of the input spectrum, the bandwidth vector of the new spectrum is:

$$\vec{b}' = P_\alpha \vec{b}$$

Where the scaling matrix is:

$$P_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.1.1.2 Discussion

The bandwidth vector has a compact formulation that makes it practical. Furthermore, the matrix formulation is interesting as it allows a clean algebraic definition of the operators.

But the bandwidth vector does not capture anisotropy. The notion of bandwidth of a Monte Carlo estimate is not theoretically sound (but it works for the case of one bounce without visibility). It is thus not applicable for generic applications with the motion operator.
4.1.2 The Wedge Function

The wedge function was first introduced by Chai et al. [21] for image based rendering using plenoptic sampling, then used by Egan et al. [49, 51, 50] in the study of linear motion of shadows, texture, and occlusion. This tool gives a better intuition of the bounding shape of the spectrum than the bandwidth estimation when the spectrum studied is known to be bounded by two shears of the same 1D input spectrum.

4.1.2.1 Definition of the Wedge Function

We take the example of the travel of the signal in space. We characterize, in the Fourier domain, a geometrical bounding of the spectrum between distance of travel $d_1$ and $d_2$ with $d_1 < d_2$. If the input signal is a dirac in angle and a constant in space, we end up with a spectrum enclosed by the wedge function (Figure 4.2).

Figure 4.2 – The wedge function defined as the space covered by a continuous shear from $d_1$ and $d_2$. If the input spectrum is one dimensional, before the shear, we can define a tight bound of the possible spectrum.

Egan et al. apply this analysis to the study of linear motions such as: texture motion, shadow motion and environment maps rotations [49], and extended this approach to directional occlusion analysis [51, 50]. Each analysis requires a special case formula, but all resulting spectrum share the same wedge enclosing. Having such knowledge of a tight bounding allows to define an optimal packing of samples.

4.1.2.2 Applications

This wedge analysis relies on a particular configuration, namely continuous shears, and cannot be extended to more general cases. This is why, contrarily to other presented tools, we will not derive operators, but cite cases of application.

Motion of a diffuse textured object is studied in the space-time domain $(\delta x, \delta t)$. If $f(\delta x, \delta t_1)$ is the object radiance on screen at the starting position, the translation of the object will result in the sheared radiance function:
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\[ g(\delta x, \delta t) = f(\delta x + v\delta t, \delta t) \]. If the motion is not uniform, the resulting spectrum is enclosed inside a wedge area \((v_1, v_2)\) where \(v_1\) is the minimum speed and \(v_2\) is the maximum speed. (Figure 4.3).

**Figure 4.3** – The wedge function describes the area in which the moving texture object’s spectrum lies.

**Occlusion** consider the case of multiple planar occluders. If the occluders are bounded in depth where \(d_1\) is the first occluder depth and \(d_2\) is the last occluder’s depth the resulting occlusion spectrum is contained inside the wedge \((d_1, d_2)\) in the angle-space domain (Figure 4.4).

**Figure 4.4** – The wedge function describes the area in which the occluders spectrum is enclosed.

4.1.2.3 Discussion

The wedge function is limited to special cases of light transport. In those configurations, it is a powerful tool as its evaluation is simple. But we aim to perform a generic analysis in a context of global illumination.

4.1.3 Density Estimation of the Spectrum

This method evaluates the spectrum using a density estimation approach. Much like the photon mapping method, samples are drawn in the frequency
domain with probability density equal to the input local light-field’s spectrum (Figure 4.5). Samples are updated individually for each operation on the light-field.

Figure 4.5 – The spectrum is densely sampled and the bandwidth is estimated from this set of points.

Soler et al. [165] used this method to estimate the local light-field spectra on the lens and on the sensor for a one bounce reflection assuming that the incoming light-field before the reflection was of infinite angular frequency.

4.1.3.1 Application of the Different Operators

The operators are defined for sample positions \( \vec{p}_i \) individually. To apply an operator, one has to loop over all the samples and apply the individual operator.

**Linear operations**  Linear operations are applied like for the bandwidth operator. Each position is multiplied by the operator’s matrix:

\[
\vec{p}'_i = M_{Op} \vec{p}_i
\]

Where \( M_{Op} \) is the matrix of the operator.

**Occlusion**  The convolution with the occluder’s window is done by summing the spatial position of the sample with a random position \( \vec{o} \) drawn from the PDF of the occluder.

\[
\vec{p}'_i = \vec{p}_i + \vec{o}
\]

This property comes from the fact that the random variable of the convolution of two PDF is the sum of the individual random variables of the PDFs (Figure 4.6).

**BRDF**  The multiplication with the spectrum of the BRDF is done by clamping samples that are over the angular bandwidth of the BRDF, \( b_\theta \). A sample is discarded if the sample angular position \( p_{i\theta} > b_\theta \).
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4.1.3.2 **Discussion**

While it was not described in their paper (as they derived a 2D version of the spectrum), the distribution of points expresses the anisotropy of the spectrum. This information is needed for applications using the time operator as the projected direction of motion influences the resulting operator.

But a lot of samples are required to obtain a decent estimator of the spectrum. The more bounces the light-path will make, the more samples it will require since each BRDF operation removes some samples. It is thus not applicable for global illumination algorithms.

4.2 **The Covariance Matrix**

Our goal is to find a compact and efficient structure to determine the spread and orientation of the spectrum after several bounces. None of the existing methods is able to fulfill all of these criteria. We present a new structure: the **Covariance matrix** that has a compact storage, allows a matrix formulation of the operators, estimates anisotropy, as well as variance, of the local spectrum and is compatible with Monte Carlo integration.

First, we will introduce the notion of covariance for density functions (Section 4.2.1). We will introduce the covariance matrix and demonstrate that it is the smallest set of information capable of estimating the covariance (Section 4.2.2 and Section 4.2.3). We will define matrix equivalents for the operators (Section 4.2.4) and validate experimentally the covariance matrix estimate with measured covariance matrix (Section 4.2.5). Finally, we will discuss the relation between the covariance matrix and previous works on Gaussian beams in the physics community (Section 4.2.6).

4.2.1 **Covariance of Density Functions : Definition**

The covariance of a probability density function (or pdf) \( f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) with zero mean for vector \( \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \) against vector \( \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N \) is defined as:

\[
\text{cov}_{\bar{x}, \bar{y}}(f) = \int_{\bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \langle \bar{t}, \bar{x} \rangle \langle \bar{t}, \bar{y} \rangle f(\bar{t}) \, d\bar{t}
\] (4.2)
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Where $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$ denotes the dot product between $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{y}$. The covariance is a generalisation of the variance to higher dimensions. When $N = 1$ and $\vec{x} = \vec{y} = 1$, the covariance is the same as the variance.

Prokhorov [140] was the first to introduce the covariance of a measure using the integral form in real space. It was later generalized to probability density functions defined over vector spaces as we can see in Vakhania et al.’s monograph [175, Chapter III.2].

The covariance of a probability density function is the extension of the second moment matrix for a random vector of finite dimension. Given a random variable $X$ with probability density function $f$ with null expectation, the second moment matrix (or covariance matrix of $X$) is defined as:

$$X = E(X X^T)$$

(4.3)

An unbiased estimator of $X$ is:

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} x_i x_i^T$$

$$\to_{N=\infty} \text{cov}(f)$$

4.2.2 The Covariance Matrix

4.2.2.1 Definition

We are interested in the smallest set of information that defines the covariance of a given function $f$ for any pair of vectors $(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$. This is embodied by the covariance matrix. The covariance matrix is a $N \times N$ matrix defined for a given basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $(\vec{e}_i)_{i\in[1..N]}$.

Definition : The covariance matrix of a probability density function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, with respect to the basis $(\vec{e}_i)_{i\in[1..N]}$ is a $N \times N$ matrix where:

$$i,j = \int_{\vec{t} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_i \rangle \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_j \rangle f(\vec{t}) \, d\vec{t}, \quad \forall (i,j) \in [1..N]^2$$

(4.4)

In the rest of this thesis, we will use the canonical basis for the covariance matrix and avoid talking about the basis used to define it.

4.2.2.2 What is the Covariance Matrix ?

A Frame Our space of study is $\mathbb{R}^N$ which is Hilbertian, the covariance matrix defines (if it is non degenerated) a frame of the space (Figure 4.7). An eigen-decomposition of it results in $N$ eigen-vectors being the principal directions of the density function and of $N$ eigen-values being the variances of the density function along the associated vectors.

A Notion of Entropy The differential entropy of a PDF with covariance is bounded by [33]:

$$h(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left[ (2\pi e)^N \det() \right]$$

(4.5)
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**Figure 4.7** - When the covariance matrix has full rank, it defines a frame of the $\mathbb{R}^N$ space. The length of the frame’s vectors are the variances along those vectors. Here we display the eigen-decomposition of the covariance matrix of the amplitude of the Lena picture.

Where $h(f)$ is the differential entropy, $N$ is the number of dimensions of the input domain of $f$ and its covariance matrix. The differential entropy extends, in information theory, the notion of entropy of random variables to their associated PDF. For example, a high determinant of the covariance matrix will result in a highly varying signal. Samples (light-path associated with this portion of the space) will estimate the average value with a low certainty.

**The Hessian matrix** The covariance matrix of the amplitude is, up to a sign change, equals to the Hessian of the light-field (Property 3).

### 4.2.3 Properties

**Property 1.** The covariance matrix is symmetric and its diagonal elements are positive.

It results from the integral definition and the fact that we are looking at the amplitude of the spectrum which has value in $\mathbb{R}^+$. It also means that the frame defined by the covariance matrix is orthogonal.

**Property 2.** For any couple of vectors $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the covariance of a given function $f$ for $\vec{x}$ against $\vec{y}$ is:

$$\text{cov}_{\vec{x},\vec{y}}(f) = \vec{x}_e^T \cdot \vec{y}_e$$

Where $\vec{x}_e$ is the covariance matrix of $f$ with respect to the canonical basis ($\vec{e}_i$) and $\vec{x}_e$ is the projection of $\vec{x}$ on it.

This property is important since for any rotation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, we can find the new covariance matrix in the new basis. The definition of the covariance matrix

---

3The entropy was defined by Shannon as the uncertainty of information in a message per unit of information [151].
defined with respect to the basis \((e_i)\) in the basis \((e'_i)\) (both are orthogonal and normed) is:

\[
'R = R^T R
\] (4.6)

Where \(R\) is the rotation matrix from \((e_i)\) to \((e'_i)\).

**Proof.** As \((\vec{e}_i)\) is a basis of \(\mathbb{R}^N\) we can define \(\vec{x}\), and \(\vec{y}\), using its decomposition on this basis:

\[
\vec{x} = \sum_i \langle \vec{x}; \vec{e}_i \rangle \vec{e}_i \quad (4.7)
\]

**Property 3.** The covariance of a particular direction against itself of the Fourier transform of a function \(\hat{f}\) correspond to the second partial derivative of \(f\) at the position where the covariance is computed.

\[
\text{cov}_{\vec{x},\vec{x}}(\hat{f}) = \frac{d^2 f}{d\vec{x}^2} (\vec{0})
\]

**Proof.** Multiplying a Fourier transform, \(\hat{f}(\mu)\), by \(i\mu\) provides the Fourier transform of the derivative of \(f\). Given the covariance matrix definition (Equation 4.4), we see that it corresponds to the value of the second derivative at the central position:

\[
i_{i,j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu_i \mu_j \hat{f}(\vec{\mu}) d\vec{\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| F \left[ \frac{d^2 f}{dx_i dx_j} \right] \right|(\vec{\mu}) d\vec{\mu} = \left| \frac{d^2 f}{dx_i dx_j} \right|(\vec{0})
\]

**Property 4.** The covariance matrix of the weighted sum of two density functions is the weighted sum of the respective covariance matrices of the density functions.

\[
(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha' (f) + \beta' (g)
\]

Where \(\alpha' = \alpha \frac{||f||}{||\alpha f + \beta g||}\) and \(\beta' = \beta \frac{||g||}{||\alpha f + \beta g||}\)
Proof.

\[ i,j (\alpha f + \beta g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_i \rangle \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_j \rangle (\alpha f(\vec{t}) + \beta g(\vec{t})) \, d\vec{t}, \quad \forall (i, j) \in [1..N]^2 \]

\[ = \alpha' \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_i \rangle \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_j \rangle f(\vec{t}) \, d\vec{t} + \beta' \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_i \rangle \langle \vec{t}, \vec{e}_j \rangle g(\vec{t}) \, d\vec{t} \]

\[ = \alpha' i,j (f) + \beta' i,j (g) \]

Property 5. We can build a Monte Carlo estimate of the covariance matrix using Property $\mathbb{E}$.

