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**Figure 1:** WordStream visualization for the Huffington Post data, from January 2012 to June 2013. Terms are color-coded by category.
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**Abstract**

This paper introduces WordStream, an interactive visual tool for the demonstration of topic evolution. Our approach utilizes the two popular techniques. Word clouds are designed to give an engaging visualization of text via font sizes and colors, while stacked graphs are a common method for visualizing topic evolution. In particular, WordStream emphasizes essential terms chronologically and spatially. To show the usefulness of WordStream, we demonstrate its applications on various data sets, including the Huffington Post and IEEE VIS publications.

---

1. Introduction

The illustration of topic evolution has a long history. In 1931, *HistoMap* [Spa31] was created by John Spark, showcasing the power of civilizations along four thousand years of world history. In more recent efforts, *ThemeRiver* [HHN00] and, later, *StreamGraph* [BW08] expand the idea of the stacked graph to convey the evolution of topic [DGWC10]. Limited screen display and a large number of layers lead to the small area allocated for each term; therefore, the task of fitting terms into topic streams becomes more challenging. *Word clouds* [VWF09] are designed for optimizing space usage [Fei10]. However, temporal information has not been considered properly in this type of text presentation.

The combination of word cloud and stacked graph models has been recently studied [SWL’14]. However, there is still room for improvement and optimization, especially when the topic streams highly fluctuate. In this paper, we introduce a hybrid visualization to fill this gap. Our contributions in this paper are:

- We propose a synthesized approach to visualize information by means of word cloud within a stream layer.
- We implement an interactive text visualization prototype, named WordStream, to represent the evolution of topics to convey both spatial and temporal information.
- We evaluate the usefulness of the WordStream on various data sets (e.g., political blogs and other application domains).
2. Related Work

2.1. Word cloud models

**Wordle** [VWF09] uses a randomized greedy algorithm to place words. It is greedy since it prioritizes the more frequent words. In addition, Wordle is aesthetically and visually appealing [Fei10]. In the past few years, there many efforts to optimize the Wordle layout. **ManiWordle** [KLKS10] provides more flexible control over how to form the word layout with the interaction from the users. **Rolled-out Wordles** [SSS∗12] makes use of Linear Sorting (RWordle-L) and Concentric Sorting (RWordle-C) to place the words more compactly and preserve the orthogonal ordering and topology. **Wordle-Plus** [JLS15] extends the idea of ManiWordle to provide some further natural interaction supported for pen- and touch-enabled tablets while controlling the overall Wordle layout such as resizing, adding, deleting elements. A recent work, called **EdWordle** [WCB∗18], allows editing and preserving the word cloud layout. These work mostly focus on extending/optimizing the Wordle layout and discard the time element.

There are attempts to integrate temporal constraints into Wordle. **Parallel Tag Clouds** [CVW09] utilize the parallel coordinates to represent time constraint. At each time step terms are placed in alphabetical order or order of importance, based on term frequency. This technique also has a feature that is implemented in WordStream, which is to display a stream when a term is selected. However, Parallel Tag Clouds is not space-efficient and cannot show the topic or overall evolution across time.

2.2. Time Series Visualizations

**Stacked graph** [Har00] has a baseline representing time constraint, and each layer serves as a topic of interest. The layers are stacked on one another starting from the baseline, and the change of the width of each layer represents the evolution over time. **ThemeRiver** [HHN00] is an optimization of the stacked graph, which provides a symmetric layout by setting the baseline at the center of the overall graph which then helps to smooth the transition across time. **StreamGraph** [BW08], an evolution of ThemeRiver, focuses on minimizing the wiggle per layer, which is sum of squares of the slopes at each time point, to avoid legibility issue in the previous stacked graph and ThemeRiver versions, and also improve the aesthetic aspect of the overall graph. All these versions of the stacked graph have a common issue: the limitation in layer width when the number of layers increases [CSYP18]. With limited space for the stream layer, the process of locating terms of the topic within the stream layer [Wat05] may encounter difficulties. Therefore, maximizing the space usage within the stream layer was not the priority of this technique. **TextFlow** [CLT∗11] demonstrates evolution and relationships among topics and their critical events: birth, death, split, merge. A word cloud at a particular timestamp can be only displayed on request. Our **WordStream** embeds terms directly into the stream layer. By using the space sharing technique between consecutive word cloud and color-encoding for terms in the same layer, we present the global evolution of topic streams using their text elements.

