Abstract
Since its inception, the CUDA programming model has been continuously evolving. Because the CUDA toolkit aims to consistently expose cutting-edge capabilities for general-purpose compute jobs to its users, the added features in each new version reflect the rapid changes that we observe in GPU architectures. Over the years, the changes in hardware, growing scope of built-in functions and libraries, as well as an advancing C++ standard compliance have expanded the design choices when coding for CUDA, and significantly altered the directives to achieve peak performance. In this tutorial, we give a thorough introduction to the CUDA toolkit, demonstrate how a contemporary application can benefit from recently introduced features and how they can be applied to task-based GPU scheduling in particular. For instance, we will provide detailed examples of use cases for independent thread scheduling, cooperative groups, and the CUDA standard library, libcu++, which are certain to become an integral part of clean coding for CUDA in the near future.
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2. Outline

In the first part of this tutorial, we will give a quick overview of the history of the GPU, followed by an introduction to CUDA and how to set up basic CUDA applications. Afterward, we will consider the CUDA execution model and how it maps to the underlying hardware architecture, followed by a few examples for writing CUDA code and the first steps towards performance optimization. We will focus on the basic execution hierarchy, as well as the concept of warp scheduling and latency hiding. We will discuss tools for debugging and profiling, as well as the most important CUDA libraries.

In the second part, we will consider the different types of memory that CUDA provides to developers. Furthermore, we will analyze the actual behavior of the underlying hardware when responding to memory requests, and how to optimize data layouts for peak performance. We will discuss the two different layers of compiled CUDA code: PTX and SASS. We will look at some examples of the different types of machine code, and give examples of efficient and high-overhead instructions with respect to throughput and achievable occupancy on the GPU.

In the third part, we treat advanced mechanisms of CUDA that were not covered by earlier parts, novel features of recent toolkits and architectures, as well as overall trends and caveats for future developments. The relevant features that we will discuss include managed memory, independent thread scheduling details, cooperative groups, the libcu++ standard library, tensor cores, the set-aside L2 cache. For each of them, we provide use cases and, where applicable, important factors to consider when first introducing them into existing codebases, as well as pitfalls when porting legacy code to accommodate these new mechanics. We also provide our own personal recommendations for managing new GPU features.

In the final part of the tutorial, we will cover the different levels of the GPU hierarchy and how they can be exploited for different programming patterns. We then turn to task scheduling, first detailing queues on GPUs, a core component of most task scheduling approaches. Based on such queues, we then build different schemes for task scheduling on the GPUs, controlled from the CPU or entirely from the GPU. Lastly, we will hear about some examples, which greatly benefit from task parallelism and typically exhibit mixed parallelism during execution [KKM’18, SKK’12, SKB’14, KKS’17, WMZ’18, WMPS20].

3. Schedule

Full-Day Tutorial, 4 × 90 minutes

To provide a profound understanding of how CUDA applications can achieve peak performance, the first half of this tutorial outlines the modern CUDA architecture. Following a basic introduction, we expose how language features are linked to—and constrained by—the underlying physical hardware components. Furthermore, we describe common applications for massively parallel programming, offer a detailed breakdown of potential issues, and list ways to mitigate performance impacts. An exemplary analysis of PTX and SASS snippets illustrates how code patterns in CUDA are mapped to actual hardware instructions.

In the second half, we will focus on novel features that were enabled by the arrival of CUDA 10+ toolkits and the Volta+ architectures, such as ITS, tensor cores, and the graph API. In addition to basic use case demonstrations, we outline our own experiences with these capabilities and their potential performance benefits. We also discuss how long-standing best practices are affected by these changes and describe common caveats for dealing with legacy code on recent GPU models. We show how these considerations can be implemented in practice by presenting state-of-the-art research into task-based GPU scheduling, and how the dynamic adjustment of thread roles and group configurations can significantly increase performance.
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4. Intended Audience
The target audience possesses basic to advanced knowledge of parallel algorithms and graphics APIs. This tutorial intends to attract viewers with a strong interest for understanding and optimizing for the underlying mechanisms of parallel execution on GPU hardware. Senior developers get a chance to acquaint themselves with recent CUDA features and their impact on kernel design. Furthermore, the audience is introduced to task-based applications of CUDA beyond the classic many-kernel programming pattern.

5. Sample Course Notes
Ever since compute capability 3.0 (Kepler), CUDA has had support for the basic concept of unified memory. The methods for managing it allow for a significant amount of control, even on devices where it is not supported directly by the system allocators. The fundamental additions to the CUDA architecture that managed memory provides are the __managed__ keyword for defining variables in memory, as well as the cudaMallocManaged method to allocate storage on the host side. The managed memory will automatically be migrated to the location where it is accessed, without explicit commands to trigger the transfer. This solution decouples the handle to a memory range from its actual physical storage, which is transient and may change multiple times during execution. Initially, there was a noticeable performance penalty associated with the use of unified memory, but recently, managed memory has experienced a significant boost, making it much more practical than it used to be in addition to simplifying the code base, so we will quickly revisit it here.

With unified or managed memory, both the CPU and GPU may try to access the same variables at the same time, since kernel launches and CPU-side execution are asynchronous. While it is now possible on some systems to have concurrent accesses, older cards with compute capability lower than 6.0 and even moderately modern ones may not support it. In this case, the CPU must ensure that its access to managed memory does not overlap with kernel execution. This can for instance be achieved with synchronization primitives.