Proof. Let $I$ be a function resulting from the integration of a positive function $f$ over one of its variable.

\[ I(x) = \int_y f(x, y) \, dy \]

We would like to estimate the covariance matrix of $I$, but we only have access to the covariance matrix of $f$.

\[ i,j (I) = \int_x x_i x_j \frac{I(x)}{||I||} \, dx \]

Where $||I|| = \int_x I(x) \, dx$ is the normalisation constant to ensure that $\frac{I(x)}{||I||}$ is a pdf.

If we have only access to a Monte Carlo estimate of the function $I$, we cannot estimate its covariance matrix. But we can provide a Monte Carlo estimate of the covariance matrix of $I$ from the Monte Carlo estimate of $I$.

\[ i,j (I) \simeq \int_x x_i x_j \frac{\sum_y f(x, y_k)}{||I||} \, dx \]

\[ = \sum_{y_k} \frac{1}{||I||} \int_x x_i x_j f(x, y_k) \, dx \]

\[ = \sum_{y_k} \frac{||f_k||}{||I||} i,j (f_k) \]

Property 6. The covariance matrix of the convolution of two independent density functions if the sum of the covariance matrices of the two functions.

\[ (f \otimes g) = (f) + (g) \] (4.8)

Proof. Recall that $(f) \simeq \sum_i x_i x_i^T$, when $x \sim f$ (if $f$ has zero mean). For this proof, we use the property that a random variable whose PDF is a convolution can be expressed as a product of uncorrelated random variables:

\[ X_{f \otimes g} = Y_f + Z_g \]
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If we express the unbiased estimator of \((f \otimes g)\), we obtain:

\[
(f \otimes g) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i x_i^T
\]

But we can express \(x_i\) as a draw of two independent random variables \(y_i\) and \(z_i\):

\[
(f \otimes g) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (y_i + z_i)(y_i + z_i)^T = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} y_i y_i^T + z_i z_i^T + y_i z_i^T + z_i y_i^T
\]

The last terms \(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} y_i z_i^T\) and \(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_i y_i^T\) are the correlation terms and are equal to zero. Thus the estimator of the covariance matrix of the convolution is:

\[
(f \otimes g) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} y_i y_i^T + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_i z_i^T = (f) + (g)
\]

\(\square\)

**Property 7.** The covariance matrix of a density function whose input space is linearly transformed is equal to:

\[
^t = |A|A^T A
\]

Where \(A\) is the linear transformation of the input space, and \(|A|\) is its determinant.

**Proof.** Let \(f_A\) be the transformed function from \(f\) such as \(f_A(\bar{x}) = f(A^{-1}\bar{x})\):

\[
i,j(f_A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_i t_j f(A^{-1}\bar{t})d\bar{t} = |A| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (A\bar{t})_i (A\bar{t})_j f(\bar{t})d\bar{t} = |A| \sum_k \sum_l A_{k,i} A_{l,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_i t_j f(\bar{t})d\bar{t} = |A| \sum_k \sum_l A_{k,i} A_{l,j} (f) = |A| (A^T (f)A)_{i,j}
\]

\(\square\)

**Property 8.** Given a density function \(f(\bar{x}, y)\) and its covariance matrix \(\Sigma\), the covariance matrix of the density function \(g(\bar{x}) = \int_y f(\bar{x}, y)dy\), is

\[
(g) = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \quad (4.10)
\]
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We call $(g)$ a sliced covariance matrix. The sliced covariance matrix along dimension $i$, $\bar{i}$ of the covariance matrix is defined as:

$$\mid \bar{i} = [S_{i}^{-1}]^{-1} \quad (4.11)$$

Where $S_{i}$ is the matrix composed of vectors of the remaining basis completed by a null vector:

$$S_{i} = \begin{bmatrix}
\vec{e}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vec{e}_{i-1} \\
\vec{0} \\
\vec{e}_{i+1} \\
\vdots \\
\vec{e}_{n}
\end{bmatrix} \quad (4.12)$$

**Proof.** We build this approximation by looking at the equivalent Gaussian, $g$. We apply Fourier’s slice theorem (Section 3.2.3.3) on the equivalent Gaussian. The equivalent Gaussian of the integrated signal is:

$$g_{i}(\vec{x}) = g(\vec{x}_{\bar{i}}) \quad \text{where } (x_{\bar{i}})_j = x_j \text{ if } j \neq i \text{ and } 0 \text{ else } (4.13)$$

This is equivalent to removing the $i^{th}$ column and row of the inverse matrix in the Gaussian formulation:

$$g(\vec{x}) = e^{-\pi \tau^{-1} \vec{x}} (4.14)$$

But this affects the inverse of the covariance matrix. Thus to obtain the reduced covariance matrix, we need to invert it, remove the $i^{th}$ column and row and invert it again to obtain a sliced covariance matrix.

This property requires the covariance matrix to be non-degenerate.

### 4.2.4 Application to Light Transport Operators

#### 4.2.4.1 Travel in Space

The shear is a linear operator. Any linear operator can be represented by its product with the operator matrix (Property 7):

$$(T_d(\hat{l})) = S_d^T (\hat{l}) S_d \quad (4.15)$$

Where $S_d$ is the transport shear matrix for a distance of $d$ meters. This matrix has the form:

$$S_d = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -d & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \quad (4.16)$$
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4.2.4.2 Occlusion

The covariance matrix of a convolution of two density functions is the sum of their respective covariance matrices (Property 6). Given the covariance matrix of the visibility spectrum \( O \), the resulting covariance matrix is:

\[
(O(\hat{l})) = (\hat{l}) + O
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.17)

For example, under the planar occluder hypothesis, the occluder matrix is:

\[
O = \begin{bmatrix}
O_{xx} & O_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
O_{yx} & O_{yy} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & O_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & O_{yy} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.18)

4.2.4.3 Rotation and Scale

Rotation and scale are linear operators (Property 7):

\[
(Ro(\hat{l})) = R^T_a(\hat{l})R_a 
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.19)

\[
(P_a(\hat{l})) = S^T_a(\hat{l})S_a
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.20)

Where \( R_a \) is the rotation matrix of \( \alpha \) radian. This matrix has the form:

\[
R_a = \begin{bmatrix}
cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin(\alpha) & cos(\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sin(\alpha) & cos(\alpha) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.21)

\( S_a \) is the scaling rotation matrix of factor \( \alpha \). This matrix has the form:

\[
S_a = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.22)

4.2.4.4 Cosine term

The cosine term operator is a convolution. We use the covariance convolution formulation (Property 7) between the incoming light-field covariance and the covariance of the spherical Bessel function of the first kind (noted \( B \)):

\[
(C(\hat{l})) = (\hat{l}) + B
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.23)
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4.2.4.5 Reflection

We present the matrix operator for isotropic Phong parametrized BRDFs. This operator is the multiplication of the input light-field’s spectrum and the BRDF’s spectrum. We approximate it using the formula for zero-centered Gaussians multiplication. When we multiply two zero-centered Gaussians, the resulting distribution is a Gaussian whose inverse covariance matrix is the sum of input inverse covariance matrices.

We need to take extra care for this operator since the covariance matrices might be low rank when the distribution is a Dirac along one dimension. We use pseudo-inverses instead of inverses in our derivations (noted with a plus sign). We approximate the resulting covariance matrix using:

\[(\vec{l} \times \vec{\rho}) \simeq (\vec{l})^+ + (\vec{\rho})^+ \]

(4.24)

Where \((\vec{\rho})\) is the covariance matrix of the BRDF. For example, the covariance matrix of a Phong lobe is (proof in Appendix B.1):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{64}{15} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.25)

This formulation is consistent with previous work on frequency analysis of reflection [141].

4.2.4.6 Transmission

Specular transmission is handled by a convolution with the window kernel, followed by a scale of the angular domain. Equation 3.58 is translated in terms of covariance matrices by an addition with an angular block matrix followed by a linear transform:

\[
(\text{Tr}(\vec{l})) = S^T \left( (\vec{l})^+ + W \right) S
\]

(4.26)

Where \(W\), the covariance matrix of angular window is empty everywhere, excepted for the second angular coordinate:

\[
W = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & w\Omega_\phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.27)

The scale matrix \(S\) is defined as:

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & n_1 \cos(s_1) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & n_2 \cos(s_2) & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.28)
### 4.2. The Covariance Matrix

**Rough refraction** is done like the BRDF operator (Equation 4.24).

#### 4.2.4.7 Lens

The two shears formulation (Equation 3.64) is a linear operator:

\[
L_{(d_1,d_2)}() = L^T L
\]

(4.29)

Where \( L \) has the following formulation:

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + d_1d_2 & 0 & d_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 + d_1d_2 & 0 & d_1 & 0 \\
d_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.30)

#### 4.2.4.8 Attenuation

Attenuation has no effect on the covariance matrix if the medium is homogeneous. For varying density participating medium, we use the occlusion formula (Equation 4.17).

#### 4.2.4.9 Scattering

The scattering operator behaves like the BRDF operator (Equation 4.24). But it requires the knowledge of the covariance of the phase function. In this thesis, we study the Henyey-Greenstein phase function \[75\] (noted HG). The definition of the HG function is:

\[
g(\theta) = \frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{1 - g^2}{(1 + g^2 - 2g \cos \omega)^2}
\]

This function is one dimensional as this scattering model is one dimensional. The HG function has been proposed to model the scattering of light by interstellar matter in the galaxy \[75\]. The reduced dimensionality of the phase function means that the covariance matrix will also be one dimensional:

\[
(\hat{\rho}_g) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \text{cov}(\hat{\rho}_g) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.31)

**Property 9.** The covariance of the HG phase function is (See Appendix B.2 for the proof):

\[
\text{cov}(\hat{\rho}_g) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{|g|(1 + |g|)}{(1 - |g|)^2}
\]

(4.32)

Figure 4.8 shows the influence of the \( g \) parameter on the frequency content of the scattered radiance. Increasing this parameter towards one reduces the low-pass filter effect of scattering.
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Figure 4.8 – We illustrate the low-pass effect of scattering. The scene is composed of a square light source that diffuses light in a uniform volume with a Heney-Greenstein scattering distribution. The camera is placed towards the light to show the extent of the diffusion. The greater the diffusion the less the angular covariance. As we proved, scattering acts as a low pass filter over angular frequencies. The color images show the \( \theta \theta, \theta \phi \) and \( \phi \phi \) covariance values mapped on the RGB channels (\( r = \theta \theta, g = \theta \phi, b = \phi \phi \)).

4.2.4.10 Motion

The motion matrix operator is a linear shear operator. Its matrix is expressed using a diagonal matrix with correlation terms performing the shear:

\[
M_{\vec{v}}() = M_{\vec{v}}^T M_{\vec{v}}
\]

Where the operator’s matrix is:

\[
M_{\vec{v}} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
v_x & v_y & v_\theta & v_\phi & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

4.2.5 Validation of the covariance method

In this section, we present validation examples for the our covariance matrix estimate. We designed a 5D light-field ray-tracer and compared the covariance from simulation against our covariance estimate.