3. Design Decisions for **WordStream** Visualization

This section presents the goals for designing **WordStream** and decisions made during the implementation of **WordStream** to meet these goals. The aim of **WordStream** is to communicate the global patterns of the text corpus across time. The design goals are largely drawn from the related work reviewed in Section 2.

- **G1.** Display the evolution of stream topics [CLT∗11].
- **G2.** Emphasize important terms in their corresponding topic layers at their corresponding time steps [PD18].
- **G3.** Maximize the space usage and place as many terms within each topic stream layer as possible [FFB18, WSK∗13].

The following decisions were made during the implementation of **WordStream**:

- **D1.** Apply **StreamGraph** to represent the topic evolution (G1). The time direction is from left to right [DW13, DAW13] while the height of each stream layer at a time step is relative to the total term frequencies [LZT09].
- **D2.** Utilize a word cloud algorithm to display terms from each topic within its corresponding stream layer. This helps to satisfy G2 [VWF09, Fei10].
- **D3.** Place each term into its corresponding horizontal position according to its timestamp [CVW09]. However, this constraint is loosened to as close as possible to its timestamp so some terms may be placed outside of their time boxes to meet G3.
- **D4.** Arrange texts with regard to their stream flows. Each word may have its own orientation (not always horizontal) to form the stream flows (G1).

Figure 2 shows a schematic overview of our approach. Topics are color-coded by using **displaCy** **Named Entity Visualizer** [Mon17].

![Figure 2: A standard word cloud and a stream graph are synthesized into a single snapshot (on the right).](image)
On the control panel, users can customize the WordStream layout by adjusting the visual settings such as the font scale, the maximum number of words in each box, and the dimensions of the overall layout. Regarding term orientation, we provide the following two options:

- **Flow**: The orientation of the words corresponds to its streams orientation at the time step that they belong to.
- **Angle variance**: The angle of rotation of the words varies within a fixed range with regard to the medium line of the stream flow.

4. **Computing WordStream Visualization**

**Preprocessing data**: The input text documents are preprocessed into entities and further classified into different categories [Mon17]. In many cases, the term frequency might not convey user interests [DPF16]. For example, the term “Obama” repeated numerous times in political blogs and news might not draw a lot of attention or interest [SMR08]. To focus on the more significant terms, we use the sudden attention measure, referring to a sharp increase in frequency [DN18]. Let $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ be the frequency of an entity at $n$ different time points. The sudden attention series $(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n)$ is computed by $S_i = \frac{F_i - 1}{F_i + 1}$.

**Building boxes**: As for D1 and D3, our approach places terms inside their corresponding stream and close to their time steps. Invisible boxes are created for this purpose as depicted in Figure 4. WordStream scans along a spiral pattern centered at the box to find the first available space to place terms.

**Placing terms**: Mask-based, pixel-perfect collision detection algorithm is used to detect collisions. The Mask-based algorithm uses a board to represent the stream layer with all the terms that have been placed on the board at the checking time. This board is used to check for collision against a new term. In the pixel-perfect approach, the terms and the board are represented in terms of pixels. To reduce the memory space, only the red component (instead of all red, green, blue, and alpha components) is used to represent a pixel; this data is stored into a variable called sprite of the board or the term. The sprite value of a pixel $i$ is computed from the pixel data using the following formula: $\text{sprite}[i] = \text{pixels}[i < 2]$. The collision detection checks the positions of all pixels on the sprite of the term and the corresponding positions on the board. Similarly, the placement of the new term onto the current board adds these values from the sprite of the term to the corresponding positions of the sprite of the board. As depicted in Figure 4, this spiral starts at the center of each box as calculated for its corresponding time step, and its maximum deviation from the center ($dx, dy$) is smaller than the diagonal of the box.