Important performance guidelines for managed memory is the avoidance of excessive faulting since this negatively impacts performance. Furthermore, it should be ensured that data is always close to the processor that accesses it. Lastly, when memory is often migrated between host and device, this can quickly lead to thrashing, which is detrimental to performance as well. Managed memory has recently been made significantly more effective, insofar as the migration of data can now occur with a fine-granular page faulting algorithm, which somewhat alleviates these problems. However, developers can additionally provide hints that make memory management easier at runtime. In order to do so, they can „prefetch“ memory to a certain location ahead of it being used. Furthermore, developers can define general advice on the utilization of memory to indicate the preferred location of physical storage, the devices where it should remain mapped, and whether or not the access is governed by reading rather than writing.

Next up, we will take another look at some of the details of Independent Thread Scheduling, which was introduced with the Volta architecture. We previously discussed the behavior of ITS, and how it enables for instance use cases where threads in the same warp may wait on each other, which would have caused a deadlock with legacy scheduling. However, with guaranteed progress, such algorithms are now safe to implement in CUDA.

The switches to disable or enable ITS are listed here. Currently, GPU models still support both modes, so it is possible to run the previous example on newer GPUs with ITS enabled/disabled to see the results. It is not yet certain if legacy scheduling will eventually be abandoned in favor of ITS, however, other GPU compute APIs, like OpenGL’s compute shader, appear to default to legacy scheduling for compatibility reasons.

There are of course a few limitations to ITS. First of all, ITS cannot absolve developers of improper parallel coding. While it can in fact take care of deadlocks, it is still very much required of developers to be aware of the scheduling model of GPUs to make sure they can avoid live locks as well. Second, ITS can only provide a progress guarantee for threads and warps that are resident at any point in time. That is, in case of a large launched grid, if the progress of threads depends on a thread that was not launched until all SMs were filled up, the system cannot progress and will
hang, since resident warps are not switched out until they complete execution. Lastly, ITS, due to the fact that it is not guaranteed to reconverge, may break several assumptions regarding warp level programming. In order to ensure a fully or partially reconverged warp, developers must make proper use of __syncwarp and can no longer assume lockstep progress at warp level, which is a hard habit to break.

__syncwarp may, at first glance, seem like a smaller version of synchronizations, however, it has a number of interesting peculiarities that make it more versatile. Most importantly, __syncwarp is parameterized by a mask that indicates the threads that should participate in synchronization, in contrast to synchronizations, which must always include all non-exited threads in the block. __syncwarp may be executed from different points in the program, enabling for instance a warp to synchronize across two different branches, as long as the masks match. If optimizations at warp-level are made by developers, in order to write correct code, they will need to make generous use of __syncwarp in many common patterns.

In the next section, we will consider the CUDA graph API. Many applications consist of not one, but a larger number of kernels that are in some way pipelined or processed iteratively. Usually, the nature of the computations that must occur does not change significantly, and a program performs the same steps in the same order for a number of iterations. A good example would be the simulation of game physics, where in each frame, several small, incremental updates are made to achieve adequate precision. These applications can often easily be expressed in the form of a graph, where each step represents a node and edges indicate dependencies. CUDA graphs enable the definition of applications with this graph structure, in order to separate the definition of program flow and execution.

When one places a kernel into a stream, the host driver performs a sequence of operations in preparation for the execution of the kernel. These operations are what are typically called "kernel overhead". If the driver, however, is aware of the program structure and the operations that will be repeatedly launched, it can make optimizations in preparation for this particular workload. In order to enable the driver to exploit this additional knowledge, developers can construct these graphs either from scratch or existing code. CUDA Graphs support fundamental node types that suffice to build arbitrary applications from their combinations. It is possible to create, attach and parameterize nodes at any point before the graphs are made final.

In CUDA without graph APIs, we rely on streams in order to define the dependencies between different CUDA operations. By sorting commands into different streams, we indicate that they are not dependent on one another and can be concurrently scheduled. When using the graph API to build graphs from scratch, by default no dependencies are assumed. That is, if multiple kernel execution nodes are added to a graph without the definition of a dependency, they will execute as if they were all launched into separate streams.

When code is recorded into a graph, the conventional dependency model is assumed. For instance, if a single stream is recorded, all commands that may have potential dependencies on one another are treated as such. If multiple streams are being recorded, the commands in different streams may run concurrently.
library called cooperative groups, which encapsulates these behaviors, CUDA also now provides developers with a helpful new hardware. This may seem discouraging, especially for newcomers and remembering the individual optimizations that can be done in goals, like the one realized in the example we just gave, require a of the GPU is getting more and more intricate. Comparably simple form groups of threads that are currently converged to optimize par-
ses, other than that these threads are converged at the point where no mask must be provided. This means that it can be called with-
ting. With ITS, threads may no longer progress in lockstep, diverge and reconverge somewhat arbitrarily. _activemask is a special warp primitive, since it does not include synchronization and no mask must be provided. This means that it can be called without knowing which threads will be calling it. _activemask returns a set of threads about which it makes no concrete guaran-
tees, other than that these threads are converged at the point where _activemask is called. If the result of this function is used as a mask, other warp-level primitives can use it to opportunistically form groups of threads that are currently converged to optimize particular computations. All of these new instructions are helpful, but they also illustrate something else: getting optimal performance out of the GPU is getting more and more intricate. Comparably simple goals, like the one realized in the example we just gave, require a lot of careful design, correct handling and interpreting of bitmasks, and remembering the individual optimizations that can be done in hardware. This may seem discouraging, especially for newcomers to CUDA. However, in addition to exposing these new low-level operations, CUDA also now provides developers with a helpful new library called cooperative groups, which encapsulates these behaviors but abstracts the low-level details for improved usability.
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