The first test scene is composed of a light source directed towards a translating diffuse checkerboard (Figure 4.9). The second test scene is composed of a square light source directed towards a diffuse square receiver partially occluded by a rotated square blocker (Figure 4.10). For this test scene, we used the cone grid to evaluate occlusion (Section 4.3.2.2).
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Figure 4.9 – A Gaussian light source illuminates a moving diffuse reflector with a high frequency texture in the orthogonal direction to the motion. We estimate the covariance at several locations (after the source, before reflection and after reflection) using a light-field ray-tracer and compare the result to our estimated covariance matrix. The results are close and differences can be explained from the window function used before the Fourier transform of the measured data.

Our covariance prediction are close to the measured covariance. The shear in the time domain is correctly depicted by our prediction (Figure 4.11). The equivalent Gaussian (in dotted) captures the complicated spectrum after the reflection on the sliding reflector. The anisotropy of the signal after occlusion is well estimated (Figure 4.10). The differences between the two matrices are explained by the window function we used to compute the Fourier transforms of the measured light-fields.

4.2.6 Comparison with Gaussian Beams

We emphasize on the novelty of the covariance matrix propagation. To our knowledge, the closest work on matrix propagation of light information is Gaussian beams analysis [2]. In this section, we give a short review of Gaussian beams and highlight the differences between these two tools.

4.2.6.1 Definition of Gaussian beams

To analyse paraxial systems, geometrical optics often approximate the differential irradiance by a Gaussian function [12]: the Gaussian beam:

\[
\Psi(x, z) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{e^{-i(\phi(z) - \phi_0)}}{\omega(z)}} e^{-i \frac{k z^2}{2 \omega(z)}} \frac{x^2}{\omega^2(z)}
\]
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We analyse a soft shadow cast by a tilted plane. The covariance is estimated after the reflection by the diffuse plane. The resulting spectrum exhibits the same orientation. The measured spectrum is estimated using a windowing of the measured light-field. This windowing increases the frequency content of the spectrum. We can see this difference on the plot (the cross) and on the measured covariance.

Where \( x \) is the transversal dimension, and \( z \) is the axial dimension (in a 2D setting). \( k \) describes the wavelength of the beam and \( R(z) \), \( \phi(z) \), \( \phi_0 \) and \( \omega(z) \) describe the behaviour of the beam (dispersion, width and waist position).

The propagation of a Gaussian beam through an optical system can be studied using matrix multiplications \cite{2}. A Gaussian beam propagating through a thin lens keeps its Gaussian nature \cite{43}. Those properties are interesting to model and test optical systems.

4.2.6.2 Comparison with Covariance

Covariance matrices exhibit a richer set of information than Gaussian beams. The former characterizes the spectrum of the radiance function while the later characterizes the spatial distribution of irradiance.

Covariance matrix allows to analyse paraxial systems as the matrix operators such as refraction and travel are dual of the paraxial operators \cite{60}. Complex optical systems can be formulated using the set of operators as well as multiple inputs systems thanks to the additivity of covariance. The later is not possible with the definition of Gaussian beams.

4.3 Occluder Spectrum Evaluation

We saw various methods to represent or approximate the frequency spectrum of the light-field function. Yet the occlusion operator requires the corresponding representation of its frequency spectrum. We need to evaluate it during the construction of the light-path.

We first review existing approaches of occlusion evaluation (Section 4.3.1). Then we propose two new data structure to obtain local occlusion information without altering the core of the ray-tracing engine (Section 4.3.2).
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4.3.1 Previous Work

Previously proposed approaches require either sampling of visibility (Section 4.3.1.1) or its rasterization (Section 4.3.1.2).

4.3.1.1 Sampling Occlusion

Durand et al. [47] used a sampling of the visibility to estimate the occlusion for directions on the hemisphere of a particular hit-point with the scene.

This method requires an appropriate sampling of the hemisphere and has to be done for each ray (but it can be precomputed for eye rays). This is not suited for a global illumination setting as visibility evaluation is a bottleneck.

4.3.1.2 Depth Map Discontinuities

Soler et al. [165] evaluated the visibility using a depth map. The visibility is rasterized which is cheaper than Durand et al. [47]. The evaluation of the directionality (when needed) is made using the gradient direction in the tile used to detect the discontinuity (for example a $3 \times 3$ pixels tile).

This method is not adapted for a general purpose, such as global illumination. Gathering local occlusion information for a given ray requires to rasterize occluders.

4.3.2 Voxel Grids

We incorporate occlusion information into a spatial structure to evaluate it during a marching step. The data structures are two voxel grids where voxels store distribution information of the nearby occlusion.

The voxel grid has been developed for algorithms that look at multiple reflections analysis or when a depth map cannot be evaluated (for environment maps for example). This spatial structure subdivides the 3D scene into voxels in which we compute a conservative approximation of the occlusion frequency spectrum with the given spectrum representation.

During the covariance computation step, for a given ray, we ray march into this grid, using ray marching [4], to estimate the minimum occlusion window.

4.3.2.1 Normal voxel Grid

During the covariance computation step, for a given ray, we ray march into this grid, using ray marching [4], to estimate the minimum occlusion window.

Description: The normal voxel grid stores a Gaussian representation of the normal density distribution (noted NDF) inside the voxels.

Construction: For each object inside the voxel grid, we sample positions according to the area of objects. To each position we compute the associated normal. We define its covariance matrix using a dirac function in the direction of the normal. To obtain the covariance matrix of the normal distribution, we sum the individual covariance matrices of the normals. We also store the distance to the closest sample point with the center of the voxel.
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Evaluation of covariance is done by slicing from the 3D covariance matrix of normals using the tangent plane of the ray’s direction. The matrix is inverted and rotated to align the ray’s direction with the third component of the matrix. Then, the 2D submatrix of the tangent plane is extracted and inverted to obtain the covariance matrix of the occluder. From the normals’ covariance matrix \( N \), and a ray’s direction \( \vec{d} \), we define the occluder’s covariance matrix \( O \) as:

\[
O^{-1} = \left[ R^{-T}_{d} N^{-1} R_{d1,2} \right]
\]

(4.33)

This slicing is not equivalent to an integration, as we store a Gaussian representation of the NDF and not a spectrum. It extracts the distribution of direction inside the tangent plane of the ray.

Frequency leaking: Using a covariance matrix to represent the distribution of normals smooths it. This introduces leaking of frequency when evaluating the covariance for ray pointing in directions close to the normal of a surface. This leaking creates an undesirable effect: self-occlusion of surfaces (See Figure 4.11(a)). This self-occlusion is not problematic for one bounce illumination applications but in a global illumination setting, the self-occlusion accumulates leading to overestimation of occlusion. To avoid this effect, we introduce another voxel grid: the cone voxel grid.

Figure 4.11 – Auto-occlusion (a) produces an overestimate of the local frequency. Using a cone voxel-grid (b) allows to remove this issue.

4.3.2.2 Cone Voxel Grid

The cone grid stores the cone in which the NDF lies. This is a coarser representation of the NDF, but in our experiences, it gives a cleaner frequency estimate of the occlusion for the self-occlusion issue.

Definition: The cone grid stores a bi-cone in each voxel. A bi-cone is defined with a mean direction and an aperture. To test if a ray is occluded by a nearby
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cone, we test for the intersection between the cone and the orthogonal plane of the ray. It allows to get rid of some self-occlusion issues (Figure 4.11(b)).

**Construction:** For each object inside the voxel grid, we sample normals. We first construct the mean direction of the cone by computing the mean normal and then calculate the extent of the cone using the normal with the smallest dot product to the mean direction. We also store the distance to the closest sample point with the center of the voxel.

**Evaluation of covariance** is done first by testing for intersection between the orthogonal plane and the cone (Figure 4.12), then the mean direction is projected onto the tangent plane to estimate the direction of occlusion. Finally, we weight this matrix by the distance factor.

![Figure 4.12](image-url) – We test the occlusion of a cone by intersecting the tangent plane of the ray with the cone. The main direction $\vec{c}$ is then projected on the tangent plane to evaluate the direction of occlusion.

### 4.4 Notes on Uni-modality

All representations of the spectrum presented in this chapter estimate a uni-modal spectrum. This means that a spectrum consisting of several distinct components will be treated as a whole (Figure 4.13). This is not a problem in practice as we are interested in the complete spectrum. But it could be interesting to separate near-diffuse and specular components of a signal for example.

It is possible to perform a multi-modal analysis of the spectrum with covariance, assuming that different modes corresponds to different light-paths (and that we have the knowledge of which mode a light-path correspond to). The additivity of covariance allows to extract the different modes. But such method would impose extra storage since multiple covariance matrices would be required to estimate one spectrum.
Figure 4.13 – Our analysis is uni-modal. We obtain the information about the complete spectrum using a covariance analysis for example (a). But a spectrum can be composed of distinct frequency elements on which a multi-modal analysis could be beneficial (b). In the case presented here, there is a low frequency component around the DC and an anisotropic high frequency component with a close to Gabor type.
5 | Applications of Frequency Analysis of Light Transport

We showed how to derive local frequency content around a light-path using a set of operators on 5D radiance functions (Chapter 3). We adapted this analysis into a set of computationally tractable operations on a generic representation, the covariance matrix (Chapter 4). In this chapter, we look at applications of the covariance matrix. We show its usefulness for both Monte-Carlo integration and kernel density estimation algorithms and prove that it can handle various light phenomena like depth-of-field, motion blur and participating media.

In this chapter we present the following contributions:

We present applications of the covariance analysis. Those applications intend to accelerate the convergence of either Monte-Carlo integration or kernel density estimation methods. More precisely, we present:

- A new image space adaptive sampling and filtered reconstruction algorithm that takes anisotropic variations of the integrand into account (Section 5.1).
- Methods to perform filtering in object space based on kernel density estimation (Section 5.2).
- Methods to perform adaptive sampling of crepuscular rays and adaptive filtering of photon beams using an estimate of the fluence spectrum (Section 5.3).

5.1 Image Space Applications

To prove its usefulness, we use the covariance matrix (Section 4.2) to perform adaptive sampling and reconstruct the resulting sparse set of samples with gather filters. We benefit from the spatial, angular and temporal analysis by filtering distributed effects (e.g., depth-of-field, motion blur, and soft shadows).

5.1.1 Algorithm

Our algorithm decomposes into four steps: First, we trace a small amount of light-paths from the light to the camera and estimate the associated 5D covari-
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Figure 5.1 – We estimate covariance matrices from a set of light-paths (a). We store them in a covariance buffer of the size of the screen containing one covariance matrix per pixel (b). This covariance buffer predicts a required density of samples (c) and the associated filter (d). The number of required density of samples can be below 1.

5.1.1.1 The covariance buffer

We store covariance in a 2D buffer with the same resolution as the picture. This buffer contains a single covariance matrix per pixel. In a first pass, we accumulate a fixed number of covariance matrices per pixel (in the covariance buffer) by sampling light-paths and estimating the associated covariance matrix at the sensor position using our forward analysis (Figure 5.1(a)). We average the matrices in the same pixel using the Monte-Carlo estimator of the covariance matrix (Property 5).

5.1.1.2 Preintegration

We derive filters in the 2D space of the image to avoid higher dimensional data structures for the samples. For that we express the covariance matrix of the signal after integration with time and lens (Figure 5.2). In the primal, this is done by integrating the input signal multiplied by the time shutter and lens window:

\[ l(x, y) = \int_{u,v,t} l(x, y, u, v, t) w_s(u, v, t) du dv dt \]  

(5.1)

Where \( w_s \) is the product of the lens window and the camera time shutter.