**Drawing**: The filtered terms are sequentially located to formulate the stream layers. Notice that the layers can be ordered vertical for quantitative data, such as in increasing order of security levels or user ratings. In addition, interactive features are supported to highlight individual term evolution (see Figure 7).

5. **Evaluation**

5.1. **Quantitative Evaluation**

For each test data set, the combinations of two options (flow and angle variance) are evaluated on the Compactness metric as depicted in Figure 5. Compactness is defined by the area of all displayed words divided by area of the stream [BKP14], indicating the level of coverage over the stream layer. Compactness has the range from 0 to 1; a higher value means the words are closer to forming the full shape of the stream layers.

As seen in Figure 5, surprisingly, the combination that yields the best result is **disabling both features**. One example of this arrangement can be seen at the top panel of Figure 6. The conflicts in placing terms can be explained: If the variation is allowed, besides the conflicts from each sprite to one another, there are also conflicts from constraints in direction. In contrast, the combination of enabling both options produces the lowest Compactness scores on most test data sets.

WordStream is implemented using D3.js [BOH11]. The demo video, online prototype, and more examples can be found at the Github page https://idatavisualizationlab.github.io/WordStream/.
5.2. Exploring IEEE VIS author contribution

The IEEE Visualization Publications data set [HIK17] contains 2,867 entries with attributes such as Conference (InfoVis, VAST, and SciVis), Year (from 1990 to 2016), Paper Title, Link, and Author names. This data set is particularly useful for finding appropriate authors for reviewing paper/proposal submissions and exploring the contributions of researchers over time. Figure 6 presents popular IEEE VIS authors over a period of 10 years. From top down, we show the different generated layouts by combining the two options: Flow and Angle variance. As depicted, the top panel is the most compact layout as it can fit more author names than the others. This confirms our observation in the previous section. Moreover, the top panel also achieves the best readability as all terms are horizontal.

5.3. Informal User Study

We conducted informal user studies to gather qualitative responses about WordStream from two experts, one researcher in political science and one professor in data science. The study began with a brief description of WordStream to familiarize them with the usage of the analytic tool. We also adapted the implementation of TimeArcs [DPF16] for the same political blogs as a reference. Then the experts were free to explore the visual interfaces for a specific task: What are the top political events in the past ten years? Both of them agreed that, in comparison to TimeArcs, the WordStream is useful to convey the global trend and can be applied to visualize the emerging topics in various domains.

For the overall presentation, both of the users commented that they can quickly understand the idea of the layout. The data science professor stated that he had known word cloud before, and WordStream “allows you to do the longitudinal analysis easily”. He chose a term and scrolled through the entire timeline to see the fluctuation of its occurrences as depicted in Figure 7. Hence, the visualization is helpful in an exploratory analysis. However, he commented that the layout might be cluttered when the number of layers increases to about ten. On the other hand, the political expert at first found it “intimidating,” but shortly after the description, he found the interface easy to use. Furthermore, he found the brushing and linking are efficient for highlighting the temporal patterns of terms, along with supporting content analysis.

Besides the positive feedback, the experts also mentioned some limitations of WordStream, in which the related words are not shown in clusters, unlike TimeArcs. One of them suggested that the relationships being drawn explicitly among terms would be more useful than the proximity of terms as in the current visualization.

6. Conclusion

This paper presents a hybrid text visualization technique. WordStream aims to communicate the global trends of the underlying topic evolution while preserving the presentation-oriented criteria of the visualization solution. We demonstrate the applications on various data sets, showing that WordStream could quickly highlight important terms and could assist users in exploring term evolution at a finer granularity. Future work will focus on algorithms to cluster the terms within and across topic streams. Also, more interactive features should be supported to optimize WordStream layout.
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