The equivalent in Fourier is a convolution with the window spectrum evaluated at the DC:

\[ \hat{l}(\Omega_x, \Omega_y) = \hat{\hat{l}} \ast w_s \]  

(0, 0, 0)  

(5.2)
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![Diagram](image)

Figure 5.2 – We want to estimate the spectrum of the signal (here in space-time) along the spatial axis, after integration of the time domain ((a) in blue). We apply the slice theorem and evaluate the covariance matrix based on a Gaussian approximation.

Given the covariance matrix of the 5D input light-field, the covariance matrices of the resulting image on the sensor is estimated by summing the input covariance matrices with the window covariance matrix and slicing them to keep only the spatial components:

\[ i,j = \sum_{0}^{ws} 0,0,0,0,0 \]  

(5.3)

5.1.1.3 Sampling rate and Filter

Once the buffer is populated with 5D and 2D covariance matrices, we estimate the required number of samples and the associated filter. We derive the number of samples of a pixel using the associated 5D covariance matrix and derive the filter using the 2D covariance matrix. Using the 2D covariance matrix to derive sampling rate would require to integrate the time and lens for each image sample. As we want to distribute the integration of those effects, we use the 5D sampling rate.

**Sampling rate:** To express Monte-Carlo integration in the Fourier domain, we reformulate it as a two step process working on continuous functions [46]. Given an integrand \( f \) defined over a domain \( A \) and a distribution of samples \( S_n \), we rewrite the Monte-Carlo integration as:

\[
I_n = \sum_{x_i \in S_n} w_i f(x_i) = \int_{x \in A} S_n(x) f(x) dx
\]  

(5.4)

Where \( w_i \) is the weight associated with the sample \( x_i \), and \( S_n \) is either the set of samples or the associated function defined as:

\[
S_n(x) = \sum_{x_i \in S_n} w_i \delta(x - x_i)
\]

Figure 5.3 presents this concept for the integration of the Lena image. This example assumes however that the sampling function is an infinite dirac comb. To simplify the demonstration, we keep this hypothesis for the rest of the demonstration.
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![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5.3** – Integration can be seen as a two step operation. Given an integrand (a), given a sampling pattern (b), the resulting Monte-Carlo integral is the integral of the product of these two functions. This continuous formulation allows us to express it in the Fourier domain.

The Fourier equivalent of Equation 5.4 is the convolution of the two spectrum evaluated at the central position (also called DC term):

$$I_n = \left[ \hat{f} \otimes \hat{S}_n \right](0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

We can interpret aliasing as pollution of the DC term by replicas of the spectrum. This will happen if the samples are not close enough to capture the frequency of the integrand (Figure 5.4).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5.4** – In the Fourier space, the integration becomes the convolution between the spectrum of the integrand and the spectrum of the sampling function evaluated at the DC.

We follow the idea of Egan et al. [49]: We assume that the sampling distribution is a dirac comb with a given distance $a$ between the samples. We need to find the optimal $a$ such that the number of samples is minimal and we avoid aliasing (Figure 5.5).

For a given dimension, we need to have our dirac comb (in Fourier) to be separated by the frequency width of the integrand. The covariance gives us this information as it provides the variance of the spectrum along any axis. We use this property to define a sampling rate per unit hypercube from the covariance matrix. The total density of samples in a pixel times the shutter speed times the lens size is given by the square root of the determinant of the covariance matrix multiplied by a constant which converts the standard deviation into spread:

$$N = k \sqrt{||}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.6)
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Figure 5.5 – From the analysis of Monte-Carlo integration in the Fourier domain, we can see two constraints. Assuming we are sampling a dirac comb, the distance between the samples affects the integration. Is the distance is below the frequency extent of the integrand, we create aliasing. But we need to keep the distance between samples as large as possible to reduce costs.

Since we separate our samples by the spread of the spectrum, the resulting packed spectra overlap each other. But replicas do not overlap the DC term. That is the necessary condition to avoid aliasing.

**Filters**: Using the 2D covariance matrices, we develop filters that smooth the samples based on the estimated local frequency of the final image. We use Gaussian kernels to smooth our samples because they allow simple derivation of the filters. We derive a filter with the same spread and orientation as the signal. The intuition behind this is that we filter the samples in regions where the signal does not vary much. For high variation regions, we rely on adaptive sampling to reduce aliasing.

We use filters with the same covariance matrix as the signal. Gaussians can be formulated from the Mahalanobis distance with respect to the covariance matrix. Furthermore the Fourier transform of a Gaussian is a Gaussian which covariance matrix is inversed:

\[
g(\mathbf{p}) = e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{p}^{-1} \mathbf{p}
\]

\[
\hat{g}(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{\left| C \right|} e^{-\pi \lambda(\mathbf{f})}
\]

\(d(\mathbf{p})\) is called the squared Mahalanobis distance of vector \(\mathbf{p}\), with zero mean, to the matrix \(C\). We assume that the covariance matrix \(C\) is invertible. It is not always the case, but we have found in practice that adding small quantities to the diagonal almost always leads to an invertible matrix.

The resulting filter for pixel with covariance matrix is then:

\[
h(\mathbf{p}) = Ae^{-\pi \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{p}}
\]

We used a weighted average of the samples using the filter. It allows to avoid the computation of constant \(A\) (present in the numerator and denominator):

\[
I = \frac{1}{\sum_i h(\mathbf{p}_i)} \sum_i h(\mathbf{p}_i) l(\mathbf{p})
\]

As for gathering methods, reconstructing the signal from a sparse set of samples using filters produces a biased image. But it is to notice that since
the kernels are adapted to the local spectrum of the resulting image, we have a control over the bias. We will gather samples from regions where the signal is not varying. This means that the overall bias is small.

5.1.2 Test Cases

We first validate this method using a set of sample cases emphasizing specific behaviours such as change of curvature, shininess, etc.

In a first set of examples (Figure 5.6 and Figure 5.7), we present how the filtering process behave in the presence of motion-blur and depth-of-field. The filters adapt to the local smoothness of the image thanks to the frequency estimation. Because of the combination of correlation and slicing, the resulting 2D filters from the 5D covariance matrix adapt to the effect. This could not be presented without an anisotropic analysis.

![Figure 5.6](image)

*Figure 5.6 – We compare the resulting image space filters for the same scene of diffuse checkerboard with different lens size. A large lens creates a bigger blurring of the out-of-focus region. We modify our code to enforce the texture to have the maximum image space frequency in order to emphasize on the lens effect.*

Contrary to other image space techniques [146, 130], we do not need to compare the samples between each other. Since the number of covariance paths is smaller than the number of radiance samples (by an order of magnitude), we avoid computational expenses during the reconstruction step. But at the expense of a prior-analysis on light-paths.

5.1.3 Results

We compared our algorithm to a standard path-tracer. The snooker scene (Figure 5.9) presents a traditional snooker table under a sky environment map.
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Figure 5.7 – We compare the resulting image space filters for a scene composed of diffuse checkerboard with motion along the y axis of the image. Large motion will produce more stretched filters.

![Figure 5.7](image)

(a) Scene  (b) Filters

Figure 5.8 – We compare the effect of shininess on filters spread on spheres with material being the combination of a diffuse term and of a glossy lobe. For a nearly specular lobe (b), the filters are small and do not overblur the image. In contrast, for more smooth BRDFs, the filters spread more and diffuse samples according to the light, curvature and BRDF (a).

![Figure 5.8](image)

(a) Scene  (b) Filters

The scene exhibits both low and high frequency materials (diffuse, glossy and specular). Frequency information is computed using 15 covariance samples per pixel. We limited the maximum number of primary rays per pixel to 100 for our algorithm. We used a 200 voxels wide voxel grid for the occlusion detection. We performed all computations on a Xeon W3520 at 2.66 GHz with 8GB of RAM. Our algorithm takes advantage of parallel computing, with OpenMP, for sampling both covariance rays and radiance rays.

We further compare the snooker scene in Figure 5.10 using insets of the image. While the traditional ray-tracer still exhibits noise, our insets look converged (But we are incorporating some bias in our solution due to the filtering approach). The green inset shows that for complicated cumulated effects such as combination of depth-of-field and highly varying shininess, our algorithm was not able to filter out the noise completely. This is because we use a threshold on the number of samples per pixel. The analysis tells us that more samples would be needed here. This is extensible with a progressive algorithm that would resample high frequency regions on demand.

The helicopter scene (Figure 5.11) shows a toy lit by a square light source. The rotor of the helicopter is rotating around its axis creating motion-blur, while the textured background of the scene exhibits depth-of-field effect. We used 10 light paths per pixel to estimate the covariance and a maximum of
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(a) Equal time reference using 512 samples per pixel (25 minutes)

(b) Our algorithm (25 minutes)

(c) Reference with same maximum number of samples per pixel (3000 samples per pixel, 2 hours 25 minutes)

**Figure 5.9** – The snooker scene rendered using our algorithm at the center, with a path tracer using the maximum number of samples in a pixel used in our algorithm at the right (same quality), and using the same amounts of time as our algorithm at the left.

**Figure 5.10** – We compare insets of the rendering of the snooker scene from our method and from a path tracer using the same amount of time. We show here that using filters allow to get a noise-less image.

200 samples for the reconstruction. Again, we compare our results with a path traced image computed in the same amount of time.

These scenes demonstrate that our method saves computation time for the low frequency parts of the scene. We report timings in Table 5.1.

### 5.1.4 Limitations

Using non locally defined covariances of BRDF’s spectrum over-estimates the materials frequency. This is visible in Figure 5.8 where only the reflection lobe should be at the maximum frequency. With more complex materials’ covariances, we could correctly estimate the low frequency part of the snooker ball’s BRDFs.

We are limited in this application by the use of a covariance grid. The resolution of the space compared to features size can lead to poor estimator of the
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Figure 5.11 – The helicopter scene rendered using our algorithm (a) and compared with an equal time path traced rendering (b). We used 10 light-paths per pixel to estimate the covariance and used a maximum of 200 converged samples for the reconstruction.

![Helicopter renderings](image)

Table 5.1 – Timing comparison between our algorithm and our reference tracer for the snooker (Figure 5.9) and for the helicopter scene (Figure 5.11). The first column shows the time needed by our algorithm. Inside the brackets we show the covariance acquisition and the reconstruction timings. For the helicopter scene, we don’t report the path tracer timing since we are doing equal time comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scene</th>
<th>Our (covariance tracing / reconstruction)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snooker</td>
<td>25m (2m36 / 16s)</td>
<td>2h25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter</td>
<td>29m (2m / 16s)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

visibility. In such a case, our algorithm will over-estimate the occlusion effect. This will influence the sampling rate and the reconstruction (Figure 5.12).

![Voxel grid resolutions](image)

Figure 5.12 – We compare different resolutions of the voxel grid for a complex occluder. Note how the coarse resolution grid leads to small reconstruction filters in most of the image.

Furthermore, the voxel grid adds cost to our covariance tracing step since we have to perform ray marching into this structure. We developed this structure to prove that it is possible to add the covariance analysis into a standard path tracer without altering the inner code.
5.2 Object Space Application

In this section, we use covariance information to estimate kernels in photon mapping (and progressive photon mapping) applications. We aim to reduce noise in low variation regions. Using larger kernels in such regions improves the quality of the estimate as more photons are gathered by the kernel while preserving a low bias.

5.2.1 Estimation of the Kernel size for Photon Mapping

Photon mapping [92] and progressive photon mapping [65, 99] (PPM) are applications of kernel based density estimation [164]. Our idea is to take advantage of information brought by the covariance matrix to define the kernel size. Kernels should not be smaller than the frequency content of the density they are reconstructing. We detail a method to estimate kernel radii based on covariance matrices.

Other methods have looked at giving better estimates of high frequency part of the photon map [152, 166, 170]. Those methods are complementary to our work, we filter the photon map according to its local frequency, as the low frequency parts of the scene will be the first to benefit from our analysis. Hierarchical methods [167] are close to our work, but rely on the eye-ray footprint. Our method can estimate kernel larger than the eye footprint. Diffusion methods [151] perform photon diffusion respecting edges. They rely on photon statistics to define the diffusion gradients whereas our frequency estimate is a feature of photons.

\[ \epsilon(x) \approx \frac{1}{2} r^2 \alpha \nabla^2 (f)(x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

We propose to look at an implementation of the Progressive Photon Mapping algorithm [65] (Figure 5.13 presents our pipeline). The derived radius can be used in a classical Photon Mapping algorithm.

5.2.2 Error based kernel size estimation

In this section we propose to use the approximation of kernel based density estimation’s error described in Silverman’s monograph [164, Chapter 4.3.1]:

\[ \epsilon(x) \approx \frac{1}{2} r^2 \alpha \nabla^2 (f)(x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.9)
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Here $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is the Laplacian of the radiance $r$ is the size (radius) of the kernel and $\alpha$ is a factor depending on the kernel used for the density estimation.

We use the Property 3 of the covariance matrix to estimate the Laplacian of a density function. We want an error below a given threshold error $\epsilon_0$. Given the knowledge of the local Laplacian, we can infer an approximate optimal radius of collection $r_0$:

$$r_0 \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_0}{\alpha \nabla^2 f(x)}} \quad (5.10)$$

Given the equation of the radius (Equation 5.11), and the fact that we can estimate the Laplacian of $f$ at $p$ from its covariance matrix (Property 3), we derive the following equation, giving the radius based on the covariance matrix and an error coefficient $\epsilon_0$ allowing for user control over the bias of the reconstruction.

$$r_0 \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_0}{\alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x) \right)}} \quad (5.11)$$

For this application, we used 2D covariance matrices assuming that the signal is isotropic in space and isotropic in angle, with no motion. This permits to keep covariance computation times short enough to compare the algorithms at equivalent passes.

![Figure 5.14](image.png)

(a) Covariance accumulated in the hit points  
(b) Estimated filters using the heuristic

**Figure 5.14** – Covariance can be visualised as hit points map to pixels. We show here the accumulated covariance at the surface of objects (a). The scene is composed of a curved mirror on a diffuse surface. The light creates a caustic and a soft shadow. We display estimated filters drawn on top of the final image (b). The filters are small in the high frequency regions (caustic and shadow).

5.2.3 Results

As shown in Figure 5.14, our algorithm converges faster for low frequency parts such as diffuse non-occluded regions or indirectly lit diffuse regions.
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Figure 5.15 – We compare against progressive photon mapping with our algorithm for the convergence of an indirectly lit part of the scene. In the closeup, we show that our algorithm produces a lower varying estimate at an earlier stage of its execution. The images were produced using 100,000 photons per pass and 25% of frequency photons to make timing comparable.

The frequency analysis permits to detect specific lighting situations, such as a caustic focus point, which corresponds to a frequency spectrum with no energy along the angular axis. As expected, the high frequency content in the spatial domain at the focus point causes smaller reconstruction kernels (Figure 5.16). In the caustic scene, the lower part of the caustic has high frequency due to the faceting of the model.

Figure 5.16 – We compare the convergence of two regions of the caustic scene. Those regions contain both high frequency and low frequency content. The low frequency regions exhibit less noise than the high frequency ones.

For the equal amount of time, our algorithm exhibits less noise in diffuse low frequency regions (Figure 5.17).
5.3 Participating media

In this section, we present results for the application of our covariance analysis for the integration of volumetric effects in the case of participating media (e.g., fog, clouds). First, we will present a data structure that reduces the cost of covariance tracing by reusing covariance information from previous traced light-paths: the covariance grid (Section 5.3.1). Then, we present three use of the covariance grid: adaptive sampling and filtering in image space (Section 5.3.2), adaptive sampling along a ray for one scatter illumination (Section 5.3.3), and an improvement of the progressive photon beams algorithm [90] (Section 5.3.4).

5.3.1 Covariance grid

The covariance grid is a voxel grid that stores covariance information of the fluence (energy per unit volume) inside a participating medium. We do not store covariance information of radiance since this latter quantity is directional and would require a quantization of directions. Such quantization would dramatically increase the memory footprint of the covariance grid, make it less usable. Instead, we propose to store 3D covariance of fluence.

5.3.1.1 Definition

In each voxel $p$ of the grid, we store a $3 \times 3$ covariance matrix $p$, where entry $(i,j)$ is the $ij$-covariance of the Fourier transform of the local fluence in the neighborhood of $p$:

$$
(p)_{ij} = \int_{\omega} \omega_i \omega_j \mathcal{F}[I](\omega) d\omega
$$

(5.12)

The fluence $I$ is defined as:

$$
I(p) = \int_{\vec{d} \in S^2} l(x, \vec{d}) d\vec{d}
$$
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5.3.1.2 From Covariance of Radiance to Covariance of Irradiance

For a light-path and a position on this light-path, we can compute the covariance of the local radiance. We show here how from a covariance matrix of radiance we can obtain the spatial matrix of fluence.

Let be the covariance matrix at position \( p \) of a light-path \( l \). We can compute the 2D covariance of fluence on the orthogonal plane to the central ray by looking at the integration over angles of the radiance, which is in the Fourier domain a slice of the spectrum.

\[
\vec{x}_{loc}, \vec{y}_{loc} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

We assume the local fluence to be constant along \( \vec{z}_{loc} \), as infinitesimal analysis allows to do (the shear due to travel will be second order in this case). The final 3D local covariance matrix of the fluence’s spectrum is then:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ \vec{0}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

We note \( \vec{0} \) and \( \vec{0}^T \) the null column and row vectors used to complete the 2x2 covariance matrix.

Note that this covariance matrix is defined in a local frame \((\vec{x}_{loc}, \vec{y}_{loc}, \vec{z}_{loc})\). We rotate it to express the local covariance in the global frame \((\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z})\).

5.3.1.3 Accumulating Local Irradiance’s Covariance Matrices

The last step is to accumulate different covariance matrices of the local fluence to get an estimate of the global covariance matrix of fluence. This is possible as the covariance is a property of an incoming light-path and thus can be integrated over the light-path space.

\[
p = \sum_{\vec{d} \in S^2} w_{\vec{d}p}(\vec{d})
\]

Where \( w_{\vec{d}} \) is the light intensity weight.

5.3.1.4 An example: A caustic from a glass sphere

The covariance grid stores the spatial covariance of fluence. As such, directional high frequency regions are not represented in the orthogonal plane, but in the global plane. As an example, Figure 5.18 shows the equivalent Gaussian of the spatial covariance for a selection of point in a caustic created by a glass sphere lit by a spot light.

5.3.2 Image Space Adaptive Sampling and Reconstruction using the Covariance Grid

In this application, we accumulate covariance matrices on the image plane by ray marching the covariance grid. The 3D covariance matrices are sliced to extract a 2D covariance matrix in the local frame of the image. We add the eye path attenuation and occlusion spatial covariance matrix before accumulating on the screen.
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**Figure 5.18** – A caustic is created using a sphere and a spot light. We analyze three positions in the covariance grid and show the equivalent Gaussians on the right. The upper figure is a diffuse part of the scene, it displays the minimum covariance due to the grid analysis. The middle inset shows the spatial covariance of a shadow. The elongated peak is in the direction of the visibility. Last is a point inside the caustic. The caustic is a high frequency region where different directions will accumulate high frequency. As such, the equivalent Gaussian expands in all three directions.

**Figure 5.19** – We accumulate spatial covariance matrices from the covariance grid by ray marching. In each voxel, we slice the covariance of the fluence’s spectrum and add the eye path attenuation and occlusion to it. The resulting 2D matrices are averaged using intensity weights.

We illustrate the accumulation using Figure 5.19. Given a camera shooting eye rays with starting position \( p \) and direction \( d \), we construct the accumulated covariance matrix \( \Sigma_{i,j} \) with a weighted average of slices of spatial covariance matrices along the ray \( e + td \), \( t \in [0, d_{hit}] \) to which we add the covariance of the attenuation to the eye \( A(t) \).

The Figure 5.20 shows how the effect of a shaft is handled by our adaptive method. Our method adapts the samples to favor crepuscular regions (such as the shaft of the sphere). The border of the spot light creates a high frequency region.

### 5.3.3 Adaptive sampling along a ray

To integrate the effect of the participating media into a ray tracer, we need to add another integral dimension per ray bounce (counting eye rays as a ray "bounce"). This integral accounts for the scattering of light from the
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Figure 5.20 – A shaft created by a sphere lit by a spot light in an inhomogeneous volume with an exponentially varying density along the height. The covariance grid’s size is $32^3$.

scene sources along the ray. It is usually done by ray marching along the ray, connecting the sampled position on the ray to light sources and adding this contribution to the integral with correct attenuation factors.

We use frequency information to drive the sampling of positions along the ray. We illustrate our method by the Figure 5.22. This method is similar to the method proposed by Engelhardt and Dachsbacher [52] where god rays are adaptively sampled with respect to the integrand discontinuity. Instead, we look at the variance along the ray. This way we capture the discontinuity due to occlusion (as it generates high variance spectra), we capture the variation due to changing density and other effects such as convergence of photons in the case of a caustic.

Figure 5.21 – We perform adaptive sampling along the eye-ray by resampling regions of high variance. In a first pass, we estimate the covariance matrix and scattered radiance for a sparse set of samples. Then, from the covariance matrix, we estimate the variance of the incoming radiance along the eye-ray to resample regions with high variation.

Since our algorithm takes advantage of adaptive sampling on the shadows
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boundaries, we are able to reduce the aliasing of light shafts caused by under-sampling the high frequency regions that would occur if we were not adapting the samples along the eye ray. Figure 5.22 shows our results on the Sibenik cathedral model where the rose windows are used to cast highly varying shafts due to the fine geometry of the rose.

![Adaptive sampling along eye path](image)

![Total number of samples per pixel](image)

**Figure 5.22** – We present the result of integrating the shaft casted by the rose windows in the Sibenik cathedral (modeled by Marko Dabrovic). We also show the total number of samples used per pixel for our algorithm.

Our adaptive sampling strategy is based on the variance of the illumination. Traditional algorithms [190] are based on the maximum variance of the density in the medium along a light path. Therefore we avoid oversampling regions with too-low energy.

5.3.4 Frequency Progressive Photon Beams

We build upon the existing work of Jarosz et al.’s *progressive photon beams* [90] (referred as PPB) to illustrate the benefits of the frequency analysis. In the progressive photon beam algorithm, photons are traced in the scene containing a participating medium and the paths of propagation (called beams) are stored. Then, rays are shot from the camera for each pixel, and the density of beams along the ray is estimated using a 1D kernel (Figure 5.23). This is repeated while decreasing kernel size until convergence is satisfactory.

5.3.4.1 Gathering photon beams

During the gathering pass, for each eye ray, we test its distance \(d\) to the beams stored (See Figure 5.24). At the closest point to each beam along the ray, we look into the covariance matrix, and estimate the ideal gathering radius \(r_\sigma\) using the error formula (Equation (5.11)) but in a 1D setting. We gather that beam only if:

\[
d < \max(r_i, r_\sigma)
\]
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Figure 5.23 – Given a camera ray (in green), and a beam, we use the radius, \( r_\sigma \), estimated by the covariance analysis, instead of the radius, \( r_i \) of the progressive photon mapping when \( r_i \) is smaller. The effect is to gather more beams in low frequency regions to decrease the variance of the estimate in those regions.

Where, \( r_i \) is the radius given by the photon beam method for pass \( \neq i \). In other words, we replace the gathering radius of progressive photon mapping by a specific radius for each pair (eye-ray, photon beam) adapted to the local variations of the signal. This adaptive radius computation prevents us from decreasing the radius in regions of low bandwidth, and therefore reduces variance, while controlling the bias. We implemented this process in CUDA, which allows us to compare our results to the implementation of PPB by Jarosz et al. [90].

We validate our covariance computation step using classical test scenes such as a caustic produced by a glass sphere (Figure 5.24) and the soccer boy (Figure 5.24) to illustrate particular high frequency setups such as occlusion or light concentration. In both cases, our covariance framework correctly estimates the high frequency regions. Note that we do not follow specular eye path to gather beam, this explains the inner look of the soccer boy.

At equal computation time, we achieve a much better convergence in smoother regions of the image, while we keep the equal convergence in high frequency regions such as the caustic.

5.3.4.2 Discussion

We do not need to precompute the covariance grid. As for the frequency progressive photon mapping algorithm, we update it while tracing photon beams. For each photon tracing pass, we allocate a fixed proportion of photons to carry a covariance matrix (For our scenes, we chose 10% percent as the proportion). The matrix is updated as the photon is reflected, refracted, and scattered and the grid is updated using ray marching.

The main strength of the covariance estimation of kernel radii is to stop the radius reduction when it passes below a frequency criterion. This allows the estimate to converge faster in low-frequency regions. For high frequency region, our estimation do not blur the beams resulting in the same convergence than the classical progressive photon mapping algorithm.
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Figure 5.24 – Complicated caustics are produced by a glass soccer boy figurine (From Sun et al. [169]). Progressive photon beam is very effective to produce converged volumetric caustics but is rather slow at generating smooth diffusion. Our covariance grid algorithm is able to diffuse more beams in low frequency parts of the screen, allowing to meet the stop criterion sooner there.

Figure 5.25 – A glass sphere is lit by a diffuse point light. This setup creates a caustic in the axis of the light and sphere centers.

Keeping a large radius slows down the selection process, when an acceleration structure such as a KD-tree [169] used. As the areas are bigger, it increases the branching factor of the KD-tree search. In our CUDA implementation which follows the method described by Jarosz et al., there is no penalty as there is no acceleration structure.
6 Conclusion

This dissertation explored a way to optimize the generation of synthetic photo-realistic images. From a set of various light phenomenons (e.g., depth-of-field, motion blur, scattering in fog), we derived the Fourier transform of the mathematical formulation using the framework proposed by Durand et al. [47]. We showed how to depict blurry regions and adapt the calculation for various light transport algorithms in order to obtain faster convergences. In this chapter, we review the presented contributions and propose future directions of research.

6.1 Summary of Contributions

We presented the most complete, to date, analysis of light transport from the perspective of local light-fields spectrum. This analysis expresses the changes in intensity of local neighboring rays with respect to a given light-path. We expressed this analysis in a united form, using a composition formulation that chains the operators to define the final operator on a light-path. We generalized previously defined operators:

- **Occlusion** for non planar objects. We showed that the wedge spectrum [115] estimated from multiples slices of the occluder can be explained by a conservative non planar occluder.

- **Reflection**, more precisely the integration with the BRDF. It is expressed as a convolution in a six dimensional space followed by a reduction of the number of dimensions. We showed that for one dimensional BRDFs the resulting operator is a multiplication in a four dimensional space as previously stated [116, 117].

- **Lens** for thin lenses. We presented an operator that allows to express a chain of lenses. This operator defines the transport of light through a small lens using shears. This is simpler than the previous method which used a Bessel multiplication [165].

- **Motion**. We provided a generalization of the motion operator (previously defined for special cases [111]). We modelized motion as a change of referential before local operations (i.e., reflection, refraction, . . . ).

We enriched the analysis with two new operators to handle the case of non-thick participating media (e.g., smoke, air, water, . . . ) and rough refractive surfaces:
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- **Attenuation.** It models the absorption and out-scattering of light when photons travel inside a non-empty medium. We showed that this operator is related to the occlusion operator. Furthermore, the non opaque visibility can be approximated using the density gradient.

- **Scattering.** It models the in-scattering of light from a known direction. We showed that, for the case of a 1D phase function, the operator is a convolution in angle. If the main scattering angle is not close to zero, the spatial frequency along the $X$ axis vanishes.

- **Rough refraction.** It models the transmission of light inside a constant index medium. We showed how to define Walter’s refraction model in a local light-field setting and proposed to approximate the BTDF’s spectrum amplitude with Gaussian lobes.

We provided a new versatile tool to express the spectrum and estimate the anisotropic variance of the spectrum in a ray-tracing application: the covariance matrix. We build a Monte-Carlo estimate of this tool for complex lighting effects. We proposed to use voxel grids to estimate the partial occlusion of the local light-field. Those structures enable to perform frequency analysis for global illumination effects.

We introduced the covariance grid, a tool to distribute a prior covariance analysis for participating media. The covariance grid stores the covariance matrix of the fluence’s spectrum. We showed the benefits of using it in various application ranging from image space adaptive sampling and reconstruction to filtering photon beam kernels.

We provided examples of use of the covariance analysis. Several improvements on classical algorithm where demonstrated:

- **Adaptive sampling and reconstruction.** Covariance information permits to do sparse adaptive sampling in the image space and to filter distributed effects such as soft shadows, motion blur and depth-of-field.

- **Kernel filtering.** Photon mapping and its derivatives can benefit from the covariance analysis as an optimal radius of gather can be derived.

- **Adaptive sampling in light-path space.** Non uniform sampling along eye paths to integrate shafts can be done using the covariance grid. This can be extended to other highly varying light effects in volume such as caustics.

6.2 Perspectives

**Towards completeness** We did not completely succeed in our goal to provide a complete frequency analysis of light transport. Some lighting effects present in current ray-tracers are missing:

- **Phase function spectrums.** We describe the spectrum of two phase functions: the Phong BRDF and the Heungy-Greenstein phase function.
A large body of phase functions exits. To be complete, the frequency analysis has to provide a spectrum for each one of them.

- **BSSRDFs.** Diffusion of light on surfaces is not part of the operators. Yet, BSSRDFs are well studied in computer graphics. It is known that scattering in such thick media produces a spatial and angular blur of the signal [94, 41].

- **Wave optics effects** such as diffraction are not embodied inside our analysis. They are not part of the geometrical optics model. Yet, recent works inside the graphics community approximate wave optics phenomenons [149, 35].

Our representation of the spectrum misses phase information. Phase of the Fourier transform is rich in terms of information (Figure 3.4, and Figure 3.27). While the lack of phase in our covariance analysis leads to an over estimate of the spectrum, our experiments showed that we can provide a gain.

**Applications of Covariance** We did not cover all the possible applications of the covariance matrix. Its genericity makes it a versatile tool to work with light-paths. Other possible applications are:

- **Irradiance caching** is a promising area. The covariance matrix can bring an estimate of the Hessian matrix. The second derivatives are used to predict the density of radiance (or irradiance) caches [91].

- **Information theory** has application in computer graphics [160, 150]. The covariance matrix give a local bound to the entropy of the signal which has to be inferred from the samples in previous works.

- **Driving light-paths generation** for photon mapping to draw photons based on the frequency content of the integrand. In combination to our reconstruction kernels, this would result in a better estimate of the high frequency regions while preserving a good convergence of the low frequency regions.

A limitation of covariance is its uni-modal form. A spectrum consisting of different separated modes (such as a diffuse term and a separated specular term) will be treated as a whole. Multi-modality analysis can be beneficial but remain a hard task. It requires to keep track of a matrix per mode. This can become intractable as the number of bounces increases. Further research in this direction would require to analyze the different type of multi-modal signals present in rendering and to build a tool that account for the different modes.
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A | Detailed Proofs for Operators

A.1 Non-Planar Visibility Spectrum

We start from the definition of the partial visibility functions (Section 3.3.2):

\[ r_{t,d}(\delta x, \delta \theta) = 1 \text{ if } \delta x + \delta y > -d \]

0 else

The Fourier transform of a partial visibility function is (In this case \( r_{t_{\min},d} \)):

\[ \hat{r}_{t_{\min},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) = \int_{\delta \theta} \int_{\delta x} r_{t,d}(\delta x, \delta \theta) e^{-2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta} e^{-2i\pi \delta x \Omega_x} d\delta x d\delta \theta = \int_{\delta \theta} e^{-2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta} \left[ e^{-2i\pi \delta x \Omega_x} \right]^{+\infty}_{-2i\pi \Omega_x} d\delta \theta = \frac{e^{2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta}}{2i\pi \Omega_x} \int_{\delta \theta} e^{-2i\pi (t_{\min} \delta \theta \Omega_x + \delta \theta \delta_\theta)} d\delta \theta \]

It gives us the following formula for the spectrum of the partial visibility functions:

\[ \hat{r}_{t_{\min},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) = \frac{e^{2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta}}{2i\pi \Omega_x} \delta(t_{\min} \Omega_x + \Omega_\theta) \quad (A.1) \]

\[ \hat{r}_{t_{\max},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) = \frac{e^{2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta}}{2i\pi \Omega_x} \delta(t_{\max} \Omega_x + \Omega_\theta) \quad (A.2) \]

We calculate then the convolution of those two spectrum to obtain the Fourier spectrum of the visibility function:

\[ \hat{r}_{t_{\max},d} \otimes \hat{r}_{t_{\min},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_\theta) = \int_{\Omega_x} \int_{\Omega_x} \hat{r}_{t_{\min},d}(\Omega_x', \Omega_\theta') \hat{r}_{t_{\max},d}(\Omega_x - \Omega_x', \Omega_\theta - \Omega_\theta') d\Omega_x' d\Omega_\theta' = \int_{\Omega_x} \int_{\Omega_x} \left[ \frac{e^{2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta}}{2i\pi \Omega_x} \delta(t_{\min} \Omega_x + \Omega_\theta) \right] \left[ \frac{e^{2i\pi \delta \theta \Omega_\theta}}{2i\pi \Omega_x} \delta(t_{\max} \Omega_x - \Omega_x') + (\Omega_\theta - \Omega_\theta') \right] d\Omega_x' d\Omega_\theta' \]

To evaluate the nested integrals, we have to solve the following equation system:

\[ \begin{cases} t_{\min} \Omega_x' + \Omega_\theta' = 0 \\ t_{\max} (\Omega_x - \Omega_x') + (\Omega_\theta - \Omega_\theta') = 0 \end{cases} \quad (A.3) \]
A.2. Reparametrization onto Another Plane

It leads to the following equalities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega'_x & = -t_{\min}\Omega_x + \Omega_g \\
\Omega'_x & = t_{\max}\Omega_x + \Omega_g \\
t_{\max} - t_{\min}
\end{align*}
\] (A.4)

By evaluating the integrand at the position obtained from the system, we obtain the formula of the convolution:

\[
\hat{r}_{t_{\max},d} \otimes \hat{r}_{t_{\min},d}(\Omega_x, \Omega_g) = \frac{e^{2i\pi d\Omega_x}}{4\pi^2(t_{\max}\Omega_x + \Omega_g)(t_{\min}\Omega_x + \Omega_g)}
\] (A.5)

A.2 Reparametrization onto Another Plane

We assume that the incoming local light-field and the outcoming local light-field are aligned. The problem of projection boils down to a flat-land problem (Figure A.1).

![Figure A.1](image)

**Figure A.1** – The projection problem can be express in flat-land. Given an incoming light-field \(\delta y, \delta \phi\), the formulation of the new position \(\delta y'\) on the projection plane is approximated by a scale.

To compute the value \(\delta y'\) based on the inputs \((\delta y, \delta \phi, \alpha)\), we add intermediate steps: \(d, q\) and \(t\) (Figure A.2). First, we calculate length \(d\):

\[
d = \frac{\delta y \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\alpha - \delta \phi)}
\]

We chain the calculation to find \(q\) and \(t\), based on intermediate variables expansion:

\[
q = \sin(\delta \phi)d = \frac{\delta y \sin(\delta \phi) \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\alpha - \delta \phi)}
\]

\[
t = \frac{q}{\cos(\alpha)} = \delta y \sin(\delta \phi) \frac{\tan(\alpha)}{\cos(\alpha - \delta \phi)}
\]
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Figure A.2 – We add intermediate steps to evaluate the length $\delta y'$. We will use a chain of right triangles to calculate $d$, $q$ and then $t$ based on the input of our problem.

It gives us:

$$\delta y' = \delta y \left( \frac{1}{\cos(\alpha)} - \sin(\phi) \tan(\alpha) \cos(\alpha - \phi) \right)$$

Which can be linearized:

$$\delta y' = \frac{\delta y}{\cos(\alpha)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.6)

Equation A.6 is only valid for $\alpha$ not close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$. In such a context, the linearization is not possible. But we can keep the division by a cosine approach as the closer we get to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, the more the signal will be stretched.
B Covariances of Scattering

In this appendix, we estimate the covariance of scattering functions. We neglect here the windowing term of phase functions. This results in an overestimate of the local frequency content.

B.1 Phong BRDF

The Phong BRDF is defined with respect to the reflected direction. As defined in 3.3.11, we can use the Fourier transform operator as a multiplication. The Phong BRDF has the following formulation:

$$\rho_s(\theta) = \frac{s + 1}{2\pi} \cos(\theta)^s$$  \hfill (B.1)

Where $s$ is the shininess of the material.

This function is one dimensional, we will only provide the $\sigma^2$ of its Fourier transform. Note that since the covariance is define over pdf’s, we have to normalize the Fourier transform. We define here $F'[\rho_s]$ as the normalized Fourier transform. Assuming that $s \geq 2$, we can write:

$$\sigma^2 = \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \mu^2 F'[\rho_s](\mu) d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F[\rho_s]|} \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \mu^2 \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \rho_s(\theta) e^{-2i\mu \theta} d\theta d\mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F[\rho_s]|} \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \rho_s(\theta) \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \mu^2 e^{-2i\mu \theta} d\mu d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F[\rho_s]|} \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \rho_s(\theta) \delta(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F[\rho_s]|} \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^2 \rho_s^{(2)}(\theta) \delta(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F[\rho_s]|} \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^{(2)}(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F[\rho_s]|} \frac{s(s+1)}{8\pi^3}$$  \hfill (B.2)

We can obtain the norm of the Fourier transform of $\rho_s$ using again the
Fubini double integral theorem:

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{F}[\rho_s]| &= \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}[\rho_s](\mu) d\mu \\
&= \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \rho_s(\theta) e^{-2i\pi \mu \theta} d\theta d\mu \\
&= \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \rho_s(\theta) \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-2i\pi \mu \theta} d\mu d\theta \\
&= \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \rho_s(\theta) \delta(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{s + 1}{2\pi} \quad (B.3)
\end{align*}
\]

(B.4)

Combining Equation (B.2) and Equation (B.4), we obtain the following covariance for the Phong BRDF:

\[
\text{cov}_{\theta, \phi}(\rho_s) = \frac{s}{4\pi^2} 
\]

(B.5)

B.2 Henyey-Greenstein Phase Function

We are interested in the covariance of the amplitude of the Fourier transform of the HG function \(\rho_s\). This function being a purely real even function, its Fourier transform is purely real:

\[
\text{cov}(\hat{\rho}_g) = \text{cov}(\hat{\rho}_g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^2 \mathcal{F}[\rho_g](\omega) d\omega
\]

We use Fubini theorem on integration order change:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cov}(\hat{\rho}_g) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^2 \mathcal{F}[\rho_g](\omega) d\omega \\
&= \int_{\omega = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\theta = -\infty}^{\infty} \omega^2 \rho_g(\theta) e^{-2i\pi \omega \theta} d\omega d\theta \\
&= \int_{\theta = -\infty}^{\infty} \rho_g(\theta) \int_{\omega = -\infty}^{\infty} \omega^2 e^{-2i\pi \omega \theta} d\omega d\theta
\end{align*}
\]

The Fourier transform of the power function \(x^n\) is the \(n^{th}\) derivative of the delta distribution:

\[
\int_{\omega = -\infty}^{\infty} \omega^n e^{-2i\pi \omega \theta} d\omega = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \delta^{(2)}(\theta)
\]

we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cov}(\hat{\rho}_g) &= \int_{\theta = -\infty}^{\infty} \rho_g(\theta) \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \delta^{(2)}(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{d\rho_g(\theta)}{d\theta^2} (0) \\
&= \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{|g|(1 + |g|)}{(1 - |g|)^4}
\end{align*}
\]
C | Résumés en Français

C.2 Introduction

Le rendu photo-réaliste consiste en la génération d’images digitales à partir d’un ensemble de primitives géométriques, de lumières, de matériaux et de camera virtuels. Ce procédé doit suivre les lois de la physique (Figure C.1). Il est donc nécessaire d’effectuer une simulation physique du transport de la lumière dans ce monde virtuel [53]. Cette simulation nécessite le calcul numérique d’intégrales récursives [56]. De plus, il est nécessaire de simuler un bon nombre d’effets lumineux. Cela requiert d’avoir définir les modèles mathématiques de ces effets et de les avoir intégrés dans les modèles d’interaction entre la lumière la scène et la camera (scattering en anglais).

Figure C.1 – Examples d’images de synthèse photo-réalistes. Bien que la génération des images suive les lois de la physique, cela n’implique pas pour autant que le résultat sera réaliste.

Bien que la théorie du transport de la lumière est bien comprise, une simulation complète peut prendre des jours [53] pour des modèles d’interactions complexes. Nous devons cependant garder à l’esprit que le rendu basé sur la physique n’est qu’un outil parmi d’autres pour les artistes: les durées de simulation ne devraient pas restreindre la créativité. Les artistes travaillent souvent de façon itérative: une ébauche est modifiée de nombreuses fois pour qu’une émotion soit transmise dans un média. Notre but est de proposer aux artistes des outils qui permettent de produire des images efficacement, quelque soit la complexité. Permettre des temps de calculs courts permet un plus grand nombre d’itérations.
C.2. INTRODUCTION

C.2.1 Motivation

Nous commençons notre analyse à partir de photographies artistiques. Nous analysons plus spécifiquement trois types d’effets: le depth-of-field, le motion blur et le scattering dans les milieux participatifs (Figure C.2):

(a) Depth-of-field

(b) Scattering

(c) Motion blur

Figure C.2 – Les phénomènes d’interaction de la lumière à disposition des artistes sont nombreux. Avec une lentille, on peut créer un effet de depth-of-field pour attirer l’attention sur un endroit particulier. L’interaction de la lumière avec des milieux non opaques comme le brouillard augmente le côté dramatique d’une scène. Garder le shutter d’une caméra ouvert pendant une durée suffisamment longue permet de générer du motion blur et renforce l’impression de vitesse.

Le depth-of-field résulte de la convergence de photons (les particules de lumière) de différentes parties de la scène en un point commun sur le capteur photo-sensible d’une caméra. Cette effet est produit par une lentille et floute les éléments qui ne sont pas dans le plan focal. Le plan focal est la région dans laquelle il n’y a pas de convergence et où un point sur le capteur correspond à un unique point dans la scène. Cet effet isole le sujet de la photographie de l’arrière plan (Figure C.2(a)). Bien que cet effet produise des images plus floues, il est plus difficile de générer une image avec du depth-of-field qu’une

1Les termes techniques sont volontairement gardé en anglais
image sans. Cela est due à la nécessité de simuler le transfert de la lumière dans la lentille.

**Le motion blur** est le résultat de l’accumulation de la lumière sur un capteur au cours du temps. Si un objet se déplace pendant l’exposition du capteur à la lumière un même point de l’objet transmet la lumière à différentes positions du capteur, ce qui floutte l’apparence de l’objet le long de son mouvement (Figure C.2(c)). Le motion blur nécessite de simuler l’évolution des objets dans le temps, et d’accumuler la transmission de la lumière des objets en mouvement sur le capteur.

**Le Scattering** dans les milieux participants diffuse la lumière dans le volume, générant des halos autour des sources de lumière (Figure C.2(b)). Bien que ces halos brouillent la forme des lumières, le transport radiatif à l’intérieur d’un volume est plus difficile que le transport entre des surfaces.

Ces trois phénomènes lumineux ont en commun la tendance à flouter le contenu de l’image générée et de compléxifier les calculs. Du point de vue du traitement du signal, les images floues contiennent moins d’information que les images nettes. Le nombre d’éléments d’information nécessaire à la reconstruction du signal sera moindre. **Notre but est d’identifier ces régions floues et de reconstruire une image à partir d’une simulation partielle.** Pour ce faire, nous proposons d’étudier les phénomènes d’interaction lumineuses dans un espace où les variations d’un signal sont naturellement exprimées: la transformée de Fourier.

C.2.2 La Transformée de Fourier

La transformée de Fourier est un outil pour exprimer un signal en terme d’amplitude par fréquence (nombre de variation par cycle) plutôt qu’en terme de d’amplitude par position. Elle définit un espace d’étude alternatif des signaux (Figure C.3). Par exemple, si la transformée de Fourier d’un signal est compact autour de l’origin de l’espace fréquentiel, le signal varie peu (Figure C.3, encadré rouge). Dans le cas contraire d’un signal étendu dans le domaine fréquentiel, le signal varie fortement (Figure C.3, encadré vert). Par conséquent, la transformée de Fourier permet d’évaluer les variation d’un signal.


C.2.3 Buts

Le présent travail est motivé par le besoin d’évaluer le spectre de l’intégrande. La connaissance de l’intégrande ou du spectre de l’image permet de spécifier
C.2. INTRODUCTION

Figure C.3 – La transformée de Fourier d’une signal décrit ses variations. Nous illustrons cette notion à l’aide de l’image de Lena. Nous utilisons une sélection de portions de l’image et affichons les transformées de Fourier locales dans des encadrés. Les régions de faible fréquence sont compactées autour de l’origin de l’espace de Fourier alors que les régions de haute fréquences sont étendues dans cet espace.

les régions où le flou apparait. Cette analyse doit être faite pour un ensemble étendu d’effets lumineux pour donner aux artistes la liberté de créer. Nous séparons nos but en trois catégories:

C.2.3.1 L’Analyse Fréquentielle du Transport de la Lumière

L’Analyse Fréquentielle du Transport de la Lumière est le domaine de l’informatique graphique qui cherche à estimer le spectre du signal à intégrer. Cette thèse est dans la continuité de travaux dans ce domaine [47, 165, 49, 51, 50]. Notre but est d’enrichir l’ensemble des effects étudiées. Cela est nécessaire si nous voulons que notre travail soit utilisé par des artistes dans le future.

C.2.3.2 Applications de Débruitage

Quand le nombre requis de samples ne peut pas être achevé, le bruit restant peut être enlevé grâce à l’utilisation d’algorithmes de débruitage. Ces algorithmes utilisent souvent des estimateurs des variations locales. L’analyse fréquentielle peut apporter un tel savoir. Notre but dans ce contexte est de proposer des algorithmes pour reconstruire les régions peut variantes à partir d’une simulation incomplète et bruité pour permettre la création d’une image en un temps réduit.

C.2.3.3 Compréhension du Transport Radiatif

Un autre but de cette thèse est de proposer un autre point de vue sur le processus de transport de la lumière. L’étude de la transformée de Fourier permet de comprendre comment les variations angulaires de la lumière sont floutées par une réflexion diffuse, comment une lentille affecte la convergence de la lumière sur le capteur, ou comment le brouillard floute les lumières. Tout cela dans une perspective différente.
C.2.4 Contributions

Dans ce manuscrit, nous présentons contributions suivantes:


- Nous présentons la matrice de covariance, un nouvel outil pour évaluer le spectre local de la radiance. Cet outil est compatible avec l’intégration de Monte Carlo et l’analyse fréquentielle (Chapitre 4).

- Nous présentons deux nouvelles structures de données volumiques pour évaluer une approximation de l’occlusion locale (Chapitre 4).

- Nous présentons des applications de la matrice de covariance pour valider notre hypothèse que l’information fréquentielle permet l’optimisation des algorithmes de ray-tracing (Chapitre 5).

Ce manuscrit est organisé comme suit: Dans une première partie, nous présenterons l’état de l’art pour la génération d’images photo-réalistes suivant la physique à partir d’intégrales de light-paths (Chapitre 2). Ensuite, nous présentons nos contributions en trois chapitres distincts. Dans le premier, (Chapitre 3), nous présenterons de façon cohérente l’analyse fréquentielle du transport de la lumière. Cette analyse théorique contient des éléments déjà existants dans la littérature mais aussi des contributions originales. Dans le second chapitre, (Chapitre 4) nous étudierons les outils utilisés dans l’analyse fréquentielle. Nous présenterons la matrice de covariance, un outil développer pour remédier aux limitation des outils précédemment proposés. Le dernier chapitre (Chapitre 5) présentera divers algorithmes pour accélérer le rendu d’images photo-réalistes à partir de la connaissance d’informations fréquentielles.
C.3 Théorie du Transport de la Lumière

La simulation du transport de la lumière nécessite de définir une description de la lumière ainsi que de son interaction avec la matière. Un modèle du transport de la lumière définit ces éléments. Dans ce chapitre, nous décrivons de façon concise différents modèles à notre disposition (Section 2.1) dont celui utilisé majoritairement en rendu basé sur la physique: l’Optique géométrique. Puis, à partir de la définition intégrale du transport de la lumière, nous étudierons les méthodes d’intégration à base de light-paths (Chapter 2.2). Enfin, nous décrirons les différents algorithmes permettant de réduire le bruit pour les différentes méthodes d’intégration présentées Then, from the (Chapter 2.3).
C.4 Analysis Fréquentielle du Transport de la Lumière

Dans les chapitres précédents, nous avons montré le besoin d’une analyse local des informations de variation dans le contexte de l’intégration (Chapitre 1). Nous avons décrit les différentes méthodes d’intégration à base de light-paths et remarqué que comment ces méthodes pourraient bénéficier de l’analyse des variations locales (Chapitre 2). Ce chapitre présente une théorie pour analyser les variations locales de la radiance à partir d’un light-path.

Figure C.4 – Nous voulons exprimer la variation de la fonction de radiance L pour de petites variations de ces paramètres noté l. Pour cela, nous avons besoin de définir la variation locale de l, dl et d’analyser les variations de L dans ce sous-domaine.

Notre but est le suivant: à partir d’un light-path l, nous voulons obtenir les variations locales de la fonction de radiance dL(l + xdl) (Figure C.4). Cette théorie se fonde sur deux éléments:

- L’optique paraxiale qui définit un voisinage local autour d’un rayon. Nous utilisons cette théorie pour définir un voisinage d’un ligh-path (Section 3.1).

- La Transformée de Fourier exprime une fonction à l’aide d’une fonction duale avec des arguments dans un domaine fréquentiel (Section 3.2). Nous l’utilisons pour exprimer les variations de la fonction de radiance dans le domaine paraxial.

Dans les deux premières sections, nous présenterons l’optique paraxiale (Section 3.1) et la transformée de Fourier (Chapter 3.2), les outils nécessaires pour notre analyse. La troisième section (Section 3.3) présentera l’analyse fréquentielle de la radiance locale (introduite par Durand et al. [47]). La transformée de Fourier sera utilisée pour exprimer la fonction de radiance dans le domaine paraxial des rayons d’un light-path. Dans la dernière section (Section 3.4), nous comparerons cette analyse fréquentielle à d’autres méthodes d’analyses locales qui sont basées sur les dérivées.

Dans ce chapitre, nous présentons les contributions suivantes:
Nous présentons l’analyse fréquentielle du transport de la lumière de façon unifiée dans un contexte 3D. Les précédentes publications ont souvent présenté la théorie dans un contexte 2D plus simple. Malheureusement, cela cache certaines parties complexes de l’analyse comme l’analignement du plan équatorial, ou que la convolution angulaire n’est faite que dans une dimension.

Nous redéfinissons certains éléments de la théorie pour la rendre plus pratique et plus générale. Nous redéfinissons l’analyse de la réflexion, de la lentille, de l’occlusion et du mouvement.

Nous ajoutons l’analyse de la réfraction ainsi que celle du scattering et de l’atténuation dans le contexte des milieu participatifs.
C.5. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LOCAL LIGHT-FIELD SPECTRUM

C.5 Representations of the Local Light-field Spectrum

Dans le Chapitre 3 nous avons vu comment exprimer les changements du spectre du light-field local lorsque celui-ci est affecté par un opérateur (tel que le transport, l’occlusion, la réflexion, ...). Dans ce chapitre, nous présentons des outils pour évaluer des informations sur le spectre du light-field local après une chaîne d’opérateurs. L’évaluation du spectre complet n’est pas possible dans notre contexte, c’est pourquoi nous utilisons des descripteurs. L’étendue spatiale et l’orientation du spectre sont des informations d’intérêt car elles permettent de savoir quel point le signal varie et dans quelle direction.

L’évaluation du spectre de l’occlusion est aussi impossible dans notre contexte. Nous avons montré dans le précédent chapitre qu’il était possible d’estimer un spectre approché à partir de la distance à l’objet ainsi qu’à partir de sa profondeur et direction (Section 3.3.2). Nous proposons une méthode basée sur un grille de voxels pour évaluer ces quantités.

Dans ce chapitre, nous présentons les contributions suivantes:

- Une représentation compacte du spectre du signal utilisant la matrice des seconds moments: la matrice de covariance (Section 4.2). Cette représentation est complètement intégrée dans l’analyse fréquentielle. Nous validons l’utilisation de la matrice de covariance en la comparant à des mesures, montrant qu’elle prédit correctement les informations sur le spectre réel.

- Deux structures de données pour évaluer l’occlusion locale utilisant des grilles de voxels (Section 4.3.2). Ces structure sont faciles à intégrer dans un raytracer existant.

Tout d’abord, nous décrirons les représentations proposées dans la littérature (Section 4.1). Ensuite, nous introduirons et validerez la matrice de covariance (Section 4.2). Finalement, nous présenterons et comparerons des structures pour évaluer l’occlusion locale qui permettent l’étude fréquentielle dans un contexte d’illumination globale (Section 4.3).
C.6 Applications de l’Analyse Fréquentielle du Transport de la Lumière

Nous avons montré comment dériver le contenu fréquentiel local aux alentours d’un light-path en utilisant un ensemble d’opérateurs définis sur des fonctions de radiance 5D (Chapter 3). Nous avons adapté cette analyse en un ensemble d’opérations sur une représentation générique, la matrice de covariance (Chapter 4). Dans ce chapitre, nous présentons les applications de la matrice de covariance. Nous montrons son utilité pour les algorithmes de Monte Carlo ou les algorithmes d’estimation de densité et prouvons qu’elle est efficace pour un bon nombre d’effets lumineux comme le depth-of-field, le motion blur ou les milieux participants.

Dans ce chapitre, nous présentons les contributions suivantes:

- Un nouvel algorithme adaptatif de sampling et de filtrage prenant en compte les variations anisotropie de l’intégrand (Section 5.1).
- Des méthodes pour effectuer un filtrage des photons en espace object pour l’estimation de densité de type photon mapping (Section 5.2).
- Des méthodes pour effectuer un sampling adaptatif des rayons crépusculaires et un filtrage adaptatif des photon beams en utilisant un estimateur de la covariance de l’irradiance dans le volume (Section 5.3).
C.7 Conclusion

Dans ce manuscrit nous avons exploré une façon d’optimiser la génération d’images synthétiques suivant des principes physiques. A partir d’un ensemble de phénomènes lumineux (tels que le flou de profondeur, flou de bougé et la diffusion dans les milieux participatifs), nous avons dérivé des opérateurs mathématiques pour étudier les variations de la transformée de Fourier de la radiance locale tel que proposé par Durand et al. [47]. Nous avons montré comment détecter les régions floues et adapter les calculs de différents algorithmes de transport de la lumière pour obtenir des convergences plus rapides. Dans ce chapitre, nous résumons contributions présentées.

Nous avons présenté l’analyse fréquentielle du transport de la lumière la plus complète à ce jour. Cette analyse étudie les variations en intensité du voisinage local d’un light-path. Nous avons exprimé cette analyse dans une forme unifiée, qui permet l’utilisation d’un formule de composition pour définir la transformation d’un light-path. Nous avons généralisé des opérateurs:


- **La réflection**, et plus précisément l’intégration avec la BRDF. Nous l’avons étendu pour les BRDF anisotrope a une convolution dans un espace à six dimensions suivie d’une réduction. Nous avons montré comment retrouver les résultats sur les BRDF isotropes à partir de ces formules [37, 141].

- **Pour des lentilles minces**, nous avons présenté un opérateur qui permet d’exprimer une chaine de lentilles mince. Cet opérateur permet d’exprimer le transport de la lumière dans un objectif en utilisant des opérations linéaires simples tels que les shears.

- **Mouvement.** Nous avons proposé une généralisation des cas d’étude proposé par [49] en un opérateur générique permettant l’étude du mouvement de n’importe quel object.

Nous avons enrichi l’analyse avec trois nouveaux opérateurs pour gérer les cas de réfractions, et les interactions avec un milieu partipatif.

- **Atténuation.** Elle modélise l’absorption et le out-scattering de la lumière par le milieu. Nous avons montré que cet opérateur est similaire à l’opérateur d’occlusion. De plus, la visibilité non-opaque peut être approximée par l’utilisation du gradient de densité.

- **Scattering.** Il modélise le in-scattering de la lumière dans une direction connue. Nous avons montré que dans le cas des fonctions de phase 1D, l’opérateur est une convolution angulaire et une intégration spatiale.

C.7. CONCLUSION


Nous avons proposé la grille de covariance, un outil pour distribuer le coût de l’analyse de covariance dans le cas des milieux participatifs. La grille de covariance contient la matrice de covariance du spectre de l’irradiance. Nous avons montré les bénéfices de son utilisation dans plusieurs applications tels que le sampling adaptatif et le filtrage de photon beams.

Nous avons décrit et validé des exemples d’utilisation de l’analyse de la covariance. Plusieurs algorithmes ont été proposés:

- **Le sampling adaptatif et la reconstruction.** L’information de covariance permet d’effectuer un sampling sparse dans l’espace image et de filtrer les effets de distribution tels que les soft shadows, le motion blur et le depth-of-field.

- **Le filtrage de noyaux.** Le photon mapping et ses dérivés peuvent bénéficier de l’analyse de la covariance car un rayon optimal pour le gathering peut être estimé. Le photon mapping et ses dérivés peuvent bénéficier de l’analyse de la covariance car un rayon optimal pour le gathering peut être estimé.

- **Le sampling adaptatif en espace de light-paths.** Le sampling non uniforme le long des chemin de caméra pour intégrer les shafts peut être effectué en utilisant la grille de covariance. Cela peut de plus être étendu aux effets spéculaires tel que les caustiques.